TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO

SUBJECT: BOARD MEETING PACKAGE

DATE: MAY 28, 2019

Enclosed is your Board package for the Regular May Board Meeting. We have tried to include the information you will need to effectively consider and act on agenda items. The Board meeting will be held at FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, 990 EAST MISSION ROAD, FALLBROOK, CALIFORNIA.

Please note this month’s meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, May 28, 2019, beginning at 4:00 p.m.

It is our goal to be prepared to respond accurately to Board questions and concerns. You can help us achieve this goal by contacting me prior to the Board meeting with your questions and concerns. This will allow time for the Staff and me to provide the appropriate information for review at the Board meeting.

To ensure a quorum is present, please call Loren in advance of the meeting if you will be unable to attend. She may be reached at (760) 723-2012.

Respectfully,

Stephen Abbott
Fire Chief/CEO
CALL TO ORDER
ROLL CALL
INVOCATION
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

1. **PUBLIC COMMENT — PRESIDENT LUEVANO**
   - **STANDING ITEM:** Members of the Public may directly address the Board of Directors on items of interest to the Public provided no action will be taken on non-agenda items. The Board President may limit comments to three minutes per speaker (Board of Directors Operations – Elections, Officers and Terms SOG § 3.2.6.3). (pgs. 1-2)

2. **RECOGNITION OF RETIRING EMPLOYEE — CHIEF ABBOTT**
   - **STANDING ITEM:** Recognition of service for retiring Engineer/Paramedic John Buchanan. (pgs. 3-4)

3. **EMPLOYEE OATH OF OFFICE AND BADGE PINNING — CHIEF ABBOTT**
   - **STANDING ITEM:** Badge Pinning and Oath of Office for promoting employee Division Chief McReynolds. (pgs. 5-6)

4. **EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION PROGRAM – CONSIDER REVISION TO PROGRAM — CHIEF ABBOTT AND B/S STEPHEN-PORTER**
   - **New:** Review and consider recommendations to Program consist with previous discussions. (pgs. 7-8)

**ACTION AGENDA**

**Priorit Action items:**
Items listed under the Priority Action Items to be conducted at the beginning of the meeting.

5. **REQUEST FOR APPEAL AND WAIVER FIRE HYDRANT REQUIREMENTS — CHIEF ABBOTT**
   - **New:** Request for appeal and waiver of fire hydrant requirements from CFC Section 108.4.3(b). (pgs. 9-16)

**ACTION AGENDA**

**Consent Events:**
All Events listed under the Consent Events are considered routine and will be enacted in one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these Events prior to the Board action on the motion, unless members of the Board, Staff or public request specific Events be removed from the Consent Agenda.

6. **APPROVE REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES, MARCH 26, 2019**
   - **Standing Event:** Review and approve minutes from March meeting as presented. (pgs. 29-34)

7. **REVIEW AND ACCEPT SPECIAL BOARD MEETING MINUTES, APRIL 16, 2019**
   - **Standing Event:** Review and approve minutes from April meeting as presented. (pgs. 35-38)

**Note:** The Americans with Disabilities Act provides that no qualified individual with a disability shall be excluded from participation in, or denied the benefits of, District business. If you need assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the District Office 72 hours prior to the meeting at (760) 723-2012.
8. REVIEW AND ACCEPT FINANCIAL REPORT FOR APRIL 2019
   (pgs. 39-46)

9. REVIEW AND ACCEPT POLICIES & PROCEDURES
   (pgs. 49-72)
   ▶ Standing Event:

   9.1. Governance: Standard Operating Procedures – Elections, Officers and Terms: Revision of policy to include re-district language; how vacancies are filled; required filings.


10. REVIEW AND ACCEPT CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY PROGRAM RESULTS — FIRST QUARTER 2019
    (pgs. 73-80)
    ▶ Quarterly Report: Report demonstrates 21% of surveys were returned; 97% of which were in the "excellent" category.

ACTION EVENTS:

All Events listed under the Action Events Agenda will be presented and discussed prior to the Board taking action on any item. Time Certain Events will commence precisely at the time announced in the Agenda.

    (pgs. 81-82)
    ▶ Annual Action: Recommendation to set required Public Hearing, date and times certain on July 23, 2019 for hearing as outlined.

12. SET PUBLIC HEARING DATE/TIME CERTAIN JUNE 25, 2019 (4:30 P.M.) — TO ADOPT HEARING SCHEDULE AND SET HEARING FOR ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO PROCEED WITH FORMATION OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT — Chief Abbott
    (pgs. 83-85)
    ▶ New: Set hearing schedule, hearing and future dates to begin formation of CFD.

13. REVIEW AND APPROVE REQUEST TO SURPLUS VEHICLES — D/C Mahr and Chief Abbott
    (pgs. 87-88)
    ▶ Recurring Item: Review and approve surplus of ambulance and Crown Victoria and authorize sale.

14. REVIEW AND APPROVE RESOLUTION 2019-05 FINALIZING RE-DISTRICT PROCESS — Chief Abbott
    (pgs. 89-104)
    ▶ Follow-up: Approve Resolution required by Registrar of Voters finalizing the re-districting process.

DISCUSSION AGENDA

No action shall be undertaken on any Discussion Event. The Board may acknowledge receipt of the information or report and make comments, refer the matter to Staff for further study or report, or refer the matter to a future agenda.

15. DISCUSSION ON PRELIMINARY BUDGET — DFC Marovich and Chief Abbott
    (pgs. 105-106)
    ▶ Annual Item: Discussion of Preliminary Budget with approval of changes in budget process.

STANDING DISCUSSION EVENTS:

All Events listed under the Standing Discussion Events are presented every meeting.

• LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT:
  (pgs. 109-110)
  • "School Board’s Prayers and Religious Commentary Violated U.S. Constitution’s Establishment Clause"
AGENDA FOR REGULAR BOARD MEETING
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- WRITTEN COMMUNICATION:
- BOARD RECOGNITION PROGRAM

- NEWS ARTICLES: As attached.

- COMMENTS/REPORTS/QUESTIONS:

  - STAFF:
    - Chief Abbott
    - Other Staff

- BOARD
- BARGAINING GROUPS
- PUBLIC COMMENT

CLOSED SESSION
The Board will enter closed session to discuss events as outlined herein. As provided in the Government Code, the public will not be present during these discussions. At the end of the Closed Session, the Board shall publicly report any action taken in Closed Session (and the vote or abstention on that action of every member present) in accordance with Government Code § 54950 et. seq.

CS-1. ANNOUNCEMENT — PRESIDENT LUEVANO:
An announcement regarding the items to be discussed in Closed Session will be made prior to the commencement of Closed Session.

CS-2. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR — GOVERNMENT CODE § 54957.6 — NON-SAFETY GROUP NEGOTIATIONS — CHIEF ABBOTT:

CS-3. REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION — PRESIDENT LUEVANO

ADJOURNMENT

SCHEDULED MEETINGS
The next regularly scheduled Board meeting is: Tuesday, June 25, 2019, 4:00 p.m. at FPUD.

CERTIFICATION OF AGENDA POSTING

I certify that this Agenda was posted in accordance with the provisions of the Government Code § 54950 et. seq. The posting locations were: [1] the entrance of North County Fire Protection District Administrative Offices, [2] Fallbrook Public Utility District Administrative Offices and [3] the Roy Noon Meeting Hall; [4] District’s website at https://www.ncfrindistrict.org. The Agenda was also available for review at the Office of the Board Secretary, located at 330 S. Main Avenue, Fallbrook (760) 723-2012. Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the District after distribution of the agenda packet, are available for public inspection in the Office of the Board Secretary, located at 330 S. Main Avenue, Fallbrook (760) 723-2012, during normal business hours or may be found on the District website at https://www.ncfrindistrict.org, subject to the Staff’s ability to post the documents before the meeting. The date of posting was May 17, 2019."

Board Secretary Loren Stephen-Porter:

Date: May 17, 2019
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO

DATE: MAY 28, 2019

SUBJECT: PUBLIC COMMENT

PUBLIC COMMENT:

1. Members of the Public may directly address the Board of Directors on items of interest to the Public provided no action will be taken on non-agenda items. The Board President may limit comments to three minutes per speaker (Board of Directors Operations – Elections, Officers and Terms SOG § 3.2.6.3.).
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: DFC Marovich and Chief Abbott
DATE: May 28, 2019
SUBJECT: Recognition of Retiring Employees

PUBLIC ACTIVITIES AGENDA

BACKGROUND:

Traditionally, when employees retire from the District, they are invited to the next Board of Directors meeting to be recognized for their service to the District.

DISCUSSION:

It is the distinct pleasure of the organization to recognize the years of service to the District and our community for the following individual:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retiring Employee</th>
<th>Years of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineer John Buchanan</td>
<td>29 years of service to NCPFD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FISCAL ANALYSIS:

No fiscal impact.

SUMMARY:

It is with fondness and gratitude that the District commends John “Buck” for his dedication during his years of service. The District thanks him for his efforts on behalf of the community and for helping to make North County Fire Protection District the organization it has become. Staff wishes Buck the best of luck in his future endeavors.
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: DEPUTY CHIEF MAROVICH AND CHIEF ABBOTT
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: BADGE PINNING CEREMONY

PUBLIC ACTIVITIES AGENDA

BACKGROUND:
The Board of Directors has approved a process of installing District employees during regularly scheduled Board meetings. This practice provides a professional environment for introducing the employees and their families to our organization and community.

DISCUSSION:
The following individuals will be present during the May 28, 2019, Regular Board Meeting. Chief Stephen Abbott will take this opportunity to officiate over the Badge Pinning Ceremony.

It is the distinct pleasure of the organization to present the following individuals for installation and promotion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROMOTED EMPLOYEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith McReynolds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keith McReynolds:

D/C McReynolds began his career with the North County Fire Protection District in 1993. He has served in many capacities within the District, including Fire Explorer, Reserve Firefighter, Firefighter/Paramedic, Captain, Battalion Chief and Administrative Battalion Chief. He is a longtime resident of North County and since 2010, resides in Fallbrook with his wife Erin and their two boys.

EDUCATION:
Associate of Science, Fire Administration
Bachelor of Science, Fire Administration

CERTIFICATIONS:
California State Certified Fire Officer
California State Certified Chief Officer
Currently pursuing California State Executive Chief Officer Certification
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Stephen Abbott, Fire Chief/CEO and B/S Stephen-Porter
DATE: May 28, 2019

SUBJECT: Employee Recognition Program – Revision of Program

ACTION AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board determine the new limits for the Board Recognition Program based on the costs outlined herein.

BACKGROUND:

In 2007, the Board instituted a Program designed to recognize excellent performance by members of the organization. On a quarterly basis, the Board selects employees to be recognized, based on feedback received during the quarter. Earlier this year, the Board determined to award either a Cross Pen or a Hydroflask, at the election of the recognized individual, rather than the previous awards.

DISCUSSION:

The Board has requested a revision to the Board Recognition Program to provide recognition in the form of either an engraved Cross Pen (North County Fire Protection District – Duty, Integrity Respect) or a Hydroflask.

The Cross Pens range from: $35-65 dollars, depending on materials, engraving and vendor.

The Hydroflasks range in price from $45-84.00, depending on size and features.

FISCAL ANALYSIS:

In the past, the Board has allotted three awards per quarter. Awards were for either individuals ($50) or Crews ($75). Each quarter, no more than $225.00 was awarded in any combination of individual and Crew awards. The District budgeted $900 per year for this category of recognition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Type</th>
<th>Amount Per Award</th>
<th>Number of Awardees</th>
<th>Total Per Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>$50.00/Individual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew</td>
<td>$75.00/Crew</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the Board continued the same pattern of awards, using an average of $50+/- per award, the award per month would range from approximately $150 to $750 each quarter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Type</th>
<th>Amount Per Award</th>
<th>Number of Awardees</th>
<th>Total Per Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>$50.00/Individual</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew</td>
<td>$75.00/Crew</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If top range expenditure occurred for all four quarters, the District would need to plan for this line item to be increased to a minimum of $3,000.00 per year, $2100 over the previous years’ allotments.

**SUMMARY:**

The Board Secretary is requesting direction on how to implement the changes to the Program, given the newly implemented recognition awards, associated costs and the previously allotted amount per quarter the Board specified to allot for the Program.
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: APPEAL OF FIRE HYDRANT REQUIREMENT

ACTION AGENDA

BACKGROUND:

As a condition of building a new private residence, Mr. Mansour Malek of 4261 Entrada Al Sol in Bonsall, CA submitted building plans to this agency for routine fire department plan review and approval. Among the conditions stipulated on the approved building plans was installation of a fire hydrant in accordance with the California Fire Code (CFC), Section 507.5.1, which requires a fire hydrant within 600’ of residential occupancies equipped with automatic fire sprinklers.

Upon completion of construction, Mr. Malek requested a final inspection by the fire department, which is conducted prior to the County Building Department’s final inspection and issuance of a certificate of occupancy. As Mr. Malek had not installed a fire hydrant, this agency could not finalize his final inspection. Mr. Malek subsequently requested a meeting with NCF staff to discuss alternative materials and methods, which is an allowable mechanism to satisfy fire code requirements.

During this discussion, Mr. Malek noted there was another hydrant immediately south of his property in an adjacent field. Unfortunately, that hydrant does not supply sufficient “fire flow” to satisfy fire code requirements (does not produce sufficient volume and pressure of water). Mr. Malek alternatively proposed installation of a water tank, a mechanism that is permitted when no water line exists within the immediate vicinity. In this particular case, an existing hydrant is within 230’ of the intersection of Entrada Al Sol and Valle Del Sol. As a result, the District proposed installation of a fire hydrant at this intersection, which would require a 230’ water line extension and place the hydrant within 500’ of his driveway entrance.

DISCUSSION:

Citing an estimated cost of approximately $50,000 for the water line extension and fire hydrant installation, Mr. Malek has submitted an appeal to the NCF Board of Directors to waive the fire hydrant requirement, pursuant to CFC Section 108.4.3(b). In evaluating Mr. Malek’s appeal, staff has considered the following:
1. **Location of the Existing Fire Hydrant on Valle Del Sol.** As this existing hydrant is 230' away from the intersection, it is operationally impractical for a fire engine company to complete a forward hose lay when responding to this residence. The absence of a turn-around at this location exacerbates this challenge. Accepting the existing fire hydrant would result in a significant delay in securing a water supply, which is a critical action for the initial arriving units.

2. **Installation of a Water Tank in Lieu of a Fire Hydrant.** Water tank installation is generally considered when there is no water line in the immediate vicinity (>1500' pursuant to CFC 507.5.9), making line extension impractical. Line extensions of this magnitude are generally considered reasonable by other jurisdictions within the County.

3. **Cost.** Cost is not generally used as a factor with fire and life safety requirements, as these costs are considered as a standard element of construction. For this very reason the fire department informs a prospective builder of the fire and life safety requirements at the time of plan review so these costs can be taken into consideration up front.

4. **Liability.** Waiver of a fire and life safety requirement transfers the risk from the appellant to the District, as the District would be approving a condition that does not meet fire code requirements. Fire and building code requirements are industry standards based upon specific “findings” (field-based evidence) by industry experts.

5. **Customer Service.** This residence is currently being occupied. It is further our understanding that it is possible to obtain fire insurance without completion of final building inspection, meaning that the property should be insurable.

**Fiscal Analysis:**

None to the Fire District.

**Recommendation:**

Staff recommends installation of a fire hydrant which satisfies current California Fire Code requirements.
507.2.2 Water tanks. Water tanks for private fire protection shall be installed in accordance with NFPA 22.

507.3 Fire flow. Fire flow requirements for buildings or portions of buildings and facilities shall be determined by an approved method or Appendix B.

507.4 Water supply test. The fire code official shall be notified prior to the water supply test. Water supply tests shall be witnessed by the fire code official or approved documentation of the test shall be provided to the fire code official prior to final approval of the water supply system.

507.5 Fire hydrant systems. Fire hydrant systems shall comply with Sections 507.5.1 through 507.5.6 and Appendix C or by an approved method.

507.5.1 Where required. Where a portion of the facility or building hereafter constructed or moved into or within the jurisdiction is more than 400 feet (122 m) from a hydrant on a fire apparatus access road, as measured by an approved route around the exterior of the facility or building, on-site fire hydrants and mains shall be provided where required by the fire code official.

Exception: For Group R-3 and Group U occupancies, equipped throughout with an approved automatic sprinkler system installed in accordance with Section 903.3.1.1, 903.3.1.2 or 903.3.1.3, the distance requirement shall be not more than 600 feet (183 m).

507.5.1.1 Hydrant for standpipe systems. Buildings equipped with a standpipe system installed in accordance with Section 905 shall have a fire hydrant within 100 feet (30 480 mm) of the fire department connections.

Exception: The distance shall be permitted to exceed 100 feet (30 480 mm) where approved by the fire code official.

507.5.2 Inspection, testing and maintenance. Fire hydrant systems shall be subject to periodic tests as required by the fire code official. Fire hydrant systems shall be maintained in an operable condition at all times and shall be repaired where defective. Additions, repairs, alterations and servicing shall comply with approved standards. Records of tests and required maintenance shall be maintained.

507.5.3 Private fire service mains and water tanks. Private fire service mains and water tanks shall be periodically inspected, tested and maintained in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 19, Division 1, Chapter 5.

Records of inspections, testing and maintenance shall be maintained.

507.5.4 Obstruction. Unobstructed access to fire hydrants shall be maintained at all times. The fire department shall not be deterred or hindered from gaining immediate access to fire protection equipment or fire hydrants.

507.5.5 Clear space around hydrants. A 3-foot (914 mm) clear space shall be maintained around the circumference of fire hydrants, except as otherwise required or approved.

507.5.6 Physical protection. Where fire hydrants are subject to impact by a motor vehicle, guard posts or other approved means shall comply with Section 312.

SECTION 508
FIRE COMMAND CENTER

508.1 General. Where required by other sections of this code and in all buildings classified as high-rise buildings by the California Building Code and Group I-2 occupancies having occupied floors located more than 75 feet above the lowest level of fire department vehicle access, a fire command center for fire department operations shall be provided and shall comply with Sections 508.1.1 through 508.1.7.

508.1.1 Location and access. The location and accessibility of the fire command center shall be approved by the fire chief.

508.1.2 Separation. The fire command center shall be separated from the remainder of the building by not less than a 1-hour fire barrier constructed in accordance with Section 707 of the California Building Code or horizontal assembly constructed in accordance with Section 711 of the California Building Code, or both.

508.1.3 Size. The fire command center shall be not less than 200 square feet (19 m²) in area with a minimum dimension of 10 feet (3048 mm).

508.1.4 Layout approval. A layout of the fire command center and all features required by this section to be contained therein shall be submitted for approval prior to installation.

508.1.5 Storage. Storage unrelated to operation of the fire command center shall be prohibited.

508.1.6 Required features. The fire command center shall comply with NFPA 72 and shall contain the following features:

1. The emergency voice/alarm communication system control unit.
2. The fire department communications system.
3. Fire alarm system zoning annunciator panel required by Section 907.6.4.3.
4. Annunciator unit visually indicating the location of the elevators and whether they are operational.
5. Status indicators and controls for air distribution systems.
6. The fire fighter's control panel required by Section 909.16 for smoke control systems installed in the building.
7. Controls for unlocking interior exit stairway doors simultaneously.
8. Sprinkler valve and water-flow detector display panels.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRE-FLOW CALCULATION AREA</th>
<th>AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM (Design Standard)</th>
<th>MINIMUM FIRE-FLOW (gallons per minute)</th>
<th>FLOW DURATION (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>No automatic sprinkler system</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,601 and greater</td>
<td>No automatic sprinkler system</td>
<td>Value in Table B105.1(2)</td>
<td>Duration in Table B105.1(2) at the required fire-flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>Section 903.3.1.3 of the California Fire Code or Section 313.3 of the California Residential Code</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,601 and greater</td>
<td>Section 903.3.1.3 of the California Fire Code or Section 313.3 of the California Residential Code</td>
<td>1/2 value in Table B105.1(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For SI: 1 square foot = 0.0929 m², 1 gallon per minute = 3.785 L/min.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRE-FLOW CALCULATION AREA (square feet)</th>
<th>FIRE-FLOW RATES (gallons per minute)</th>
<th>FLOW DURATION (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2,270</td>
<td>22,701-30,200</td>
<td>12,701-17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-12,700</td>
<td>30,201-38,700</td>
<td>17,001-21,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-8,200</td>
<td>38,701-48,300</td>
<td>21,801-24,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5,900</td>
<td>48,301-59,000</td>
<td>24,201-33,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>59,001-70,900</td>
<td>33,201-39,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>70,901-83,700</td>
<td>39,701-47,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>97,701-112,700</td>
<td>54,901-63,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>112,701-128,700</td>
<td>63,401-72,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>128,701-145,900</td>
<td>72,401-82,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>145,901-164,200</td>
<td>82,101-92,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>164,201-183,400</td>
<td>92,401-103,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>183,401-203,700</td>
<td>103,101-114,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>203,701-225,200</td>
<td>114,601-126,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>225,201-247,700</td>
<td>126,701-139,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>247,701-271,100</td>
<td>139,401-152,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>271,101-295,900</td>
<td>152,601-166,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>295,901-Greater</td>
<td>166,501-Greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>115,801-125,500</td>
<td>83,701-90,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>125,501-135,500</td>
<td>90,601-97,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>135,501-145,800</td>
<td>97,901-106,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>145,801-156,700</td>
<td>106,801-113,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>156,701-167,900</td>
<td>113,201-121,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>167,901-179,400</td>
<td>121,301-129,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>179,401-191,400</td>
<td>129,601-138,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3,600</td>
<td>191,401-Greater</td>
<td>138,301-Greater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For SI: 1 square foot = 0.0929 m², 1 gallon per minute = 3.785 L/min, 1 pound per square inch = 6.895 kPa.

a. Types of construction are based on the California Building Code.

b. Measured at 20 psi residual pressure.
### TABLE B105.2
REQUIRED FIRE-FLOW FOR BUILDINGS OTHER THAN ONE- AND TWO-FAMILY DWELLINGS, GROUP R-3 AND R-4 BUILDINGS AND TOWNHOUSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM (Design Standard)</th>
<th>MINIMUM FIRE-FLOW (gallons per minute)</th>
<th>FLOW DURATION (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No automatic sprinkler system</td>
<td>Value in Table B105.1(2)</td>
<td>Duration in Table B105.1(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 903.3.1.1 of the California Fire Code</td>
<td>25% of the value in Table B105.1(2)(^a)</td>
<td>Duration in Table B105.1(2) at the reduced flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 903.3.1.2 of the California Fire Code</td>
<td>25% of the value in Table B105.1(2)(^b)</td>
<td>Duration in Table B105.1(2) at the reduced flow rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For SI: 1 gallon per minute = 3.785 L/min.
\( a \) The reduced fire-flow shall be not less than 1,000 gallons per minute.
\( b \) The reduced fire-flow shall be not less than 1,500 gallons per minute.

---

**B105.2 Buildings other than one- and two-family dwellings, Group R-3 and R-4 buildings and townhouses.** The minimum fire-flow and flow duration for buildings other than one- and two-family dwellings, Group R-3 and R-4 buildings and townhouses shall be as specified in Tables B105.2 and B105.1(2).

**Exception: [SFM] Group B, S-2 and U occupancies having a floor area not exceeding 1,000 square feet, primarily constructed of noncombustible exterior walls with wood or steel roof framing, having a Class A roof assembly, with uses limited to the following or similar uses:**

1. California State Parks buildings of an accessory nature (restrooms).
2. Safety roadside rest areas, (SRRA), public restrooms.
3. Truck inspection facilities, (TIF), CHP office space and vehicle inspection bays.
4. Sand/salt storage buildings, storage of sand and salt.

**B105.3 Water supply for buildings equipped with an automatic sprinkler system.** For buildings equipped with an approved automatic sprinkler system, the water supply shall be capable of providing the greater of:

1. The automatic sprinkler system demand, including hose stream allowance.
2. The required fire-flow.

---

**SECTION B106**
**REFERENCED STANDARDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC 1101.15</td>
<td>International Wildland-Urban Interface Code</td>
<td>B103.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFPA 1142</td>
<td>Standard on Water Supplies for Suburban and Rural Fire Fighting</td>
<td>B103.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. The outlet shall be located along a fire apparatus access roadway and shall not be closer than 50 feet or further than 150 feet from the structure.

5. All exposed tank supply pipes shall be of an alloy or other material listed for above ground use. Adequate support shall be provided.

6. Water storage tanks shall be constructed from materials allowed by NFPA 22 and installed per manufacturer recommendations.

7. The fire code official may require any necessary information to be submitted on a plot plan for approval.

8. Vessels previously used for products other than water shall not be allowed.

9. The bottom of the water storage tank shall be level with or above the building pad.

SEC. 96.1.507.3. FIRE FLOW.

Section 507.3 of the California Fire Code is revised to read:

Sec. 507.3 Fire flow. Fire flow requirements shall be based on Appendix B of the California Fire Code or the standard published by the Insurance Services Office, "Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow." Consideration should be given to increasing the gallons per minute to protect structures of extremely large square footage and for such reasons as: poor access roads, grade and canyon rims, hazardous brush and response times greater than five minutes by a recognized fire department or fire suppression company. In hazardous fire areas the main capacity for new subdivisions shall not be less than 2,500 gallons per minute, unless otherwise approved by the fire code official. If fire flow increases are not feasible, the fire code official may require alternative design standards such as: alternative types of construction that provides a higher level of fire resistance, fuel break requirements, which may include required irrigation, modified access road requirements, specified setback distances for building sites addressing canyon rim developments and hazardous brush areas, and other requirements as authorized by this chapter and as required by the fire code official.

SEC. 96.1.507.5.7. FIRE HYDRANT AND FIRE VALVE LOCATION.

Section 507.5.7 is added to the California Fire Code to read:

Sec. 507.5.7 Fire hydrant and fire valve location. The fire hydrant or fire valve shall be between 18 to 24 inches above grade, no closer than 4 feet nor further than 12 feet from the roadway, and 8 feet from combustible vegetation.
Sec. 507.5.7.1 Signing of fire hydrants. The fire code official shall require fire hydrants to be identified. Fire hydrants may be identified by a reflectorized blue marker with a minimum dimension of 3 inches, in the center of the travel lane adjacent the water source, or by other methods approved by the fire code official.

SEC. 96.1.507.5.8. FIRE HYDRANT CONSTRUCTION AND CONFIGURATION.

Section 507.5.8 is added to the California Fire Code to read:

Sec. 507.5.8 Fire hydrant construction and configuration. All materials shall be listed and approved by the water purveyor and/or fire code official. The fire code official may require a fire hydrant to have any combination of 4 inch and 2½ inch outlets with National Standard Threads.

SEC. 96.1.507.5.9. WATERLINE EXTENSIONS.

Section 507.5.9 is added to the California Fire Code to read:

Sec. 507.5.9 Waterline extensions. The fire code official may require a waterline extension for the purpose of installing a fire hydrant if a water main is 1,500 feet or less from the property line.

SEC. 96.1.603.6.6. SPARK ARRESTERS.

Section 603.6.6 is added to the California Fire Code to read:

Sec. 603.6.6 Spark arresters. All structures having a chimney, flue or stovepipe attached to a fireplace, stove, barbecue or other solid or liquid fuel burning equipment or device shall have the chimney, flue or stovepipe equipped with an approved spark arrester. An approved spark arrester is a device intended to prevent sparks from escaping into the atmosphere, constructed of welded or woven wire mesh, 12 gauge thickness or larger, with openings no greater than ½ inch, or other alternative material the FAHJ determines provides equal or better protection.

SEC. 96.1.603.8.1. RESIDENTIAL INCINERATORS.

Section 603.8.1 of the California Fire Code is revised to read:

Sec. 603.8.1 Residential Incinerators. Residential incinerators are prohibited in the unincorporated area of the County.
the parties within 15 days of receipt of the Appeals Board’s recommendation. The Building Official’s decision shall be final.

Sec. 108.4.2 Appeals of determinations regarding discretionary permits. The County, the fire agency or the project applicant may seek review of the fire code official’s determination by the Appeals Board by filing a request for review with the Appeals Board within 30 days of the fire code official’s determination. When reviewing a fire code official’s determination pursuant to this subsection, the Appeals Board shall act in an advisory capacity. The Appeals Board shall review the fire code official's determination and make a recommendation to uphold, overrule or modify the fire code official’s determination. The Appeals Board shall render its recommendation to the County decision maker or decision-making body for consideration with the application for the discretionary permit.

Sec. 108.4.3 Appeals of determinations for matters other than building permits or discretionary permits.

(a) Areas outside a fire protection district. Any affected party may appeal a determination made by the fire code official regarding a matter for which a building permit or discretionary permit is not required by filing an appeal in writing with the Appeals Board within 30 days of the fire code official's final determination. The Appeals Board shall review the fire code official's determination and make a recommendation to uphold, overrule or modify the fire code official’s determination. The Appeals Board’s determination shall be final.

(b) Areas inside a fire protection district. Any affected party may appeal a determination made by the fire code official regarding a matter for which a building permit or discretionary permit is not required by filing an appeal in writing with the fire protection district’s Board of Directors within 30 days of the fire code official’s final determination. The Board of Directors shall review the fire code official's determination and make a recommendation to uphold, overrule or modify the fire code official’s determination. The Board of Director’s determination shall be final.

Sec. 108.5 Regional Fire Appeals Board.

(a) The Appeals Board members shall consist of the following:

- Two representatives from the San Diego County Fire Districts Association.
- Two chief officers from CAL FIRE.
- One fire marshal from the unincorporated area of the County.
1.11.2.3 More restrictive fire and panic safety building standards.

1.11.2.3.1 Any fire protection district organized pursuant to Health and Safety Code Part 2.7 (commencing with Section 13800) of Division 12 may adopt building standards relating to fire and panic safety that are more stringent than those building standards adopted by the State Fire Marshal and contained in the California Building Standards Code. For these purposes, the district board shall be deemed a legislative body and the district shall be deemed a local agency. Any changes or modifications that are more stringent than the requirements published in the California Building Standards Code relating to fire and panic safety shall be subject to Section 1.1.8.1.

1.11.2.3.2 Any fire protection district that proposes to adopt an ordinance pursuant to this section shall, not less than 30 days prior to noticing a proposed ordinance for public hearing, provide a copy of that ordinance, together with the adopted findings made pursuant to Section 1.11.2.3.1, to the city, county, or city and county where the ordinance will apply. The city, county, or city and county may provide the district with written comments, which shall become part of the fire protection district’s public hearing record.

1.11.2.3.3 The fire protection district shall transmit the adopted ordinance to the city, county, or city and county where the ordinance will apply. The legislative body of the city, county, or city and county may ratify, modify or deny an adopted ordinance and transmit its determination to the district within 15 days of the determination. Any modification or denial of an adopted ordinance shall include a written statement describing the reasons for any modifications or denial. No ordinance adopted by the district shall be effective until ratification by the city, county, or city and county where the ordinance will apply. Upon ratification of an adopted ordinance, the city, county, or city and county shall file a copy of the findings of the district, and any findings of the city, county, or city and county, together with the adopted ordinance expressly marked and identified to which each finding refers, in accordance with Section 1.1.8.1(3).

1.11.2.4 Request for alternate means of protection. Requests for approval to use an alternative material, assembly or materials, equipment, method of construction, method of installation of equipment or means of protection shall be made in writing to the enforcing agency by the owner or the owner’s authorized representative and shall be accompanied by a full statement of the conditions. Sufficient evidence or proof shall be submitted to substantiate any claim that may be made regarding its conformance. The enforcing agency may require tests and the submission of a test report from an approved testing organization as set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 19, to substantiate the equivalency of the proposed alternative means of protection.

When a request for alternate means of protection involves hazardous materials, the authority having jurisdiction may consider implementation of the findings and recommendations identified in a Risk Management Plan (RMP) developed in accordance with Title 19, Division 2, Chapter 4.5, Article 3.

Approval of a request for use of an alternative material, assembly of materials, equipment, method of construction, method of installation of equipment or means of protection made pursuant to these provisions shall be limited to the particular case covered by request and shall not be construed as establishing any precedent for any future request.

1.11.2.5 Appeals. When a request for an alternate means of protection has been denied by the enforcing agency, the applicant may file a written appeal to the State Fire Marshal for consideration of the applicant’s proposal. In considering such appeal, the State Fire Marshal may seek the advice of the State Board of Fire Services. The State Fire Marshal shall, after considering all of the facts presented, including any recommendations of the State Board of Fire Services, determine if the proposal is for the purposes intended, at least equivalent to that specified in these regulations in quality, strength, effectiveness, fire resistance, durability and safety, and shall transmit such findings and any recommendations to the applicant and to the enforcing agency.

1.11.3 Construction documents.

1.11.3.1 Public schools. Plans and specifications for the construction, alteration or addition to any building owned, leased or rented by any public school district shall be submitted to the Division of the State Architect.

1.11.3.2 Movable walls and partitions. Plans or diagrams shall be submitted to the enforcing agency for approval before the installation of, or rearrangement of, any movable wall or partition in any occupancy. Approval shall be granted only if there is no increase in the fire hazard.

1.11.3.3 New construction high-rise buildings.

1. Complete plans or specifications, or both, shall be prepared covering all work required to comply with new construction high-rise buildings. Such plans and specifications shall be submitted to the enforcing agency having jurisdiction.

2. All plans and specifications shall be prepared under the responsible charge of an architect or a civil or structural engineer authorized by law to develop construction plans and specifications, or by both such architect and engineer. Plans and specifications shall be prepared by an engineer duly qualified in that branch of engineering necessary to perform such services. Administration of the work of construction shall be under the charge of the responsible architect or engineer except that where plans and specifications involve alterations or repairs, such work of construction may be administered by an engineer duly qualified to perform such
Malek Ranch
4261 Entrada Al Sol, Bonsall, CA 92003
760/533/5391

4-8-19

Mr. Stephen Abbott

following your letter dated January-8-19 regarding the appeal option to get a map I am trying to find a person who can produce an orthomap to show there are 3 fire hydrant near me, one on the bottom of my new house 345ft Nick and I measured it 2-from my house the second hydrant is as Patty draw it on the blackboard it is 690 to the rear of my home but less than 600 feet to the front of my house and the third one I installed 2004 on Valle Del Sol. I realize you are trying to find a way to resolve it knowing that it is 3 years 4 months and counting. Since inspector has not sign the completion, I cannot put gate or fence finish paving neighbors dog running all over no security at my age 88 it is hard above all Rainbow water with their rules making it very difficult wanting me to get bond. I cannot afford $50 or more thousand dollars I know you trying to help me do you think I have a chance appealing tell me what are my options thank you.

[Signature]
Hydrant appeal

Stephen Abbott <sabbott@ncfire.org>  
To: mansour3879@yahoo.com  
CC: Patricia Koch

Jan 8 at 5:12 PM

Mr. Malek:

As per our conversation this afternoon, it is my understanding that you are requesting to appeal the requirement to install a fire hydrant at your newly constructed residence due to the relative proximity of other fire hydrants in the vicinity. As you are aware, both from your fire department stamp and approved building plans, and as well as the conditions for your original tentative parcel map, a fire hydrant was in fact required for this residence as a condition of final approval. Notwithstanding, should you request to now appeal this requirement, you would need to demonstrate that you have another existing fire hydrant that is within 500 roadway travel distance to your residence. To do so I might suggest the following:

Produce an ortho map (e.g. google earth image) of your property, which clearly shows the adjacent streets and existing fire hydrants. Mark on that map the location of the existing fire hydrants as well as the proposed fire hydrant. Please also identify the approximate roadway travel distance from each of those hydrants to your residence. Please note that we cannot accept "as the crow flies" type measurements from the hydrant(s) to the residence as fire engines do not travel across yards, undeveloped property, groves, etc. Please send that image along with a letter specifying exactly why you are appealing this hydrant requirement to me, either via email or the mailing address listed below.

Thank you,

Stephen Abbott, MPA, CFO, FFO
CEO/Fire Chief
North County Fire Protection District
330 S. Main Ave
Fallbrook, CA 92028
(760) 723-2012 (office)
(760) 723-2011 (fax)
(760) 644-1107 (mobile)
sabbott@ncfire.org

https://mail.yahoo.com/neo/launch?src=ym&reason=unsupported_browser
Hi Patty,

This project has not been finaled yet. The last valid inspection was a lath/drywall inspection on 4/6/18. Their building permit did expire and they renewed the permit a couple of weeks ago (4/3/19). I hope this helps!

Best Regards,

JAMES PINE | Asst. Fire Marshal
San Diego County Fire Authority
5510 Overland Ave., Suite 250
San Diego, CA 92123
T: 858.495.5434
Office Hrs.: M-Th, 7:00-5:30

Please take a moment to complete this brief customer service survey. Your input and comments are important to us as we strive to serve you better: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/psg-sdcfa-feedback

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain information protected from disclosure by applicable laws and regulations. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, use, copy, disclose or distribute this message or any of the information contained in this message to anyone. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments. Unintended transmission shall not constitute waiver of any applicable legal protection afforded to this email and any attached documents.
From: Patricia Koch <pkoch@ncfire.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2019 2:01 PM
To: Pine, James <James.Pine@sdcounty.ca.gov>
Subject: favor to ask

Hello James,
Are you able to see if this project has received final? I tried the online access but could not find it
121-312-29
4261 Entrada Al Sol.
Or if you can refer me to someone who could look into it?
Thank you,

Patricia Koch
Fire Marshal
North County Fire Protection District
330 S. Main Ave. Fallbrook Ca. 92028
760 723-2040
www.northcountyfireprotectiondistrict.org
LOCATION
Property Address 4261 Entrada Al Sol
Bonsall, CA 92003

Subdivision
Carrier Route
County San Diego County, CA
Map Code 1067F2

GENERAL PARCEL INFORMATION
APN/Tax ID 121-312-35-00
Alt. APN
City
Tax Area 57129
2018 Census Tract/Blok 186.11/1
Assessor Roll Year 2018

PROPERTY SUMMARY
Property Type Residential
Land Use Single Family Residential
Improvement Type
Square Feet
# of Buildings 0

CURRENT OWNER
Name Malek Mansour 1999 Trust 12-07-99
Mailing Address 4261 Entrada Al Sol
Bonsall, CA 92003
Owner Occupied Yes
Owner Right Vesting

SALES HISTORY THROUGH 04/05/2019
No sales information was found for this parcel.

TAX ASSESSMENT
Tax Assessment 2018
Change (%) 2017
Assessed Land $96,142.00 $41,140.00 (72.2%) $57,002.00
Assessed Improvements $308,512.00 $258,990.00 (523.0%) $49,522.00
Total Assessment $406,654.00 $300,130.00 (281.7%) $106,524.00
Exempt Reason
¼ Improved 75%

TAXES
Tax Year City Taxes County Taxes Total Taxes

COPYRIGHT © 2019 COURTHOUSE RETRIEVAL SYSTEM. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. Information Deemed Reliable But Not Guaranteed.
2018 $4,576.80
2017 $1,295.54

MORTGAGE HISTORY
No mortgages were found for this parcel.

FORECLOSURE HISTORY
No foreclosures were found for this parcel.

PROPERTY CHARACTERISTICS: BUILDING
No Buildings were found for this parcel.

PROPERTY CHARACTERISTICS: EXTRA FEATURES
No extra features were found for this parcel.

PROPERTY CHARACTERISTICS: LOT
Land Use Single Family Residential
Block/Lot Lot Dimensions
Latitude/Longitude 33.285981°/117.253111° Acreage 141.570 3.25

PROPERTY CHARACTERISTICS: UTILITIES/AREA
Gas Source Road Type
Electric Source Topography
Water Source District Trend
Sewer Source School District Unified Bonsall
Zoning Code R-1: Single Fam-Res
Owner Type

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
Subdivision Plat Book/Page
Block/Lot Tax Area
Tract Number
Description Pm18531 Par 4 Par B Per Doc16-0300863 In Remainder Par In All Of

FEMA FLOOD ZONES
Zone Code Flood Risk BFE Description FIRM Panel ID FIRM Panel Eff. Date
D Undetermined Areas of undetermined flood hazard where flooding is possible. 060284-06073C0475F 05/16/2012

LISTING ARCHIVE
No Listings found for this parcel.
ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS TO GROUP R OCCUPANCIES (§ 907.2.10): When the valuation of an addition, alteration or repair to a Group R Occupancy exceeds permit is required, or when one or more sleeping rooms are added or created in existing Group R C, smoke detectors shall be installed in accordance with § 907.2.10,

13. CONSTRUCTION METHODS FOR EXTERIOR WILDFIRE EXPOSURE (§ 4710): The construction method exterior wildfire exposure in a wildland-urban interface fire area shall be as provided in Chapter 7A of the C. Building Code.

Plan checked by: ____________________________ Date: ____________ Phone: (760) 723-2042

Comments:

- #2 in detail to be located on site plan
- Distance 2 (inches) from structure
- Indicate location of carbon monoxide detector
- Incorrect address on plans

D. FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE ABOVE INFORMATION, PLEASE REFER TO THE FOLLOWING WEBSITES.

1. North County Fire Protection District:
   www.ncfireprotectiondistrict.org

2. Fallbrook Fire Safe Council:
   http://www.fallbrookfiresafecouncil.org

3. San Diego County Fire Code:
   http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/docs/firecode.pdf

4. Recommended Landscaping:

5. Suggested Plant List for a Defensible Space:

6. Undesirable Plant List:

7. Wildland/Urban Interface Hazard Reduction:
   http://www.ncfireprotectiondistrict.org/pdf/bureau/UE_38_Resident_Info_Sheet.pdf
### NEW SITE PERVIOUS AND IMPERVIOUS TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>PERVIOUS SQ. FT.</th>
<th>IMPERVIOUS SQ. FT.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUILDING &amp; GROSS ROOF AREA</td>
<td>CONC./ROOF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRIVEWAY</td>
<td>ASPHALT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRONT &amp; SIDE OF GARAGE</td>
<td>PERVIOUS PAVERS</td>
<td>2,771</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRONT SIDE WALK</td>
<td>PERVIOUS PAVERS</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REAR PATIO AND WALKWAYS</td>
<td>PERVIOUS PAVERS</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PERVIOUS AREA:** 3,831  
**TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA:** 7,561  
**TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AND PERVIOUS AREA:** 11,392

### EXISTING SITE IMPERVIOUS AREA TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>DIMENSION</th>
<th>IMPERVIOUS SQ. FT.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(E) SHED/BARN</td>
<td>CONC./ROOF</td>
<td>48'x20'</td>
<td>960.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) GROVE ROAD</td>
<td>ASPHALT</td>
<td>PER PLAN</td>
<td>4,940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL EXISTING IMPERVIOUS SURFACES:** 5,900

### VICINITY MAP

1" = 30'-0"
EXISTING PAVEMENT

EXISTING IRREVOCABLE OFFER OF DEDICATION FOR PUBLIC HIGHWAY PURPOSES PER DOCUMENT RECORDED 1-22-1974 AS FILE NO. 74-016862 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

EXISTING ROAD EASEMENT PER DOCUMENT RECORDED 2-22-1974, AS FILE NO. 044130 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

EXISTING ROAD AND PRIVATE ROAD AND UTILITY EASEMENT PER DOCUMENT RECORDED 2-22-1974, AS FILE NO. 044130 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

THIS PROJECT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING ENERGY EFFICIENCY REQUIREMENTS:

1. COOL ROOF REQUIRED
2. CEILING HAS HIGH LEVEL OF INSULATION
3. EXPOSED SLAB FLOOR IN CONDITIONED ZONE

REFERENCE APPROVALS

1. THIS PROJECT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO APPROVALS, REFERENCE PLAN:
   A. GRADING: PDS 2016- RESGRD- 000101

SITE LANDSCAPE & DISTURBANCE AREA

4,874 SQ. FT. TOTAL IRRIGATED SLOPE AREA
36,900 SQ. FT. TOTAL DISTURBED AREA

SITE NOTES

1. THIS PROPERTY SEVICED BY PROPANE
2. THE LOT IS FUEL MODIFIED
March 26, 2019

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
THE NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
President Luevano called the meeting to order at 4:00.

THE INVOCATION GIVEN BY Deputy Chief Marovich.

ALL RECITED THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Directors Harris, Hoffman, Luevano, Munson and Van Doorn (at 4:05 p.m.).

Absent: None.

Staff Present: Fire Chief/CEO Abbott, Attorney James and Board Secretary Stephen-Porter. In the audience were: DFC Marovich, D/C Mahr, B/Cs Krumwiede, McReynolds, Schoenheit and Wilson, FM Koch and members of the public and Association.

PUBLIC ACTIVITIES AGENDA

1. **PUBLIC COMMENT:** President Luevano addressed the audience and inquired whether there were any public comments regarding items not on the Agenda. There being no comments, the Public Comment Section was closed.

ACTION AGENDA

**CONSENT ITEMS:**

3. **REVIEW AND ACCEPT REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES FOR FEBRUARY 26, 2019**

4. **REVIEW AND ACCEPT FINANCIAL REPORT FOR FEBRUARY 2019**

5. **REVIEW AND ACCEPT POLICIES & PROCEDURES — None**


President Luevano inquired whether there were any questions on Consent Items 2-6. There being no discussion, President Luevano asked for a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. On a motion by Vice President Harris, seconded by Director Munson, the motion to approve...
the Consent Agenda as presented passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Directors Harris, Hoffman, Luevano and Munson; **Absent:** Van Doorn.

**ACTION ITEMS:**

7. **REVIEW AND CAST BALLOT FOR SAN DIEGO LAFCO 2019 SPECIAL DISTRICT ELECTION — CHIEF ABBOTT:** Chief Abbott presented the LAFCO Special District Information to the Board. There is one (1) seat open on the LAFCO Regular Special District Member and one (1) seat open LAFCO’s Alternate Special District Member, both which expire in May 2023. The Board discussed accepting the LAFCO Nominating Committee’s recommendation, choosing Steve Casneta for the Alternate. On a motion by Vice President Harris, seconded by Director Hoffman, the motion to authorize the President to vote for Edmund Sprague and Steve Casneta passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Directors Harris, Hoffman, Luevano and Munson; **Absent:** Van Doorn.

8. **REVIEW AND APPROVE SECOND CONTRACT FOR MICROWAVE RADIO SYSTEM UPGRADES — DEPUTY CHIEF MAROVICH AND CHIEF ABBOTT:** Director Van Doorn arrived at 4:05 p.m. Deputy Chief Marovich presented the last contract request for the System, noting it will be the same sole source as the original contract due to the intricacy of the system and Sun Wireless being the original installer familiar with the system. On a motion by Director Munson, seconded by Director Van Doorn, the motion to approve the contract for the Microwave radio system upgrade with Sun Wireless as the sole source passed unanimously.

9. **PUBLIC HEARING DATE/TIME CERTAIN MARCH 26, 2019 AT 5:00 P.M. — HEARING ON PROPOSED ELECTION DISTRICT MAPS — CHIEF ABBOTT:** President Luevano open the public hearing to review and discuss the proposed election district maps. He encouraged a free exchange of ideas with the public members in the audience. Chief Abbott presented the three electoral district maps and census materials to the Board and public, discussing how they were developed, the general principles of re-districting, the interests and the California Voting Rights Act. The map and statistic complier, FF/PM Dustin Glasgow was present to answer questions. At the conclusion of Chief Abbott’s presentation, he inquired if there were changes or additions to the maps, methodology of development, election sequencing or
electoral district boundaries. After lengthy discussion and receiving input from the Board and public, there was a consensus to accept the maps as presented, without change and to have the three maps be the final maps for consideration. Public Member Letitia Maldonado Stamos offered that her community would endorse Map Three. The maps and census information will be available for the next public hearing on April 16, 2019, when the final selection will be considered if no other changes are requested.

10. **REVIEW AND CONSIDER FACILITY REPLACEMENT FUNDING – REVENUE MEASURE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS — CHIEF ABBOTT:** Chief Abbott updated the Board on Strategy Research Institute summary of the public opinion poll findings, which demonstrated that among the various options a limited revenue measure received the greatest degree of public support. Chief Abbott discussed the benefits of pending ACA-1 legislation and the length of time until approval. He informed the Board of discussions with the Registrar of Voter’s office; our present consultant should have the requisite experience and capabilities to conduct a third-party mail ballot-only Special Election, which would be the preferred method of election. On a motion by Vice President Harris, seconded by Director Hoffman, the motion to authorize Staff to move forward with a mail ballot using the current consultant to construct ballot language and to utilize SRI to continue public outreach passed unanimously. The Board thanked Staff for the hard work on this issue.

**DISCUSSION AGENDA**

11. There are no additional Discussion Agenda Items for the March 26, 2019, Board Meeting.

**STANDING DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

- **LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT:** Counsel James presented his report "Public Agencies Do Not Have to Create Records for PRA Requesters." Brief discussion ensued regarding the increasing number of requests and how this determination affects the District. This is informational only, no action required.

- **WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS:** Brief discussion ensued regarding the items, with a special thanks to everyone who participating in the Boot Drive in the rain. Informational
only, no action required.

- **BOARD RECOGNITION PROGRAM.**

- **NEWS ARTICLES:** Brief discussion ensued regarding articles. This is informational only, no action required.

- **COMMENTS:**

- **STAFF REPORTS/UPDATES:**

- **STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO:** Chief Abbott updated the Board on CalFIRE's weed abatement goals in and around the District. He also addressed staffing issues in the Fire Prevention department. Options for conducting inspections for weed abatement were discussed including software, grants, drones and volunteers. Informational only, no further action required. Chief Abbott informed the Board North Comm leased additional space from Rancho Santa Fe and noted RSF may move out, which would provide them with additional space. Chief Abbott informed the Board that Chiefs Marovich and Mahr continue talks with Fallbrook Regional Healthcare District. The new Air Compressor, funded by the Regional Neighborhood Grant Program, is in place. Chief Abbott opined he is optimistic about ACA-1 and that they added Districts to the legislation. In addition, he sent a letter of opposition to AB 1486.

- **CHIEF OFFICERS AND OTHER STAFF:** **DEPUTY CHIEF MAROVICH:** Deputy Chief Marovich reminded the Board the Preliminary Budget would be coming in May. **CHIEF McREYNOLDS:** Chief McReynolds updated the Board on examinations, including Engineers exams, which had six candidates from six agencies. He opined the collaboration with other agencies in the North Zone is a good investment.

- **BOARD:** No comments.

- **BARGAINING GROUPS:** A/V Mann noted the E-Board of the Association had approved moving forward with supporting the District in the next steps of the election. They will be presenting it to their membership with unanimous E-Board backing.

- **PUBLIC COMMENT:** No further comments.

///

///
CLOSED SESSION

CS-1. There are Closed Session Items for the March 26, 2019, Board Meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made at 6:40 p.m. by Vice President Harris and seconded by Director Hoffman to adjourn the meeting and reconvene on April 16, 2019, at 8:30 a.m. The motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Loren Stephen-Porter
Board Secretary

Minutes approved at the Board of Director's Meeting on: May 28, 2019
April 16, 2019

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
THE NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

President Luevano called the meeting to order at 8:30 A.M.

THE INVOCATION GIVEN BY CHIEF ABBOTT.

ALL RECITED THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Directors Harris, Hoffman, Luevano, Munson and Van Doorn.

Absent: None.

Staff Present: Fire Chief/CEO Abbott, Attorney James and Board Secretary Stephen-Porter. In the audience were: members of the public and Association.

PUBLIC ACTIVITIES AGENDA

1. Public Comment: President Luevano addressed the audience and inquired whether there were any public comments regarding items not on the Agenda. There being no comments, the Public Comment Section was closed.

ACTION AGENDA

Action Items:

2. Public Hearing Date/Time Certain April 16, 2019 at 08:30 A.M. — Hearing on Proposed Election District Maps for — Chief Abbott: President Luevano opened the public hearing by reading title and opened the Public Hearing, inquiring whether there was any public comment or testimony. There being neither, the Public Hearing was closed. Chief Abbott noted the maps presented at the last hearing had not been amended based on input of the public and the Board. President Luevano inquired whether the public had any input on the maps. Ms. Leticia Maldonado Stamos reiterated that Version three had the strongest representation for the Latino population and her group would support that map. She thanked the Board and Chief Abbott for making the re-district process so positive and transparent; for responding to the community’s concerns and suggestions. There being no further
comments, on a motion by Director Hoffman, seconded by Vice President Harris, the motion limit the map consideration to the three maps Versions presented without modification was approved unanimously.

3. **CONSIDERATION AND SELECTION OF VOTING ELECTION DISTRICT MAP — CHIEF ABBOTT:**

President Luevano opened discussion of the final selection of the Voting Election District Maps, noting the selection is limited to the three Versions selected as previously approved. Discussion ensued regarding how the 2020 census may affect the maps, as well as current ongoing construction. It was noted the census is now almost ten years old. It was noted that the District will need to be reconsidered after the next census in 2021. After in depth discussion, on a motion by Director Munson, seconded by Director Hoffman, the motion to accept and adopt Map Version 3 passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Directors Hoffman, Luevano, Munson, Van Doorn; **Nay:** Director Harris.

4. **CONSIDERATION AND SELECTION OF VOTING SEQUENCE — CHIEF ABBOTT:**

Chief Abbott presented several options for voting sequences to the Board and Public based on the adoption of Map Version 3. Chief Abbott noted there is currently no Board member living in District 1 or 2. Locations and terms of the Directors were discussed. Audience member Tom Frew addressed the Board giving his understanding that “at large” members staying “at large” members until the end of their term. The method of replacing Directors if no one from a District runs was discussed and how to encourage the public to interested in serving from the areas that traditionally have not provided candidates. On a motion by Director Hoffman, seconded by Vice President Harris, the motion to adopt the voting sequence for 2020 of: Districts 1, 4 and 5 and 2022 of: 2 and 3, passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Directors Harris, Hoffman, Luevano, Munson; **Nay:** Director Van Doorn.

5. **CONSIDER NOMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT TO SAN DIEGO LAFCO 2019 CONSOLIDATED REDEVELOPMENT OVERSIGHT BOARD — CHIEF ABBOTT:**

Chief Abbott presented the nomination request from LAFCO noting the Board may nominate members to the Board however, the District is not eligible to participate or be a recipient of the redevelopment funding. There being no interest in making a recommendation to LAFCO, the matter was closed.
6. **APPROVE REVISED MEETING SCHEDULE FOR NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT**

**BOARD MEETINGS FOR THE YEAR 2019 — CHIEF ABBOTT:** Chief Abbott presented a revised version of the Board meeting schedule to the Board requesting that the April 23rd meeting be cancelled due to lack of agenda items. On a motion by Vice President Harris, seconded by Director Hoffman, the motion to approve a revision to the 2019 meeting schedule passed unanimously.

**DISCUSSION AGENDA**

There were no additional Discussion Agenda Items for the April 16, 2019 Board Meeting.

**STANDING DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

- **COMMENTS:**
- **STAFF REPORTS/UPDATES:**
- **STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO:** Chief Abbott updated the Board on the next steps in the outreach program. Staff are working on Phase 2 of the NCFPD Newsletter, which they are targeting to distribute in the next thirty days. The Association has been actively gearing up for the project, attending Legislative week, California Professional Firefighter training relating campaigning. Chief Abbott thank them for their support and active interest in the project. Chief Abbott is working with the Taussig Group to develop ballot language. Regionally, Chief Abbott discussed the Juvenile Firesetters Program through the Burn Institute, noting it is a good program and no one else is providing the services. The District received it next apportionment, which was slightly above projections. Both Chief Abbott and Director Munson just attended the Fire District Association of California conference, which was very beneficial. Chief Abbott updated the Board on the Julian District and its dissolution struggles. Chief Abbott informed the Board that B/C McReynolds was promoted to Division Chief and will be taking on more HR responsibilities and be working more with structured succession planning and the Finance Division. Chief Abbott also updated the Board with the results of the ongoing discussion of shared resources with Fallbrook Regional Healthcare District. Chief Abbott will be on vacation April 29 through
May 11th. Employee recognition is May 11th, the Board is invited to attend. Informational only, no further action required.

- **CHIEF OFFICERS AND OTHER STAFF:** None.
- **BOARD:** No comments.
- **BARGAINING GROUPS:** No comments.
- **PUBLIC COMMENT:** No comments.

### ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made at 9:36 a.m. by Director Hoffman and seconded by Vice President Harris to adjourn the meeting and reconvene on May 28, 2019, at 4:00 p.m. The motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Loren Stephen-Porter

Board Secretary

Minutes approved at the Board of Director's Meeting on: May 28, 2019
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
FROM: DFC CHIEF STEVEN MAROVICH, HR/FS CHERIE JUUL AND CHIEF ABBOTT  
DATE: MAY 28, 2019  
SUBJECT: REVENUE & EXPENDITURES AS OF APRIL 30, 2019 (83%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue Sources</th>
<th>Budgeted</th>
<th>Collected</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Taxes - FBK</td>
<td>14,732,530.00</td>
<td>14,491,556.50</td>
<td>(240,973.50)</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Taxes - RNBW</td>
<td>275,000.00</td>
<td>268,058.93</td>
<td>(236,911.29)</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulance and Collections</td>
<td>1,902,500.00</td>
<td>1,526,488.33</td>
<td>(376,011.67)</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMT-State Supplement</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(100,000.00)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Fees</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>189,630.05</td>
<td>(10,369.95)</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Lease Agreements</td>
<td>90,000.00</td>
<td>85,892.32</td>
<td>(4,107.68)</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenue Sources</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>88,958.83</td>
<td>53,958.83</td>
<td>254%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>34,056.03</td>
<td>4,056.03</td>
<td>114%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Recovery</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
<td>53,482.89</td>
<td>(16,517.11)</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities District (CFD)</td>
<td>68,966.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strike Team Reimbursements</td>
<td>121,780.00</td>
<td>494,371.20</td>
<td>372,591.20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Reimbursements</td>
<td>374,162.00</td>
<td>190,203.59</td>
<td>(183,958.41)</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Fees &amp; Interest - FBK</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>460,823.68</td>
<td>210,823.68</td>
<td>184%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Fees &amp; Interest - RNBW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations &amp; Grants</td>
<td>628,090.00</td>
<td>295,504.00</td>
<td>(332,586.00)</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annexation fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers &amp; Loans</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue:</strong></td>
<td><strong>18,878,028.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>18,179,070.36</strong></td>
<td><strong>(698,957.64)</strong></td>
<td><strong>96%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budgeted</th>
<th>Spent</th>
<th>Over/Under</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTL Expenditures YTD thru 01-31-2018</td>
<td>17,825,074.00</td>
<td>13,626,061.52</td>
<td>(4,199,012.48)</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue over Expenditures</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,553,008.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North County Fire Protection District
For the Tenth Month Ending April 30, 2019
83% of Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>April Actual</th>
<th>Running Total</th>
<th>Annual Budget</th>
<th>Amount Remaining</th>
<th>% Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PERSONNEL</td>
<td>931,410.80</td>
<td>11,111,417.87</td>
<td>14,438,066.00</td>
<td>3,326,648.13</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 Total Board Administration</td>
<td>27,069.58</td>
<td>232,572.77</td>
<td>328,900.00</td>
<td>96,327.23</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 Total Administration</td>
<td>235,985.81</td>
<td>860,136.71</td>
<td>1,153,120.00</td>
<td>292,983.29</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103 Total Fire Prevention</td>
<td>17,392.95</td>
<td>36,540.97</td>
<td>80,432.00</td>
<td>43,891.03</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104 Total Emergency Services</td>
<td>35,761.05</td>
<td>217,790.32</td>
<td>326,918.00</td>
<td>109,127.68</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 Total Emergency Med Svcs</td>
<td>21,884.75</td>
<td>157,902.17</td>
<td>164,258.00</td>
<td>6,355.83</td>
<td>96.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106 Total Reserves (note below)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74,386.22</td>
<td>31,000.00</td>
<td>(43,386.22)</td>
<td>240.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 Total Communications</td>
<td>65,939.57</td>
<td>627,597.34</td>
<td>655,339.00</td>
<td>27,741.66</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108 Total Shop/Maintenance</td>
<td>22,381.93</td>
<td>251,642.63</td>
<td>344,260.00</td>
<td>92,617.37</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 Total Training</td>
<td>7,969.50</td>
<td>56,074.52</td>
<td>102,850.00</td>
<td>46,775.48</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 Total General Fund Reserve</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRAND TOTAL**

|             | 1,365,795.94 | 13,626,061.52 | 17,825,143.00 | 4,199,081.48 | 76.4% |

Note: SAFER grant will provide reimbursement

| 200 Total Capital Expenditures | 10,423.65    | 828,175.52    | 907,743.88    | 79,568.36     | 91.2% |
# NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

### Tax Apportionments FY 18-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>APP #</th>
<th>GROSS</th>
<th>REFUNDS &amp; ADJUSTMENTS</th>
<th>FY 18/19 NET</th>
<th>FY 18/19 RUNNING</th>
<th>FY 17/18 NET</th>
<th>FY 17/18 RUNNING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08 1 2018</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>212,187.31</td>
<td>1,099.25</td>
<td>211,088.06</td>
<td>211,088.06</td>
<td>208,527.21</td>
<td>208,527.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 1 2018</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64,587.28</td>
<td>2,440.55</td>
<td>62,146.73</td>
<td>273,234.79</td>
<td>77,260.58</td>
<td>285,787.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 1 2018</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>194,918.23</td>
<td>19,187.96</td>
<td>175,730.27</td>
<td>448,965.06</td>
<td>141,090.29</td>
<td>426,878.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 30 2018</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>620,477.43</td>
<td>17,135.11</td>
<td>603,342.32</td>
<td>1,052,307.38</td>
<td>647,686.90</td>
<td>1,074,564.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 11 2018</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4,713,672.67</td>
<td>10,812.77</td>
<td>4,702,859.90</td>
<td>5,755,167.28</td>
<td>4,508,309.45</td>
<td>5,582,674.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 15 2019</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,492,363.64</td>
<td>17,280.91</td>
<td>2,475,082.73</td>
<td>8,230,250.01</td>
<td>3,031,362.46</td>
<td>8,614,236.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 12 2019</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>378,359.62</td>
<td>4767.06</td>
<td>373,592.56</td>
<td>8,603,842.57</td>
<td>348,998.07</td>
<td>8,963,234.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 1 2019</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 9 2019</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4,001,146.44</td>
<td>158,442.06</td>
<td>3,842,704.38</td>
<td>12,446,546.95</td>
<td>3,028,136.07</td>
<td>11,991,371.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 30 2019</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,813,843.88</td>
<td>10,364.23</td>
<td>1,803,479.65</td>
<td>14,250,026.60</td>
<td>148,917.51</td>
<td>12,138,288.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 28 2019</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,250,026.60</td>
<td>1,368,061.22</td>
<td>13,506,349.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 18 2018</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,250,026.60</td>
<td>218,727.40</td>
<td>13,725,077.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 19 2018</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,250,026.60</td>
<td>211,732.88</td>
<td>13,936,810.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL YTD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,491,556.50</td>
<td>241,529.90</td>
<td>14,250,026.60</td>
<td>14,250,026.60</td>
<td>12,138,288.54</td>
<td>12,138,288.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net Rev Increase: 17.40%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>APP #</th>
<th>GROSS</th>
<th>REFUNDS &amp; ADJUSTMENTS</th>
<th>FY 18/19 NET</th>
<th>FY 18/19 RUNNING</th>
<th>FY 17/18 NET</th>
<th>FY 17/18 RUNNING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08 1 2018</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,901.18</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>3,880.98</td>
<td>3,880.98</td>
<td>3,870.19</td>
<td>3,870.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 1 2018</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,187.53</td>
<td>44.87</td>
<td>1,142.66</td>
<td>5,023.64</td>
<td>1,433.78</td>
<td>5,303.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 1 2018</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,583.74</td>
<td>352.85</td>
<td>3,230.89</td>
<td>8,254.53</td>
<td>2,618.45</td>
<td>7,922.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 30 2018</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11,408.02</td>
<td>326.46</td>
<td>11,081.56</td>
<td>19,336.09</td>
<td>12,002.74</td>
<td>19,925.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 11 2018</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>86,390.58</td>
<td>198.43</td>
<td>86,192.15</td>
<td>105,528.24</td>
<td>84,302.39</td>
<td>104,227.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 15 2019</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46,876.77</td>
<td>317.46</td>
<td>46,559.31</td>
<td>152,087.55</td>
<td>55,734.72</td>
<td>159,962.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 1 2019</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6,920.53</td>
<td>87.57</td>
<td>6,832.96</td>
<td>158,920.51</td>
<td>6,380.89</td>
<td>166,343.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 1 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>158,920.51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>166,343.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 9 2019</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73,700.06</td>
<td>2,924.52</td>
<td>70,775.54</td>
<td>229,696.05</td>
<td>56,188.26</td>
<td>222,531.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 30 2019</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>34,090.52</td>
<td>190.26</td>
<td>33,900.26</td>
<td>263,596.31</td>
<td>2,297.59</td>
<td>224,829.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 28 2019</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>263,596.31</td>
<td>25,442.75</td>
<td>250,271.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 18 2018</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>263,596.31</td>
<td>5,113.31</td>
<td>255,385.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 19 2018</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>263,596.31</td>
<td>3,896.49</td>
<td>259,281.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL YTD**

| 268,058.93 | 4,462.82 | 263,596.31 | 263,596.31 | 224,829.01 | 224,829.01 |

**Net Rev Increase** 17.24%
### NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

**AMBULANCE REVENUE FY 2018-2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>BILLED</th>
<th>CONTRACTUAL WRITE DOWNS</th>
<th>TOTAL AR FY 18-19</th>
<th>TOTAL AR FY 17-18</th>
<th>BAD DEBT WRITE-OFFS</th>
<th>REFUNDS</th>
<th>ADJ AR</th>
<th>DEPOSITS RECEIVED</th>
<th>BILLING FEES</th>
<th>FY 18-19 NET REVENUE</th>
<th>FY 17-18 NET REVENUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07 31 2017</td>
<td>486,857.35</td>
<td>273,096.29</td>
<td>213,761.06</td>
<td>226,354.63</td>
<td>47,413.41</td>
<td>2,542.20</td>
<td>153,805.45</td>
<td>166,148.09</td>
<td>9,553.48</td>
<td>156,594.81</td>
<td>147,411.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 31 2017</td>
<td>458,756.82</td>
<td>261,730.97</td>
<td>196,525.85</td>
<td>189,689.18</td>
<td>77,247.01</td>
<td>1,498.39</td>
<td>117,780.45</td>
<td>135,686.25</td>
<td>7,890.50</td>
<td>127,795.75</td>
<td>166,941.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 30 2017</td>
<td>383,795.22</td>
<td>213,396.97</td>
<td>180,398.25</td>
<td>172,766.98</td>
<td>36,090.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>144,308.07</td>
<td>153,416.18</td>
<td>9,051.55</td>
<td>144,364.63</td>
<td>129,488.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 31 2017</td>
<td>434,064.78</td>
<td>256,219.78</td>
<td>177,845.02</td>
<td>187,814.29</td>
<td>25,190.48</td>
<td>3,571.44</td>
<td>149,083.10</td>
<td>164,457.00</td>
<td>10,882.96</td>
<td>173,674.04</td>
<td>150,932.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 30 2017</td>
<td>495,921.93</td>
<td>262,406.32</td>
<td>232,615.61</td>
<td>163,764.51</td>
<td>44,636.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187,979.32</td>
<td>168,541.06</td>
<td>9,943.92</td>
<td>158,597.14</td>
<td>144,190.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 31 2017</td>
<td>463,825.06</td>
<td>235,980.43</td>
<td>227,844.63</td>
<td>202,887.40</td>
<td>43,438.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>184,408.28</td>
<td>145,520.17</td>
<td>8,585.69</td>
<td>136,934.48</td>
<td>133,490.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 31 2018</td>
<td>506,874.68</td>
<td>331,075.66</td>
<td>265,799.30</td>
<td>185,586.06</td>
<td>51,943.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>213,856.06</td>
<td>156,191.54</td>
<td>9,200.88</td>
<td>145,990.66</td>
<td>167,815.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 28 2018</td>
<td>487,410.29</td>
<td>269,480.00</td>
<td>217,930.29</td>
<td>154,965.64</td>
<td>38,353.52</td>
<td>6,977.17</td>
<td>172,599.60</td>
<td>164,368.28</td>
<td>9,286.08</td>
<td>155,082.20</td>
<td>123,383.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 31 2018</td>
<td>536,563.36</td>
<td>315,211.61</td>
<td>217,351.75</td>
<td>208,554.49</td>
<td>13,713.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>203,638.52</td>
<td>159,881.05</td>
<td>9,432.98</td>
<td>150,448.07</td>
<td>117,973.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 30 2018</td>
<td>498,763.14</td>
<td>286,640.78</td>
<td>212,122.36</td>
<td>182,733.35</td>
<td>41,081.11</td>
<td>7,634.74</td>
<td>163,406.51</td>
<td>186,652.82</td>
<td>10,545.87</td>
<td>170,106.75</td>
<td>131,626.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 31 2018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>194,318.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 30 2018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>177,800.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>4,851,432.81</td>
<td>2,709,238.69</td>
<td>2,142,194.12</td>
<td>1,874,736.53</td>
<td>419,104.82</td>
<td>22,223.94</td>
<td>1,700,865.38</td>
<td>1,620,862.24</td>
<td>94,373.91</td>
<td>1,526,488.33</td>
<td>1,413,263.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Net AR Change: 14.27%
- New Revenue Change: 8.01%
## NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
### COST RECOVERY FY 2018/2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Billed</th>
<th>Collected</th>
<th>YTD % Collected</th>
<th>Billing Fees</th>
<th>Net Revenue</th>
<th>FY 17/18 Net Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/31/2018</td>
<td>12,030.00</td>
<td>11,415.00</td>
<td>94.89%</td>
<td>2,283.00</td>
<td>9,132.00</td>
<td>5,082.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/2018</td>
<td>6,942.00</td>
<td>6,412.35</td>
<td>92.37%</td>
<td>1,282.47</td>
<td>5,129.88</td>
<td>5,286.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/30/2018</td>
<td>8,525.00</td>
<td>8,288.00</td>
<td>97.22%</td>
<td>1,657.60</td>
<td>6,630.40</td>
<td>6,951.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/31/2018</td>
<td>7,948.00</td>
<td>7,829.24</td>
<td>98.51%</td>
<td>1,565.85</td>
<td>6,263.39</td>
<td>7,364.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/2018</td>
<td>9,083.00</td>
<td>8,726.52</td>
<td>96.08%</td>
<td>1,745.30</td>
<td>6,981.22</td>
<td>5,664.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/2018</td>
<td>5,679.00</td>
<td>5,151.00</td>
<td>90.70%</td>
<td>1,030.20</td>
<td>4,120.80</td>
<td>3,507.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/31/2019</td>
<td>5,004.00</td>
<td>4,567.00</td>
<td>91.27%</td>
<td>913.40</td>
<td>3,653.60</td>
<td>4,669.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/28/2019</td>
<td>7,937.00</td>
<td>7,700.00</td>
<td>97.01%</td>
<td>1,540.00</td>
<td>6,160.00</td>
<td>7,322.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/30/2019</td>
<td>3,456.00</td>
<td>3,456.00</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>691.20</td>
<td>2,764.80</td>
<td>7,275.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/30/2019</td>
<td>3,552.00</td>
<td>3,308.50</td>
<td>93.14%</td>
<td>661.70</td>
<td>2,646.80</td>
<td>6,348.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/31/2019</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,159.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/2019</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,872.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td>70,156.00</td>
<td>66,853.61</td>
<td>95.29%</td>
<td>13,370.72</td>
<td>53,482.89</td>
<td>59,471.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net Rev Increase** -10.07%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
<th>INTEREST RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego/General Fund - FBK</td>
<td>4,825,155.47</td>
<td>0.01% Operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego/General Fund - RNBW</td>
<td>833,945.20</td>
<td>0.01% Operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego/Capital Reserve</td>
<td>387,624.03</td>
<td>0.01% Capital Reserves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego/Fire Mitigation Fund - FBK</td>
<td>553,044.96</td>
<td>0.01% Mitigation Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego/Fire Mitigation Fund - RNBW</td>
<td>3,926.91</td>
<td>0.01% Mitigation Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund</td>
<td>2,526,791.84</td>
<td>2.39% LAIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers' Comp JPA</td>
<td>464,728.73</td>
<td>0.26% PASIS Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of America/PASIS</td>
<td>39,827.47</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National/Benefit Fund</td>
<td>154,738.25</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National/Payroll</td>
<td>7,288.55</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National/Accounts Payable</td>
<td>171,317.59</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National/Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>124,460.31</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Western Bank/Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>786,898.16</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,679,748.47</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Vendor Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 STMT 04/2019</td>
<td>Employee Benefit Specialists, Inc.</td>
<td>Dental/Vision/Life LTD 04/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 STMT 04/2019</td>
<td>Harry J. Wilson Insurance Center</td>
<td>LTD 04/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 201819-189</td>
<td>NORTH COUNTY DISPATCH JPA</td>
<td>Dispatch/IT Q4 18/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 405-2</td>
<td>RIDEOUT ELECTRIC</td>
<td>Sta. 1 bathroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 32270</td>
<td>SCRAPPY'S</td>
<td>Tire repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 0417565040119</td>
<td>TIME WARNER CABLE</td>
<td>04/11/19-05/10/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 STMT 04/2019</td>
<td>THE STANDARD</td>
<td>LTD 04/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 9827202445</td>
<td>VERIZON WIRELESS</td>
<td>03/02/19-04/01/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 90819</td>
<td>UNIFORM SPECIALIST/ACE UNIFORMS</td>
<td>S. Abbott uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 90821</td>
<td>UNIFORM SPECIALIST/ACE UNIFORMS</td>
<td>S. Abbott uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 1 2019 DUES 19/20</td>
<td>San Diego Burn Institute</td>
<td>FP Officers membership 19/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 2 2019 AUTO X CLASS</td>
<td>MATHIEU LINDSEY</td>
<td>Auto X class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 2 2019 336632</td>
<td>FALLBROOK EQUIPMENT RENTALS</td>
<td>Sta. 1 Hammer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 2 2019 STMT 04/02/2019</td>
<td>LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE</td>
<td>Personnel issues - handbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 3 2019 IT-380</td>
<td>Charlie Swanger</td>
<td>IT-380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 3 2019 150969</td>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE SOLUTIONS</td>
<td>FSA - Admin 04/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 3 2019 16044260</td>
<td>MOTOROLA</td>
<td>(2) Entry DEK kit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 3 2019 16044529</td>
<td>MOTOROLA</td>
<td>(8) Chargers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 4 2019 614796</td>
<td>SAVMART PHARMACEUTICALS, INC</td>
<td>(20) Ketamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 5 2019 CHIEF OFF 3C &amp; 3D</td>
<td>RYAN GARING</td>
<td>Chief Officer 3C &amp; 3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 5 2019 26932</td>
<td>Signarama of San Marcos</td>
<td>Helmet name decals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 8 2019 04/09/19-05/08/19</td>
<td>AT&amp;T U-VERSE</td>
<td>04/09/19-05/08/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 9 2019 614860</td>
<td>SAVMART PHARMACEUTICALS, INC</td>
<td>(10) Ketamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 9 2019 614861</td>
<td>SAVMART PHARMACEUTICALS, INC</td>
<td>(1) Fentanyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 9 2019 614862</td>
<td>SAVMART PHARMACEUTICALS, INC</td>
<td>(1) Fentanyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 9 2019 49752</td>
<td>Uniform Plus</td>
<td>C. Craven uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 9 2019 49754</td>
<td>Uniform Plus</td>
<td>Class A B. Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 10 2019 07/01/18-06/30/19</td>
<td>San Diego County Vector Control Program</td>
<td>Mosquito &amp; Disease control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 10 2019 9109446-SI-00001</td>
<td>Emser Tile</td>
<td>Sta. 1 tile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 10 2019 64989/65355/66303</td>
<td>S&amp;R TOWING</td>
<td>Demolition vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 10 2019 00002400401019</td>
<td>TIME WARNER CABLE</td>
<td>04/20/19-05/19/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 10 2019 03/11/19-04/10/19</td>
<td>RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DIST</td>
<td>03/11/19-04/10/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 10 2019 3/11/19-4/10/19-002</td>
<td>RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DIST</td>
<td>03/11/19-04/10/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 10 2019 3/11/19-4/10/19-000</td>
<td>RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DIST</td>
<td>03/11/19-04/10/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 11 2019 49772</td>
<td>Uniform Plus</td>
<td>R. Decamp uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 11 2019 91074</td>
<td>UNIFORM SPECIALIST/ACE UNIFORMS</td>
<td>C. Craven uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 12 2019 PR AP 04/12/19</td>
<td>FALLBROOK FIREFIGHTERS' ASSN</td>
<td>FFA Dues 04/12/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 12 2019 PR AP 04/12/19</td>
<td>FALLBROOK FIREFIGHTERS' ASSN</td>
<td>FFA DUES SR 04/12/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 12 2019 PR AP 04/12/19</td>
<td>FIREFIGHTERS LEG. ACTION GRP</td>
<td>FLAG DUES PR 04/12/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 12 2019 PR AP 04/12/19</td>
<td>LINCOLN NATIONAL</td>
<td>LINCOLN NATL 04/12/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Document Number</td>
<td>Vendor Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 12 2019</td>
<td>09D0036333755</td>
<td>READY FRESH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 12 2019</td>
<td>1207549394</td>
<td>Citrix Systems, Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 12 2019</td>
<td>R51359</td>
<td>VALLEY POWER SYSTEMS, INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 15 2019</td>
<td>STM4 04/15/19</td>
<td>FALLBROOK OIL COMPANY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 15 2019</td>
<td>STM4 04/15/19</td>
<td>LEGAL SHIELD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 17 2019</td>
<td>ACA MAILING 2018</td>
<td>SCOTT RADAUGH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 17 2019</td>
<td>STM4 04/17/2019</td>
<td>POSTAL ANNEX #25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 17 2019</td>
<td>03/21/19-04/17/19-00</td>
<td>FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 17 2019</td>
<td>3/21/19-4/17/19</td>
<td>FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 17 2019</td>
<td>03/18/19-04/17/19</td>
<td>RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 17 2019</td>
<td>3/18/19-4/17/19</td>
<td>RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 18 2019</td>
<td>18/19 4TH QTR ADMIN</td>
<td>CITY OF SAN MARCOS/KISER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 19 2019</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>RIDEOUT ELECTRIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 21 2019</td>
<td>36114100379</td>
<td>DIRECTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>AUGUST 421.49</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>BERRY 190.10</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>DECAMP 103.16</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>HARRINGTON 138.87</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>KRUNMIEDE 414.00</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>HAMMER 293.71</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>NIELO 925.32</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 23 2019</td>
<td>LATE FEE 2/22/19</td>
<td>US BANK GOVERNMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 25 2019</td>
<td>59805847</td>
<td>Linde Gas North America LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 25 2019</td>
<td>59805938</td>
<td>Linde Gas North America LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 26 2019</td>
<td>PR AP 04/26/19</td>
<td>FALLBROOK FIREFIGHTERS' ASSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 26 2019</td>
<td>PR AP 04/26/19</td>
<td>FALLBROOK FIREFIGHTERS' ASSN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 26 2019</td>
<td>PR AP 04/26/19</td>
<td>FIREFIGHTERS LEG. ACTION GRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 26 2019</td>
<td>PR AP 04/26/19</td>
<td>LINCOLN NATIONAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO

DATE: MAY 28, 2019

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The following Policies and Procedures are being presented for review and approval:

1. GOVERNANCE: STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES - ELECTIONS, OFFICERS AND TERMS
   ➢ Revision of policy to include re-district language; how vacancies are filled; required filings.

2. GOVERNANCE: STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES - MEETINGS
   ➢ Revision of policy to distinguish between Public Hearings and Appeal Hearings.
1.0. **ELECTIONS AND TERMS:**

1.1. **ELECTION PROCESS:**

1.1.1. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in the electoral district established by Resolution 2019-05 and subsequently reapportioned as provided for in State law and as set forth in §7 of the Resolution. Elections shall take place by electoral districts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, meaning that one Director shall be elected from each electoral district, by the voters of that electoral district.

1.1.2. The term of the elected members of the Board of Directors that commence prior to the effective date of this Resolution shall not be affected by the adoption of this Resolution.

1.1.3. A Director elected or appointed to represent a district must reside within that district and be a registered voter within that district. Likewise, any candidate for the Board of Directors must reside in and be a registered voter within the district in which he or she seeks election at the time nomination papers are issued. The Director shall continue to reside within the electoral district during the term of office. The Directors elected are to exercise their independent judgement on behalf of the interest of the entire fire district, including residents, property owners and the public as a whole, in furtherance of the mission, purposes and intents of the District.

1.1.4. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Resolution, the Directors in office at the time this Resolution takes effect shall continue in office at-large until the expiration of the term to which he or she was elected. In the event a vacancy occurs before the end of the term of a Director in office at the time Resolution 2019-05 takes effect, a person who is appointed or elected by a special election to fill such vacancy may reside anywhere within the boundaries of the District.

1.2. **IMPLEMENTATION OF ELECTION-BY-DISTRICT:**

1.2.1. The by-district election system shall be implemented, beginning at the November 2020 election of the Board of Directors, as follows:
1.2.2. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in Districts 1, 4 and 5 beginning at the November 2020 election of the Board of Directors and every four years thereafter (See Resolution 2019-05, Exhibit C).

1.2.3. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in Districts 2 and 3 beginning at the November 2022 election of the Board of Directors and every four years thereafter (See Resolution 2019-05, Exhibit C).

1.3. ADJUSTMENT OF BOUNDARIES:

1.3.1. Pursuant to Elections Code §22000 and Health and Safety Code §13847, as either may be amended from time-to-time, the Board of Directors shall adjust the boundaries of any or all of the Districts by November 1 of the year following the Decennial Federal Census, to ensure that the Districts are in compliance with all applicable provisions of law.

1.4. SEATING OF NEW DIRECTORS:

1.4.1. The term of office of newly elected Directors shall begin at 12:00 A.M. of the first Friday of December following their election (Elections Code §10554).

1.4.2. Newly elected Directors are subject to the Brown Act upon certification of election, which may occur prior to being sworn-in.

1.4.3. Newly elected Directors shall be sworn-in as the first order of regular business during the regular December meeting of the Board.

1.5. REQUIRED FILINGS:

1.6. STATEMENT OF FACTS:

1.6.1. Within ten (10) days after any change in the facts set forth in the Statement of Facts for the District, as outlined in Government Code §§ 53051 and 946.4, the Board Secretary will update the District’s Statement and forward the updated Statement to the California Secretary of State and to the County Clerk of San Diego. At a minimum, the following information will be included:

1.6.2. The full, legal name of the District;
1.3.3.1.6.3. The official mailing address of the Board of Directors of the District;

1.3.4.1.6.4. The name, title and residence or business address of each Member of the Board of Directors of the District; and

1.3.5.1.6.5. The name and residence or business address of the President, other presiding officer and Secretary of the Board of Directors.

1.7. **CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND FORM 700:**

1.7.1. Board Members shall comply with the requirements of the California Fair Political Practices Commission and the District’s most currently adopted Code of Conflict, as required by law.

1.7.2. The District’s filing official will assist Board Members with identifying appropriate filing periods and submission of documents.

1.7.3. Typical filing periods are:

1.7.3.1. Assuming office (including serving on JPA Boards as the District’s representative);
1.7.3.2. Leaving office;
1.7.3.3. Annual filings; and

1.7.3.4. Amendments.

2.0. **SELECTION OF OFFICERS:**

2.1.1. Should a Director desire to serve as an Officer, s/he has the responsibility to express such desire to the rest of the Board.

2.1.2. It is desirable that Directors take turns as an Officer.

2.1.3. The Board shall not have a “lock step” rotation system for selecting Officers.

2.2. **OFFICER ELECTIONS:**

2.2.1. At the first meeting following the election or appointment of new Director(s) and at the last scheduled meeting of the calendar year (during a non-election year), the Board shall elect a President and Vice President to serve until the election of his/her successor.
2.2.2. Assumption of the Board Officer positions is effective at the conclusion of the meeting of the Board of Directors at which the election occurred.

2.2.3. Upon the occurrence of a vacancy, the Board shall fill such vacancy in accordance with the law (Health and Safety §13852). An interim election may be held upon the written request of the majority of the Directors in office at the time.

2.3. **BOARD OFFICER - TERM OF OFFICE:**

2.3.1. Once elected, Board Officers shall serve in their nominated position for a one-(1) year term.

2.3.2. Board Officers may be removed from a Board Officer position by a 4/5 vote of the Board (4 out of 5 Members).

3.0. **VACANCIES ON THE BOARD:**

3.1. **ACTION REQUIRED BY THE BOARD:**

3.1.1. The District shall notify the County elections official of the vacancy no later than fifteen (15) days following either the date on which the District Board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later (Government Code §1780 (a and b)).

3.1.2. The remaining District Board Members have sixty (60) days immediately subsequent to either the date on which the District Board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy; whichever is later, to either fill the vacancy:

3.1.2.1. By appointment, or
3.1.2.2. By calling a special election (Government Code §1780(c and d)).

3.2. **APPOINTMENTS TO FILL VACANCIES:**

3.2.1. If the Board decides to appoint someone to fill the vacancy, the Board first must post a notice of the vacancy in three or more conspicuous places in the District at least fifteen (15) days before the appointment is made (Government Code §1780(d)).
3.2.2. The Board must notify the County elections of the appointment no later than fifteen (15) days after the appointment is made (Government Code §1780(d)).

3.2.3. If the vacancy occurs in the first half of a term of office and at least 130 days prior to the next general district election, the person appointed to fill the vacancy shall hold office until the next general district election that is scheduled 130 or more days after the date the District Board is notified of the vacancy, and thereafter until the person who is elected at that election to fill the vacancy has been qualified. The person elected to fill the vacancy shall hold office for the unexpired balance of the term of office (Government Code §1780(d)(2)).

3.2.4. If the vacancy occurs in the first half of a term of office, but less than 130 days prior to the next general district election, or if the vacancy occurs in the second half of a term of office, the person appointed to fill the vacancy shall fill the balance of the unexpired term of office (Government Code §1780(d)(2)).

3.3. **ELECTIONS TO FILL VACANCIES:**

3.3.1. In lieu of making an appointment, within sixty (60) days of the date the District Board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later, the remaining Members of the Board may call an election to fill the vacancy. The election shall be held on the next established election date that is 130 or more days after the date the District Board calls the election.

3.3.2. A regular election as defined by Elections Code §1000 is:

   3.3.2.1. The second Tuesday of April in each even-numbered year.
   3.3.2.2. The first Tuesday after the first Monday in March of each odd-numbered year.
   3.3.2.3. The first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of each year.
   3.3.2.4. The first Tuesday after the first Monday in March in each even-numbered year.

3.4. **IF THE DISTRICT BOARD FAILS TO ACT:**
3.4.1. If the vacancy is not filled by the District Board by either making an appointment or calling a special election within sixty (60) days of the date the District Board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later, the following shall occur:

3.4.1.1. Within the next thirty (30) days, the Board of Supervisors of the County representing the District area in which the election to fill the vacancy will be held, may fill the vacancy by appointment or may order the District to call an election to fill the vacancy.

3.4.2. The election shall be held on the next established election date that is 130 or more days after the date the Board of Supervisors calls the election Government Code §1780(f).

3.5. IF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FAILS TO ACT:

3.5.1. If within ninety (90) days of the date the District Board is notified of the vacancy or the effective date of the vacancy, whichever is later, the remaining members of the District Board or the Board of Supervisors have not filled the vacancy and no election has been called for, then the District Board shall call an election to fill the vacancy.

3.5.2. The election called pursuant to this subdivision shall be held on the next established election date provided in Chapter 1 (commencing with §1000) of Division 1 of the Elections Code that is 130 or more days after the date the District Board calls the election (Government Code §1780(g)).

3.6. IF THE DISTRICT BOARD LACKS A QUORUM TO ACT WITHIN 60 DAYS:

3.6.1. If the number of remaining members of the District Board falls below a quorum, at the request of the District Secretary or a remaining Board Members, the Board of Supervisors may waive the sixty-day (60) period during which time the District Board is allowed to take action, but in which they cannot because there is no quorum, and move directly to the thirty-day (30) period where the Board of Supervisors may take action.

3.6.2. The Board of Supervisors may either appoint immediately to fill the vacancy or may call an election to fill the vacancy.

3.6.3. The election shall be held on the next established election that is 130 or more
days after the date the District Board calls the election and shall be pursuant to Chapter 1 (commencing with §1000) of Division 1 of the Elections Code.

3.7. The Board of Supervisors shall only fill enough vacancies to provide the District Board with a quorum (Government Code §1780(h)(2)).

3.8. **TERM OF OFFICE**

3.8.1. A person **appointed** to fill a vacancy shall hold office only until the next general district election that is scheduled **130 or more days** after the date the county elections official is notified of the vacancy, and thereafter until the **person elected** at that election to fill the vacancy has been qualified to fill the vacancy for the remainder of the unexpired term (Government Code §1780 (h)(3)).

3.8.2. A person **elected** at an election to fill the vacancy shall hold office for the remainder of the unexpired term.

3.8.3. A person elected at a regular Board Member election or appointed in-lieu of election takes office at noon on the first Friday in December following election in November and shall serve for four (4) years (Elections Code §§10554 and 10507).

4.0. **OFFICIATION:**

4.1. **OFFICIATING AND LINES OF SUCCESSION:**

4.1.1. The President shall preside at all meetings of the Board, shall take the chair at the hour appointed for every Board meeting and immediately call the Members to order, and except in the absence of a quorum, shall proceed with the business of the Board in the manner prescribed in these rules.

4.1.2. In the absence or unavailability of the President, the Vice-President shall act as President.

4.1.3. In the absence of the Vice President, the most senior Board Member shall preside.

4.2. **POWERS AND DUTIES – PRESIDENT:** The President shall possess the powers and perform the duties prescribed as follows:
4.2.1. The President shall be the chief officer of the Board and shall, subject to the approval of the Board of Directors, give supervision and direction to the business and affairs of the Board.

4.2.2. The President shall be the presiding officer at all Board of Director meetings. The President shall be an ex-officio Member of all Standing Committees. The Board President shall appoint Standing and Ad Hoc Committees Members as deemed necessary.

4.2.3. The President shall have the general powers, duties and management usually vested in the office of the president of a corporation. The President shall have such other powers and duties as may be prescribed or by the vote of the Board of Directors.

4.2.4. It is the duty of the President or his/her designee to call a workshop meeting prior to the first General Meeting after elections. This is to benefit the newly elected Directors and acquaint them with the California State Health and Safety Code, District Policies, the Brown Act (Open Meeting Laws) and the current Annual Budget.

4.2.5. The President shall be the official spokesperson for the Board, unless the Board selects another person.

4.2.6. The President or his/her designee shall have general direction over the Boardroom and assign seats for the use of the Board Members and Members of the Staff, and if required:

4.2.6.1. Preserve order and decorum; prevent demonstration; order removal from the Boardroom any person whose conduct is deemed objectionable; and order the Boardroom cleared whenever the President deems it necessary.

4.2.6.2. Limit the amount time to twenty (20) minutes for any agendized topic that may be discussed in order to facilitate the business of the Board.

4.2.6.3. Limit the amount of time that a person may address the Board during a public comment period to accommodate those persons desiring to speak to facilitate the business of the Board. Public comments should be limited to three (3) minutes per speaker.

4.2.6.4. Testimony of experts during an agendized topic may be limited to ten (10) minutes at the discretion of the Board President.

4.2.6.5. Allocate equal time to opposing sides insofar as possible, taking into
account the number of persons requesting to be heard on any side.

4.3. POWERS AND DUTIES – VICE PRESIDENT:

4.3.1. In the absence of, or disability of the President, the Vice President shall perform all of the duties of the President.

4.3.2. When so acting, the Vice President shall have all the powers of the President and be subject to all the restrictions upon the President.

4.0. REFERENCES:

4.1. Brown Act;
4.2. Government Code § 946.4;
4.3. Government Code § 53051;
4.4. Health and Safety §13852;
4.5. Statement of Facts.

5.0. RELATED POLICIES/FORMS:

5.1. POLICIES:

5.1.1. Board of Directors Operations Policy;
5.1.2. Board Meetings;
5.1.3. Committees and Other Organizations;
5.1.4. Education and Expenses;
5.1.5. Expenses of the District;
5.1.6. Organization, Duties and Responsibilities.

5.2. FORMS:

5.2.1. Form 700;
1.0. **MEETINGS:**

1.1. **TIME AND PLACE:** The Regular Meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held on the fourth Tuesday of each month.

1.1.1. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Regular Meetings shall commence at the hour of 4:00 P.M., at the location designated by the Board at the Board meeting at the beginning of each calendar year.

1.1.2. The Board shall provide by resolution, ordinance, bylaws or by whatever other rule is required for the conduct of business, a time and place for holding regular meetings.

1.2. **ADMITTANCE:** All meetings are open to the public. Closed Sessions may be held as permitted by the Ralph M. Brown Act. Such Closed Session matters are not conducted in public. [Government Code §§ 54950-54963.](#)

1.3. **SPECIAL MEETINGS:** Special meetings of the Board of Directors may be called in the manner provided by state law with twenty-four (24) hour notice [Government Code § 54956 (a)].

1.3.1. A Special Meeting may be called at any time by the Presiding Officer of Board, or by a majority of the Members of the Board, by delivering written notice to each Member of the Board and to each local newspaper of general circulation and radio or television station requesting notice in writing and posting a notice on the local agency's Internet Web site. The notice shall be delivered personally or by any other means and shall be received at least twenty-four (24) hours before the time of the meeting as specified in the notice. The call and notice shall specify the time and place of the special meeting and the business to be transacted or discussed. No other business shall be considered at these meetings by the Board.

1.3.2. Special Meetings in the form of workshops and study sessions may be called to implement policy, study budget, study salaries or other areas that pertain to the District that are open to the public.

1.3.3. Notwithstanding any other law, the Board shall not call a Special Meeting regarding the salaries, salary schedules or compensation paid in the form of fringe benefits, of the Fire Chief/CEO, as defined Government Code § 3511.1 (d) (Government Code § 54956 (b)).
1.4. **EMERGENCY MEETINGS:** Emergency meetings of the Board of Directors may be called in the manner provided by state law without complying with the twenty-four (24) hour notice or posting requirements (Government Code § 54956.6).

1.4.1. **EMERGENCY SITUATION Refers To Both:**

1.4.1.1. An emergency, which shall be defined as a work stoppage, crippling activity or other activity that severely impairs public health, safety or both, as determined by a majority of the Members of the Board; and/or

1.4.1.2. A dire emergency, which shall be defined as a crippling disaster, mass destruction, terrorist act or threatened terrorist activity that poses peril so immediate and significant that it requires the Board to provide one-hour notice before holding an emergency meeting that may endanger the public health, safety or both, as determined by a majority of the Members of the Board.

1.4.2. Each local newspaper of general circulation, radio or television station that has requested notice of Special Meetings pursuant to Government § 54956, shall be notified by the Presiding Officer or designee thereof, one (1) hour prior to the emergency meeting, or in the case of a dire emergency, at or near the time that the Presiding Officer notifies the Members of the Board of the emergency meeting. The notice shall be given by telephone and all telephone numbers provided in the most recent request of a newspaper or station for notification of Special Meetings shall be exhausted. In the event that telephone services are not functioning, the notice requirements shall be deemed waived and Presiding Officer shall notify entities of the fact of the holding of the emergency meeting, the purpose of the meeting and any action taken at the meeting as soon after the meeting as possible.

1.4.3. During an Emergency Meeting, the Board may meet in Closed Session pursuant to Government Code § 54957 if agreed to by a two-thirds vote of the Members of the Board present, or if less than two-thirds of the Members are present, by a unanimous vote of the Members present.

1.4.4. All Special Meeting requirements, as prescribed in Government Code §54956 shall be applicable to an Emergency Meeting, with the exception of the twenty-four (24) hour notice requirement.
1.4.5. The minutes of an Emergency Meeting, a list of persons who the Presiding Officer notified or attempted to notify, a copy of the rollcall vote and any actions taken at the meeting shall be posted for a minimum of ten (10) days in a public place as soon after the meeting as possible.

2.0. **ATTENDANCE:**

2.1. Each Member shall be his/her respective seat at the hour set for each Regular Meeting and at the time set for any Regular, Adjourned or special Meeting.

2.2. Any Member not present when the Board is called to order shall be designated in the minutes as absent.

2.3. If a Member arrives after a meeting convenes, the Board Secretary shall note his/her arrival in the minutes.

2.4. The Fire Chief/CEO or his/her designee shall attend all regular and special Meetings of the Board unless otherwise specified by the Board.

2.4.1. **ABSENCES:** If any Member of the Board is unable to attend a meeting, the Board Member shall, if possible, notify the Board President and/or the Board Secretary prior to the meeting and advise the reasons therefore.

3.0. **AGENDAS:**

3.1. **POSTING:** The Agenda for regularly scheduled and Committee meetings shall be posted seventy-two (72) hours prior or as required by the Board. Copies of the Agenda shall be made available to the public at the Board Meeting.

3.2. The Agenda shall be posted at the following locations: [1] At the Administrative Headquarters front public display case at the main entrance, [2] at the Roy Noon Meeting Hall front public display case at the main entrance, [3] at the meeting location in a conspicuous location; and [4] on the District website.

3.3. **DISTRIBUTION:** Any writings given to a majority of the Board must be made available to the general public so long as those writings are public records. If these writings are distributed to Members of the Board before the meeting, such writings must be made available to the public before the meeting. By the same token, any writings distributed to the Board during the course of the meeting must also be made available to the public at that time and will comply with the Government
3.3.1. The major exception to the obligation to provide the public with access to any writings distributed to Members of the Board, are those that deal with matters properly discussed in closed sessions or protected under the Government Code; those are to remain confidential. Nothing in this law prevents the Board from charging a fee or deposit for a copy of public records pursuant to the Government Code.

3.4. CONTENT: The Agenda shall include those matters, complete with pertinent department papers, reports and supporting documentation relating to each matter, addressed to the Board for action.

3.4.1. A Special Meeting notice shall specify the time and place of the special Meeting and the business to be transacted or discussed. No other business shall be considered at these meetings by the Board Government Code § 54956(a).

3.4.2. A brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting, including items to be discussed in Closed Session, shall be included.

3.4.3. NOTICE TO AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES: All Agendas will contain the notice to disabled individuals regarding how to obtain assistance in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, using the following language:

3.4.3.1. **Note:** The Americans with Disabilities Act provides that no qualified individual with a disability shall be excluded from participation in, or denied the benefits of, district business. If you need assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the District Office 72 hours prior to the meeting at (707) 723-2012.

3.4.4. AB 343 COMPLIANCE: Copies of Agendas, Staff reports and other Agenda-packet materials shall be made available to the general public at the same time those materials are provided to Members of the Board of Directors.

3.4.4.1. Documents submitted to the majority of the Board less than seventy-two (72) hours prior to a public meeting, shall be made available for public inspection in the office of the Board Secretary as soon as the documents are distributed to the Board. Documents may be posted on District bulletin boards or on the District website.

3.4.5. Any document related to an Agenda-item, which is provided to the Board at the meeting by Staff, shall be available to the public at the Board meeting.
Documents provided by other than Staff, will be made available for public review after the meeting.

3.4.6. All Agendas will contain the notice to individuals regarding how to obtain Board materials released after the Agenda has been published, using the following language:

3.4.6.1. The Agenda was also available for review at the Office of the Board Secretary, located at 330 S. Main Avenue, Fallbrook (760) 723-2012. Materials related to an item on this Agenda submitted to the District after distribution of the agenda packet, are available for public inspection in the Office of the Board Secretary, during normal business hours or may be found on the District website (www.ncfire.org), subject to the Staff's ability to post the documents before the meeting. The date of posting was ____________.

3.4.7. ORGANIZATION: The Agenda shall list the items for the Board's consideration by number with a brief statement of the subject matter for each of the items. The Agenda may include suggested actions or recommendations. The business of each Regular Meeting of the Board shall be in the order as printed on the Agenda or as directed by the President of the Board.

3.4.7.1. Meeting called to order;
3.4.7.2. Review of Agenda. Amendment of agenda, if necessary;
3.4.7.3. Public Activities Agenda with Comment Period for Non-Agenized Items;
3.4.7.4. Consent Items, including:
   3.4.7.4.1. Approval of Minutes;
   3.4.7.4.2. Financial Report;
   3.4.7.4.3. Approval Policies and Procedures; and
   3.4.7.4.4. Routine/Recurring Staff Reports;
3.4.7.5. Action Items;
3.4.7.6. Discussion Items;
3.4.7.7. Legal Report;
3.4.7.8. Written Communications;
3.4.7.9. News Articles;
3.4.7.10. Staff Comments;
3.4.7.11. Board Comments;
3.4.7.12. Bargaining Groups Comments;
3.4.7.13. Public Comments;
3.4.7.14. Closed Session, if required;
3.4.7.15. Report to Public from Closed Session;
3.4.7.16. Such other business as is necessary so that the Fire District can perform its functions as required by law; and
3.4.7.17. Adjournment.
3.4.8. **DEADLINE:** The deadline for receiving Agenda items shall be 4:00 P.M., twelve days before the Board Meeting (generally, the second Thursday of the month).

3.4.9. **ORDINANCES/CONTRACTS:** No ordinance or contract shall be presented to the Board for action which has not been approved as to form and legality by District Counsel.

4.0. **MEETING CONDUCT:**

4.1. **INTRODUCTION OF NON-AGENDA ITEMS:** Any Board Member intending to introduce a special item not contained in the Agenda shall deliver a copy of such items to each Board Member. Items may not normally be added to the Agenda unless the item(s) in question are of an exigent nature and in such cases the addition of the specified item(s) must occur with unanimous approval of the presiding quorum.

4.2. **ORDER OF SPEAKING:** When any Board Member wishes to speak, the Board Member shall address the President. The President shall name the Member who is first to speak and speakers shall confine their remarks to the questions under debate and avoid personalities. The President may elect not to recognize a Board Member to speak again until all other Members have had an opportunity to be heard.

4.3. **RULES OF PROCEDURE AND CONDUCT:** Meetings and Committee meetings shall be conducted in accordance with the Brown Act, the *Fire Protection District Law of 1987* and this Policy. Should the point of order in question not be addressed in these documents, the President shall take the following actions (in order):

4.3.1. Refer to *Roberts Rules of Order*, Revised edition;

4.3.2. Ask for a motion and vote to rule on the point of order;

4.3.3. Rule on the point of order.

4.4. **MOTIONS:**

4.4.1. Any action taken by the Board shall be initiated by a motion or introduction of a resolution or ordinance.
4.4.2. A second on the motion is required.

4.4.3. The President shall be allowed to make motions.

4.4.4. The Secretary shall record the names of those making and seconding motions.

4.4.5. Once the President calls for remarks on a motion, the Member making the motion is entitled to speak first. The President shall recognize speakers before the make their remarks.

4.4.6. Secondary motions pertaining to the main motion may be introduced before the main motion is voted. The last motion stated, shall be the first pending motion and the main motion shall be voted upon last.

4.4.7. A motion to amend must have the approval of the person making the original motion or a majority vote of the Board. An amendment to a motion may only be amended once.

4.4.8. **Motions To Reconsider:** Except in the case of specific Agenda items requiring a noticed public hearing, the Board may reconsider any vote taken at the same session to correct an inadvertent error or consider new information not available at the time of the vote. A motion to reconsider requires a majority vote (3/5) prior to being reconsidered.

4.4.9. **Items Previously Voted Upon:** Unless an emergency situation exists, an item which has been voted on by the Board will not be brought back to the Board for consideration for a **minimum of one (1) year**, without approval of the majority of the Board.

4.4.10. **Motions To Rescind, Repeal, Or Annul Actions Taken At Previous Meetings:** Such actions may be carried out by:

   4.4.10.1. Passing a motion to place the item on a future Agenda; or
   4.4.10.2. Passing the motion to rescind, repeal or annul with the appropriate number of votes (see below).
4.5. **VOTING:**

4.5.1. **APPROVAL OF A MOTION:** To be deemed an official action of the Board, all actions must receive a majority vote (3/5), with the following exceptions, which require a 2/3 vote (super majority or 4/5 vote):

4.5.1.1. Motion to adopt or amend any policy or rule;
4.5.1.2. Motion to reconsider a previously considered motion;
4.5.1.3. Motion to close a debate;
4.5.1.4. Motion on any non-agenized item;
4.5.1.5. Motion to remove a Board Member from a Board Office position.

4.5.2. A unanimous motion is required to discontinue or transfer funds designated as Capital Outilay reserves.

4.5.3. **ROLL CALL:** The roll need not be called in voting upon a motion except where specifically required by law or requested by any Board Member. When the roll is called on any motion, any Member present who does not vote in an audible voice shall be recorded as “AYE.”

4.5.4. **RIGHT TO VOTE:** Questions pertaining to a Director’s right to vote on items because of the potential conflict of interest shall be decided as follows:

4.5.4.1. Director disqualifies himself/herself; or
4.5.4.2. President disqualifies the Director from voting.

4.5.5. **APPEAL OF RIGHT TO VOTE:** Should any Director be disqualified from voting or be overruled on a point of order by the President, he or she may move to appeal the ruling to the full Board. The President shall have the right to vote on the appeal and the majority vote of the Board overrules the President.

4.6. **VOTING PARAMETERS:**

4.6.1. There must be a quorum of three Members present to conduct business;

4.6.2. A majority vote (simple majority) means three affirmative votes (3/5);

4.6.3. A 2/3 or super majority vote means four affirmative votes (4/5);
4.6.4. A unanimous vote means five affirmative votes (5/5).

5.0. **PUBLIC HEARING PROCEDURES (GENERAL):**

5.1. Procedures at general public hearings (non-appeal) shall be as follows:

5.1.1. The Presiding Officer shall open the public hearing at the time certain by reading the subject of the hearing off the Agenda.

5.1.2. Presiding Officer request public testimony by asking if there is anyone who wishes to address the Board (time may be limited to three (3) minutes per Board of Directors Operations – Elections, Officers and Terms SOG § 3.2.6.3.

5.1.3. At conclusion of public testimony, Presiding Officer closes public hearing.

5.1.4. Staff makes presentation/recommendations.

5.1.5. Board discussion and disposition (vote).

5.0.6.0. **PUBLIC HEARING PROCEDURES (FOR APPEALS):**

5.4.6.1. Procedures at appeal hearings shall be as follows:

5.4.6.1.1. Staff presentation/recommendations;

5.4.6.1.2. Questions of the Staff in support;

5.4.6.1.3. Individuals speaking in support;

5.4.6.1.4. Individuals speaking in opposition;

5.4.6.1.5. Individuals speaking in concern;

5.4.6.1.6. Rebuttal (if any);

5.4.6.1.7. Public input (if any);

5.4.6.1.8. Board discussion and disposition (vote).
6.0.7.0. **MEETING PROTOCOL:**

6.1.7.1. **SAFETY:** Unless addressing the Board, or entering or leaving the Boardroom, all persons in the audience shall remain sitting in the seats provided (to the extent that seating is available). It is the Board’s intent to accommodate all persons who wish to attend open public meetings.

6.2.7.2. **PUBLIC ADDRESSING OF BOARD ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS:** Persons desiring to address the Board on any matter not listed on the Agenda shall make arrangements to be on the calendar in advance with the President of the Board through the office of the Board Secretary.

6.3.7.3. **SMOKING:** Smoking is prohibited on all District Locations, including the Board meeting room.

6.4.7.4. **DEMONSTRATIONS AND DISTURBANCES:**

6.4.1.7.4.1. The Presiding Officer shall order removed from the Boardroom any person who disrupts any Regular or Special meeting of the Board. Examples of disruptive behavior may be:

6.4.1.1.7.4.1.1. Impertinent, slanderous or profane remarks to any Member of the Board, Staff, the public or others.
6.4.1.2.7.4.1.2. Disparaging an individual, group or their associates on the basis of their ethnicity, race, gender, sexuality, age, disability, immigration status or religion.
6.4.1.3.7.4.1.3. Loud, threatening or abusive language, especially directed at individuals, causing fear, havoc or similar reactions.
6.4.1.4.7.4.1.4. Argumentative interruption of a speaker, the Presiding Officer, a Member of the Body, staff or meeting facilitator.
6.4.1.5.7.4.1.5. Engaging in disorderly or boisterous conduct, including using loud, threatening or abusive language, whistling, clapping, stamping of feet, waving signs or similar acts.
6.4.1.6.7.4.1.6. Continuing to speak after exceeding a time limit and being asked to step down.
6.4.2.7.4.2. Everyone, even persons disrupting a meeting, will be treated with dignity and respect. The Presiding Officer will issue a warning to stop the
disruptive behavior. If the disruptive behavior continues, the Presiding Officer or a majority of the Board, will act to regain order and to continue the work of the Board.

6.4.3.7.4.3. Any person so removed shall be excluded from further attendance at the meeting from which the person has been removed, unless permission to attend is granted upon motion adopted by a majority vote of the Board.

6.4.4.7.4.4. The exception to the right of the public to attend all meetings of the Board applies to those who attempt to disrupt the conduct of the meeting. In the event that any meeting is willfully disrupted, by a group or groups of persons, that renders the orderly conduct of such meeting unfeasible and order cannot be restored by the removal of the individuals who are willingly interrupting the meeting, the Board may order the meeting room cleared and continue in session. However, only matters appearing on the Agenda may be considered in such a session. Duly accredited representatives of the press and other news media, except those participating in the disturbance shall be allowed to attend any session held pursuant to this exception.

6.5.7.5. SUSPENSION OF RULES: Except as otherwise provided by law, any procedural rule contained in this Policy may be suspended or changed by order.

7.0.8.0. RECORDING OF INFORMATION:

7.1.8.1. All open sessions of the Board meetings shall be recorded in their entirety. The recordings will be destroyed after the minutes have been approved, but no sooner than thirty (30) days after the Board meeting (Government Code Section 54953).

7.2.8.2. Prior to taking final action, the Board shall orally report a summary of a recommendation for a final action on the salaries, salary schedules or compensation paid in the form of fringe benefits of the Fire Chief/CEO as defined in Government Code § 3511.1(d), during the open meeting in which the final action is to be taken.

7.3.8.3. DOCUMENTATION OF MINUTES: Minutes shall be taken by the Board Secretary which summarize:
7.3.4.8.3.1. Synopsis of the Board discussion on items presented;

7.3.4.8.3.2. Action taken, with a recordation of motion noting name of each person making and seconding motion;

7.3.4.8.3.3. Any action taken and the vote or abstention on that action of each Member present for the action. (Government Code Section 54953 (c) (3)).

7.3.4.8.3.4. Action taken, with a recordation of voting by name on each motion where a roll call vote is required;

7.3.4.8.3.5. Specific information requested by the Board to be entered into the minutes.
8.0. REFERENCES:

8.2. Government Code § 3511.1(d);
8.3. Government Code §§ 54950-54963;
8.4. Government Code Section 54953 (c) (3);
8.5. Government Code § 54956 (a);
8.6. Roberts Rules of Order.

9.0. RELATED POLICIES/FORMS:

9.1. POLICIES:

9.1.1. Board of Directors Operations Policy;
9.1.2. Committees and Other Organizations;
9.1.3. Education and Expenses;
9.1.4. Elections and Terms;
9.1.5. Expenses of the District;
9.1.6. Organization, Duties and Responsibilities.

9.2. FORMS: None.
TO:        Board of Directors
FROM:      Operations/EMS Division
DATE:      May 28, 2019
SUBJECT:   Customer Satisfaction Survey Program, 2019 - 1st Quarter Results

CONSENT AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION:

Review the report as submitted. In looking at the overall percentage of satisfaction with our service, our customers continue to rate their level of satisfaction overwhelmingly in the "excellent" category.

BACKGROUND:

This report focuses on two areas, direct feedback based on surveys sent to patients transported by North County Fire and our Service/Sympathy card program. The distribution of the survey is based on the 2019 Payer Class percentages according to our ambulance billing company, Wittman Enterprises. This quarter's customer satisfaction results incorporate surveys received from Jan 1st, 2019 through Mar 31, 2019. The following is a listing of the type and number of individual payer classes that are randomly mailed surveys on a monthly basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019 Payer Class</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Commercial Insurance (Champus/Active Duty)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medi Cal</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare (includes Senior HMO)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION:

The survey results are reported on quarterly intervals to all safety employees. The sharing of this information with all employees provides a heightened awareness regarding our customer's experience in the field. If a system or human deficiency trend is noted, the management staff will coordinate any measures necessary to correct the problem.
The first section of the Satisfaction Survey Form evaluates the customer's overall satisfaction with our service by rating it from "Excellent" to "Poor." The second section of the form allows the customer to provide comments on their perception of the service they received. This quarter 300 surveys were mailed and 64 surveys were returned (21%).

Ninety seven percent (97%) of the surveys returned indicated "excellent" customer satisfaction as indicated on the chart below:

2018-19 Customer Satisfaction Results

The customer comment portion of the survey has proven to be most effective by allowing us to hear the customer's opinions or concerns first hand, thus allowing us to mitigate any problems as quickly as possible. These comments are reported on Attachment-A of this report.

In order to maintain Continual Quality Improvement (CQI) for this program, the responses are reviewed for any unusual comments or areas of concern. When necessary, incident documents will be reviewed. If a poor rating or adverse report is noted, the EMS Chief reaches out to seek clarification and ultimately improve services. If indicated, this review may warrant further investigation or training to mitigate potential customer service issues.

SERVICE/SYMPATHY CARD PROGRAM:

The District continues to utilize a Service/Sympathy Card Program to promote excellence in our emergency delivery services. This particular program allows our firefighters to correspond with our customers by personally signing and mailing "Service Cards." This post-incident program has proven invaluable in maintaining a positive relationship with our community through personal contact between our firefighters and the customers they serve. The "Sympathy Cards" are utilized in the same way by corresponding concern with a deceased patient's family.
The following data identifies the total number of Service and Sympathy cards completed by each crew during this report's time frame:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>“A” CREW</th>
<th>“B” CREW</th>
<th>“C” CREW</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Q 2019</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Q 2018</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Q 2018</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Q 2018</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above numbers represent 32% of total cards sent by A Shift, 27% of total cards sent by B Shift and 41% of total cards sent by C Shift.

**FISCAL ANALYSIS:**

The increased use of Service Cards has contributed to increased expenditures in both printing and postage. Annually, the Program costs approximately $2,000.00 to operate. It is our belief that enhanced public relations and the benefits these cards represent is worth the expenditure.

**SUMMARY:**

The North County Fire Protection District takes seriously the demeanor and professional conduct of its employees while providing emergency services. Our Customer Survey Program provides a tool to measure and quantify this area and if necessary, implement and/or modify the emergency delivery system to ensure its ability to meet customer expectations. This program, which is now in its seventeenth year, consistently reflects a high degree of satisfaction with the services delivered by the employees of the North County Fire Protection District, beginning from the request for service up to and including final mitigation of the incident.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intake Number</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Follow Up</th>
<th>Customer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-01-01</td>
<td>01/02/2019</td>
<td>On (date), my husband (name) observed that I was showing symptoms of the onset of a stroke. He called 911 requesting an ambulance and was told that one would be right there. Within very brief minutes, about 4 large men were in my bedroom. They were the first responders of the fire district. They lifted me into a chair and carried it to the top of the stairs. The chair was most remarkable and as if on runners, it slid easily down the stairs. From there, they lifted me onto a gurney and wheeled me outside to the waiting ambulance. A fire truck was parked across the street, explaining their speedy arrival. In the ambulance, they did everything necessary to prepare me for my arrival at TVH so that no time would be wasted. The entire experience was most remarkable. I have nothing but praise for these incredible men.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-02</td>
<td>01/02/2019</td>
<td>My mother fell and hit her head. The fire department treated her with respect and informed me of the situation when I arrived to the scene.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-03</td>
<td>01/02/2019</td>
<td>No need for improvement!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-04</td>
<td>01/02/2019</td>
<td>Paramedics handled the situation very well. The only problem was the hospital of choice was Scripps Encinitas which they could not transport to that location. Otherwise very good experience.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-05</td>
<td>01/02/2019</td>
<td>They do a super job. Very professional.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-06</td>
<td>01/03/2019</td>
<td>The ambulance arrived in a timely manner. The paramedics helped my mother with care. The arrival and dispatch at the hospital were done timely and with care. Keep up the good work and support! Thanks for your service.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-07</td>
<td>01/03/2019</td>
<td>This ER trip was for (name) on (date). (Name) died on (date).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-08</td>
<td>01/03/2019</td>
<td>Great care. Patient asked questions, listened to the responses. Comforted me. Eugenia from Fallbrook office.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-09</td>
<td>01/04/2019</td>
<td>They were extremely helpful and expectations were exceeded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-10</td>
<td>01/05/2019</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-11</td>
<td>01/07/2019</td>
<td>We had to call for your services on (date) and again on (date). In both cases your response time was very fast and the service was excellent. Both teams were kind, considerate and very professional. In such a stressful situation we were confident our loved one was in good hands. At a later date, I called your office with a concern (RE: AMR transport) and spoke with Charlie. He was so helpful and together we figured out what had happened. He did some checking and promptly called me back with the info. My husband and I thank all of you for what you give to this community. Fallbrook is so fortunate to have you. God bless you all!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-12</td>
<td>01/07/2019</td>
<td>(Name) was in a great deal of pain and needed to be seen by a doctor in an emergency basis. He needed assistance transferring from his bed to the ambulance. The EMT personnel treated him with respect and carefully and professionally provided the help he needed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-13</td>
<td>01/08/2019</td>
<td>The crew that took me to TVH were a well-trained group and every move was done according to their book. They were quite outgoing and pleasant to have as a working crew and I would recommend them any time. Typically, the hospital emergency group were over loaded and there was a long wait before I was taken care of... but one of the crew remained to make sure I would be assigned a room... and I consider this a touch of class! Thanks for having such pleasant and professional crews.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Number</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
<td>Follow Up</td>
<td>Customer Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-14</td>
<td>01/11/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, I did call 911 and am glad I did. Even if I was in pain I could see the crew as nice and kind how they treated me, can't complain. They did an excellent job. Thank you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-15</td>
<td>01/24/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>The fire department was excellent. Unfortunately, with a child with severe food allergies we have had to call 911 before. But the team that came during my 1-year-old’s fall was amazing! So professional &amp; kind. Calmed us down and were just excellent! Can’t say enough good things about them all! If we ever have an emergency again, it is good to know we will be in good hands!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-16</td>
<td>01/24/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-17</td>
<td>01/24/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-18</td>
<td>01/24/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>They were very, very good in their discussions with me. I want to thank them for the way they handled my problem. Hospital and home same day. Thank you very much.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-19</td>
<td>01/25/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>The responders were professional in all of their actions. They were timely, thorough and very courteous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-20</td>
<td>01/25/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>I cannot say enough how... great our experience was with the fire department. Ambulance service was necessary to Tri-City hospital. Each fireman reacted with positive action to help me 100%. Also, constant support followed when we arrived at the hospital until the nurses took over. God bless you all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-21</td>
<td>01/25/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your employees / firefighters / paramedics &amp; everyone associated with my trip were very kind &amp; considerate. They are very well trained. You can be proud of them!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-22</td>
<td>01/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>This was my first experience dealing with a fall and the fire department came to my rescue through lifeline. Everyone, including the ambulance staff, were gentle, kind and thoughtful. It is very reassuring to me and my family knowing the fire department is there if we need them. My thanks to all that helped me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-23</td>
<td>01/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent response to my 911 call. My wife had a heart attack. The operator stayed on line for support as I did CPR until fire dept and sheriff deputies arrived and then they took charge. Great effort was made here, on way to hospital and at ER, but my dear wife passed away. Thank you for your efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-24</td>
<td>01/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent – thank you!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-25</td>
<td>01/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-26</td>
<td>01/31/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-27</td>
<td>01/31/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-28</td>
<td>02/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>This was late night and my wife was (illegible). You guys were at our home in a matter of minutes to (illegible) and oxygen and transport her to TVH. They put her on an inhaler and released her to me. She is doing fine thanks to the NCFPD. Say hello to my good friend Chief Steve Abbott – Richard G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-29</td>
<td>02/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please send my bill. Thank you! Ambulance care was fine – hospital ER not so much.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-30</td>
<td>02/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Responded quickly. Very efficient. Excellent ambulance ride.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-31</td>
<td>02/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Number</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
<td>Follow Up</td>
<td>Customer Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-32</td>
<td>02/02/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>The first responders who arrived at my house were excellent. They were very knowledgeable and professional. They made my ride to the hospital as comfortable as they could and were very humorous on the way. I almost forgot my arm was out of the socket.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-33</td>
<td>02/04/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>We had 2 calls and both crews were excellent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-34</td>
<td>02/05/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>The best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-35</td>
<td>02/05/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>The department responded promptly and were very professional. Thank you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-36</td>
<td>02/19/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excelente</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-37</td>
<td>02/26/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-38</td>
<td>02/26/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-39</td>
<td>03/04/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you so much for being there for me again! All of your EMT's were very caring and did everything they could to keep me comfortable after my fever broke. They arrived promptly. My husband and I appreciate everything you do! You kept our home safe during the Lilac fire and got me to the hospital when I broke open my knee replacement. Keep up the great work! P.S. Thanks for the “Get Well” card.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-40</td>
<td>03/04/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excelente</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-41</td>
<td>03/05/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Although my mom doesn’t remember the incident or ride to the hospital, I made the call on her behalf. The only complaint she had was that they were slow to arrive at the house. The dispatcher that I spoke to asked a lot of questions even though I told her I found my mom on the floor and she didn’t seem injured. Mom had a GI bleed so it would have been nice to arrive sooner. The fire department and paramedics were professional and acted accordingly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-42</td>
<td>03/05/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>We called all for our mom (91 years old) as she was not feeling well. The fire fighters/paramedics who arrived were all very professional and courteous. They made my mom feel at ease and dealt with all our concerns. I would just like to say thank you for a job well done. Keep up the great work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-43</td>
<td>03/06/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Great group of paramedics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-44</td>
<td>03/07/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>My mother has needed your service multiple times and your crew is always professional and caring. Your first responders are awesome. Thanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-45</td>
<td>03/09/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-site EMTs did a great job of tending to the patient (my dad) as well as talking on phone to me (daughter in Portland). Dad received quality care and I understood what was happening and what decisions needed to be made. Thanks to all who assisted that day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intake Number</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Follow Up</th>
<th>Customer Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19-01-46</td>
<td>03/11/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>We recently dialed 911 because my 95 yr old father fell and we were concerned that he had fractured his hip – which ended up being the case. The fire department personnel that answered the 911 call and those that responded on-site were excellent. They were professional, prompt and gave him the care needed upon assessing his needs at my parent's home and during his transport to Palomar Hospital. We appreciate the assistance and care given to our father. Thank you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-47</td>
<td>03/18/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Great service. Thanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-48</td>
<td>03/20/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>On (date) fell forward on my stomach, scraping my arm on the tile. I turned over onto my back and hit my 911 button (med alert). They arrived shortly, very polite and caring as I was in a lot of pain. Took me to Palomar ER. They were very caring &amp; gave the most pain meds. I can say again polite &amp; caring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-49</td>
<td>03/26/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>I am 83 and fell on steps going up in my hallway. I had my cell phone and dialed 911. They were there quickly &amp; assessed my condition. I was taken to TVH. They were polite and we went down the 13 steps easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-50</td>
<td>03/27/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>They did what they needed to do and got me to the hospital quickly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-51</td>
<td>03/27/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>This group of young men were polite, caring and very nice to an old lady. They are fine and compassionate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-52</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>They were on time and very nice. Thank you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-53</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you. Very professional and efficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-54</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Everything was perfect, just like before.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-55</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Well trained &amp; very professional!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-56</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>All of the fire dept personnel at Fallbrook Fire are so kind, professional &amp; compassionate. They are really the best of the best! The last time was a very tricky situation. My husband was really bad with the dementia. Very ill but they were all so wonderful, caring &amp; kind to him. They were so great. My husband did pass away (date). I will always be grateful to the ones who came to take him to the hospital that early morning of (date). Thanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-57</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Station 1 – B crew rocks!!! My house isn’t that easy to find. Seems like they were here in just a couple of minutes. Very professional!!! Very nice guys!!! Thanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-58</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>I was very grateful to have first responders when I needed them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-59</td>
<td>03/28/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Great job. Thanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-60</td>
<td>03/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very good to transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-61</td>
<td>03/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Everyone assisting me was kind. They made me feel safe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-62</td>
<td>03/29/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-63</td>
<td>03/30/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-01-64</td>
<td>03/30/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td>I needed help getting my wife from the car to the house, so I stopped by the fire house asking for assistance. The crew came very quickly to the house. They could not, at first, get my wife from the car to the house. She was not very responsive and confused. They called for the ambulance, determined she needed to go to the hospital and took her to Palomar. The entire crew was friendly, concerned &amp; provided superb service. Thanks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: FIRE MARSHAL KOCH AND CHIEF ABBOTT
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: SET PUBLIC HEARING DATE AND TIME CERTAIN FOR ADOPTION OF FIRE MITIGATION PLAN AND RESOLUTION

ACTION AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION:

- Set a time certain public hearing date for July 23, 2019 at 4:15 p.m. for the adoption a Resolution establishing the Multi-Year Facilities and Equipment Plan for the Fire Mitigation Program.

BACKGROUND:

Annually, the District is required to adopt a Multi-Year Facilities and Equipment Plan to participate in the County Fire Mitigation Fee Program. In addition, the Multi-Year Plan is required to be updated annually.

DISCUSSION:

Adoption of a Multi-Year Plan and the Annual Report permits the District to continue to participate in the Fire Mitigation Fee Program. This allows the District to collect developer impact fees to offset the increased demands upon the District's infrastructure. Documentation supporting the fee schedule for Mitigation Fees and the Multi-Year Plan will be presented at the July meeting.

FISCAL ANALYSIS: No fiscal changes.

SUMMARY:

- Staff recommends setting a time certain public hearing date of July 23, 2019 at 4:15 p.m. for the adoption a Resolution establishing the Multi-Year Facilities and Equipment Plan for the Fire Mitigation Program.
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: CHIEF ABBOTT
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: SET HEARING SCHEDULE FOR FORMATION OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT

ACTION AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION:

1. Adopt Hearing Schedule as outlined in attached Schedule and direct Staff to notice Hearings as required.

2. Set a time certain public hearing date of June 25, 2019 at 4:30p.m. to adopt the Resolution of Intention.

3. Direct Staff to proceed with Election process for formation of Community Facilities District.

BACKGROUND:

Approximately 18 months ago, the District completed a comprehensive facilities condition assessment, which identified that the District had approximately $26M in facility improvement and replacement needs. The District subsequently began to contemplate ways of funding its deferred facility maintenance, which included a reduction in staffing, privatization of the ambulance service, increasing user fees, consolidation with other public entities and/or a revenue measure. Staff subsequently spent a considerable amount of time conducting community outreach to inform the public of our challenges and potential solutions, which culminated in a public opinion poll to gauge the public’s willingness to support these various solutions.

At the January 2019 Board meeting, Strategy Research Institute provided a summary of the public opinion poll findings, which demonstrated that among the various options a limited revenue measure received the greatest degree of public support. Considering the resources potentially needed, the key stakeholders believed it was necessary conduct additional research to determine the impact of pending legislation, as well as the recommended approach to placing such a measure on the ballot (general vs. special election).

DISCUSSION:

After careful evaluation of the community outreach survey results, recommendations from SRI, the opinions of key stakeholders, viability of conducting a mail ballot election and the timing of pending legislation in making a determination, the Board approved moving
forward with the development of mail ballot to develop a Community Facilities District to levy a Special Tax to meet the District's facilities needs.

FISCAL ANALYSIS:

Costs associated with hearing notices should be approximately $1000.00. Costs associated with the Election process will be approximately $175,00.00.

SUMMARY:

It is the recommendation of Staff to proceed adopt the Hearing Schedule a

- Adopt Hearing Schedule as outlined as attached and direct Staff to notice Hearings as required.
- Set a time certain public hearing date of June 25, 2019 at 4:30p.m. to adopt the Resolution of Intention.
- Direct Staff to proceed with Election process for formation of Community Facilities District.
# NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

## APPROVED SCHEDULE FOR COMMUNITY FACILITY DISTRICT HEARINGS

**May 28, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Date and Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution of Intent:</strong></td>
<td>June 25, 2019 at 4:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearing</td>
<td>(Regular Meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution of Formation/Establishment:</strong></td>
<td>July 25, 2019 at 4:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearing</td>
<td>(Special Meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 30, but no more that 60 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After ROI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Election:</strong></td>
<td>October 23, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 90, but no more than 180 days,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after the ROF/ROE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canvassing of Election:</strong></td>
<td>November 5, 2019 at 4:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two weeks to count ballots</td>
<td>(Special Meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Reading of Ordinance to Levy Sp. Tax</strong></td>
<td>November 5, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If tax approved, same day as Canvassing</td>
<td>(Special Meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second Reading of Ordinance to Levy Sp. Tax</strong></td>
<td>December 10, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least 30 days after 1st reading</td>
<td>(Regular Meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recording of Special Tax Lien</strong></td>
<td>Taussig to Handle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2nd reading and adoption of the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Approved by Board of Directors:**
May 26, 2019

Loren Stephen-Porter, Board Secretary
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: DIVISION CHIEF MAHR AND CHIEF ABBOTT
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: SALE OF SURPLUS VEHICLES

ACTION AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of Staff that the Board of Directors deem two District owned vehicles as surplus.

BACKGROUND:

On occasion and usually after the purchase of new vehicles, the District will evaluate the reserve fleet of vehicles and determine if any unused and/or older vehicles should be sold as surplus, as they no longer have the ability to meet the District’s Mission. In most cases, these vehicles will be sent to the San Diego County or other approved auction.

DISCUSSION:

The assessment described above was recently conducted by NCF Fleet Services personnel. It was determined that one 2003 Life Line Ambulance and one 2001 Ford Crown Victoria should be sold as they are no longer serviceable within the District. In accordance with NCF Policy Section 217.04 and these vehicles having an anticipated value of greater than $1000.00, Staff is requesting the Board deem these vehicles as surplus to the District’s needs so they may be released for sale.

FISCAL ANALYSIS:

It is anticipated that these vehicles will have a combined value of approximately $5000.00.

SUMMARY:

By the approval of this request, the District would remove these surplus vehicles from the fleet via an approved method.
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: FINALIZATION OF THE RE-DISTRICTING PROCESS

Action Agenda

BACKGROUND:

At the January 22, 2019 Board meeting, the District adopted the Embracement Resolution 2019-01, determining to move toward adoption of a District-based election process. Staff subsequently developed three (3) Election District boundary maps for consideration, which have been posted to the District’s website on March 19, 2019. On March 26th, 2019, the District conducted its first public hearing to review these three (3) proposed draft Election District maps and receive public comment. Ultimately, there were no suggestions from the Board for the creation of additional maps for consideration, nor modification to any of the proposed Election District boundaries for any of these maps presented. On April 16, 2019, the Board held the This second of two public hearings as stipulated by the California Voting Rights Act (CRVA) for the purpose of reviewing these proposed maps.

DISCUSSION:

Following the conclusion of the April 16, 2019 public hearing, the Board of Directors gave direction to legal counsel and Staff to complete the transition the District to by-district elections. The Board Secretary contact the Registrar of Voters, who stated that the Board would be required to adopt a Resolution demonstrating the Board’s action, rather than submitting a “minute order,” as well as submitting a GIS map of the voting districts. The Resolution is required to reflect the Board vote and adoption of the voting district map prepared by Staff that was referred to as “Version 3 Map.” In addition, the Resolution is to show the Board vote to adopt Districts 1, 4 and 5 for the November 2020 ballot for the District’s first regular election of Board members following adoption of this plan, with the remaining Districts 2 and 3 on the ballot for the 2022 election.

Therefore, Resolution 2019-05 is submitted as the final memorialization of the actions taken at the April 16, 2019 Board meeting. The Board Secretary will submit the adopted and signed Resolution and Maps to the Registrar of Voters as they have requested.
FISCAL ANALYSIS:

The District has been able to develop Election Districts with the internal resources available and there were only minimal expenses resulting from Staff time to develop associated map products and data analysis.

RECOMMENDATION:

As the Board has already voted on the Districts and the voting sequence, adoption of the Resolution is ministerial. Resolution 2019-05 is the memorialization of the actions at the April 16, 2019 meeting and the final step for the Board in adopting the voting by-districts elections.
WHEREAS, NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT ("NCFPD") is an independent California fire protect district duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, particularly the District Law, constituting Division 12 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, and more particularly, Health and Safety Code Sections 13000 et seq. (the "Law"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to law and legislative acts which established the North County Fire Protection District, the five members of the Board of Directors of NCFPD are currently elected through at-large elections where all voters within the District vote for each Director; and

WHEREAS, in the current at-large system, candidates may live in any part of NCFPD; and

WHEREAS, the California Voting Rights Act ("CVRA") was enacted by the State of California subsequent to the establishment of NCFPD; and

WHEREAS, NCFPD’S Board of Directors previously determined through analysis the applicability of the CVRA to the North County Fire Protection District and advisability of transitioning to a district-based election voting system; and

WHEREAS, although the NCFPD Board of Directors submits that its election system has been in compliance with the CVRA and all other provisions of law including the Federal Voting Rights Act and asserts that its election system has been and is legal in all respects; nevertheless, the Board of Directors has determined that the public interest will be well-served by initiating a voluntary process for transition to a district-based election system and thereby avoiding the potential risks associated with maintaining its current at-large election; and

WHEREAS, the California Election Code Section 10650 authorizes the legislative body of a special district to adopt a resolution without submission to the voters for approval, to change its method of elections from an at-large system to a district-based system wherein each Board Member is elected only by the voters in the district in which the candidate resides. As herein declared, the change in the method of electing Board Members by-district is made in furtherance of the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act of 2001; and
WHEREAS, the California Elections Code Section 10010, provides a method whereby a jurisdiction can expeditiously transition to a district-based election system and thereby avoid the high cost of litigation under the California Voting Rights Act; and

WHEREAS, NCFPD utilized legal counsel and other available demographics and resources to assist NCFPD with the process of analyzing the District’s population, developing draft maps and gathering input from residents on the possible maps; and

WHEREAS, at public hearings on February 19, 2019, and February 26, 2019, the public was invited to provide input regarding the composition of NCFPD’s electoral districts before any draft maps were drawn, and the Board of Directors discussed and considered the same; and

WHEREAS, following the February 26, 2019 public hearing, NCFPD prepared draft demographic information and draft maps for consideration; and NCFPD published three (3) maps for public review on March 19, 2019, which was at least seven days prior to its March 26, 2019 public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors held a public hearing on March 26, 2019, during which all members of the public who wanted to provide input on the draft maps could do so, wherein neither the Board nor the public requested any changes to the draft maps as presented; and

WHEREAS, NCFPD held another public hearing on April 16, 2019, during which time the public was invited to provide additional input on the three draft maps; and

WHEREAS, the demographic information and drafts maps were prepared and were published on March 19, 2019, at least seven days in advance of NCFPD’s public hearing on March 26, 2019 and April 16, 2019; and

WHEREAS, following the conclusion of the April 16, 2019 public hearing, the Board of Directors gave direction to legal counsel and Staff to complete NCFPD’s transition to by-district elections, which transition reflects the Board vote and adoption of the voting district map prepared by Staff that is referred to as “Version 3 Map,” and putting Electoral Districts 1, 4 and 5 on the November 2020 ballot for NCFPD’s first regular election of Board members following adoption of this Resolution, with the remaining Districts 2 and 3 on the ballot for the 2022 election. The Board motion and vote for each was:
1. On a motion by Director Munson, seconded by Director Hoffman, the motion to accept and adopt Map Version 3 passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Directors Hoffman, Luevano, Munson, Van Doorn; **Nay:** Director Harris.

2. On a motion by Director Hoffman, seconded by Vice President Harris, the motion to adopt the voting sequence for 2020 of: Districts 1, 4 and 5; and 2022 of: 2 and 3, passed by the following vote: **Ayes:** Directors Harris, Hoffman, Luevano, Munson; **Nay:** Director Van Doorn.

**WHEREAS,** throughout the process, NCFPD reached out to the public for its input, and the Board of Directors has satisfied all legal prerequisites to the enactment of this Resolution (See Exhibit A); and

**WHEREAS,** the purpose of this Resolution is to adopt a Resolution for the election of the members of the Board of Directors of the North County Fire Protection District by-district in five single-member districts as reflected in “Exhibit B” to this Resolution;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board of Directors of the North County Fire Protection District declares, directs and orders:

**SECTION 1. INCORPORATION OF RECITALS:**

All of the foregoing recitals are true and correct, and the Board of Directors so finds and determines the recitals set forth are incorporated herein and made effective.

**SECTION 2. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION:**

The Board of Directors held a second public hearing to review the draft maps on April 16, 2019, at 5:00 p.m. at Fallbrook Public Utility District, 990 East Mission Road, Fallbrook, California, 92028, at which the Board accepted oral and written testimony regarding the matters contained in this Resolution. Thereafter the Board approved and adopted Version Three of the Draft Maps as the final Election Districts Map and approved an election sequence.
SECTION 3. TRANSITION TO BY-DISTRICT ELECTIONS:

North County Fire Protection District hereby finds that its election system will transition from at-large to by-district elections, beginning with the November 2020 election of members of the Board of Directors.

SECTION 4. ESTABLISHMENT OF ELECTION BY-DISTRICT:

The North County Fire Protection District hereby establishes five Director Election Districts within the North County Fire Protection District’s jurisdiction. The boundaries and identifying number of each Election District shall be as described on the North County Fire Protection District Election District Map attached hereto as "Exhibit B," a copy of which shall also be on file in the North County Fire Protection District’s Headquarters.

SECTION 5. ELECTION PROCESS:

5.1. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in the electoral district established by this Resolution and subsequently reapportioned as provided for in State law and as set forth in Section 7 of this Resolution. Elections shall take place by electoral districts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, meaning that one Director shall be elected from each electoral district, by the voters of that electoral district.

5.2. The term of the elected members of the Board of Directors that commence prior to the effective date of this Resolution shall not be affected by the adoption of this Resolution.

5.3. A Director elected or appointed to represent an electoral district must reside within that district and be a registered voter within that district. Likewise, any candidate for the Board of Directors must reside in and be a registered voter within the electoral district in which he or she seeks election at the time nomination papers are issued. The Director shall continue to reside within the electoral district during his or her term of office. The Directors elected are to exercise their independent judgement on behalf of the interest of the entire North County Fire Protection District, including residents, property owners and the public as a whole, in furtherance of the mission, purposes and intents of the North County Fire Protection District.
5.4. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Resolution, the Directors in office at the time this Resolution takes effect shall continue in office at-large until the expiration of the term to which he or she was elected. In the event a vacancy occurs before the end of the term of a Director in office at the time this Resolution takes effect, a person who is appointed or elected by a special election to fill such vacancy may reside anywhere within the boundaries of North County Fire Protection District.

5.5. The term of each Director elected to the Board of Directors shall remain a four (4) year term.

5.6. Vacancies in office of any Director shall be filled pursuant to Government Code Section 1780.

SECTION 6. IMPLEMENTATION:

6.1. The by-district election system shall be implemented, beginning at the November 2020 election of the Board of Directors, as follows:

6.1.1. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in Districts 1, 4 and 5 beginning at the November 2020 election of the Board of Directors and every four years thereafter (See Exhibit C).

6.1.2. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in Districts 2 and 3 beginning at the November 2022 election of the Board of Directors and every four years thereafter (See Exhibit C).

6.1.3. Pursuant to Elections Code Section 10554, the term for each Director shall begin at 12 noon on the first Friday in December following the year in which he or she is elected.

SECTION 7. ADJUSTMENT OF BOUNDARIES:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 22000 and Health and Safety Code Section 13847, as either may be amended from time-to-time, the Board of Directors shall adjust the boundaries of any or all of the Districts by November 1st of the year following the Decennial Federal Census, to ensure that the Districts are in compliance with all applicable provisions of law.
SECTION 8. SIGNATURES:

The President of the Board of Directors shall sign this Resolution and the Secretary of the Board of Directors shall attest thereto, and this Resolution shall be in full force and effect immediately upon adoption. Within fifteen (15) days after adoption of this Resolution, a summary of the Resolution shall be published with the names of those Directors voting for and against this Resolution and a certified copy of the full text of this Resolution, along with the names of those Directors voting for and against this Resolution, shall be posted in the District offices.

SECTION 9. VALIDITY OF REMAINDER OF RESOLUTION:

If any section, subsection, clause or phrase in this Resolution is for any reason held invalid, the validity of the remainder of this Resolution shall not be affected thereby. The Board hereby declares that it would have passed this Resolution and each section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases or the application thereof be held invalid.

The Board of Directors hereby resolves to adopt Resolution 2019-05, as authorized by California Election Code Section 14026 and consistent with California Elections Code Section 10010, and to transition to a district-based election system for use in the North County Fire Protection District’s Election for Board of Director members beginning at the General Election scheduled for November 2020.

APPROVED, SIGNED AND ADOPTED at a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the North County Fire Protection District held on 28th day of May, 2019, by the following vote:

AYES: Insert Directors Name
NOES: Insert Directors Name
ABSENT: Insert Directors Name
ABSTAIN: Insert Directors Name
RECEIVED: Insert Directors Name

Fred Luevano, Board President
NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

RESOLUTION 2019-05

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
PROVIDING FOR THE ELECTION OF MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
BY FIVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, ESTABLISHING THE BOUNDARIES
AND IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT
AND ESTABLISHING THE ELECTION SEQUENCE OF EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT

I HEREBY CERTIFY that foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Resolution duly and regularly adopted by the Board of Directors of the North County Fire Protection District at the meeting thereof held on the 28th day of May, 2019, and that the same now appears on record in my office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and affixed by official seal this 28th day of May, 2019.

Loren A. Stephen-Porter, Board Secretary
NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

RESOLUTION 2019-05

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PROVIDING FOR THE ELECTION OF MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BY FIVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, ESTABLISHING THE BOUNDARIES AND IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT AND ESTABLISHING THE ELECTION SEQUENCE OF EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT

EXHIBIT A

APPROVED SCHEDULE FOR RE-DISTRICTING HEARINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Input:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Public Hearing</td>
<td>February 19, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Public Hearing</td>
<td>February 26, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Special Meeting)</td>
<td>(Regular Meeting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Map Release:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Release of Draft Map</td>
<td>March 19, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7 days prior to 3rd hearing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Map Review Hearing:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Public Hearing</td>
<td>March 26, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Regular Meeting: 1st of 2 hearings to review map and proposed election sequence)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Final Map Release:</td>
<td>April 9, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release of Proposed Final Map</td>
<td>(7 days prior to 4th hearing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Map Hearing:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Public Hearing</td>
<td>April 16, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Special Meeting: 2nd hearing to discuss and take public comment on final map(s); proposed sequence of elections; Map selection and resolution adoption (if no changes to 2nd map &amp; election sequence)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Final Map Release:</td>
<td>May 1, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release Proposed Final Map</td>
<td>(if needed - 7 days prior to 5th hearing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Map Review &amp; Approval:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth Public Hearing</td>
<td>May 28, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If needed - Regular Meeting; (discuss and take public comment on final maps &amp; sequence of elections. Map selection &amp; resolution adoption)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First District-Based Elections</td>
<td>November 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map Adjustment:</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using 2020 Census Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second District-Based Elections</td>
<td>November 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2019-05
Establishment of Electoral Districts
EXHIBIT B - APPROVED RE-DISTRICT MAP
DISTRICTS BY NUMBER

1. APPROVED DISTRICT MAP - 2 PAGE

2. NCFPD ELECTION DISTRICT FINAL CVAP ANALYSIS – 3 PAGES
# NCFPD Election District
## FINAL CVAP Analysis
### 2019-04-27

### District 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVAP#</th>
<th>CVAP %</th>
<th>% Total Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population (Sum)</td>
<td>9980</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population 18+</td>
<td>6807</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>3833</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Not Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>2974</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of One Race 18+</td>
<td>2889</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone 18+</td>
<td>2467</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone 18+</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone 18+</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone 18+</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone 18+</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race Alone 18+</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### District 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVAP#</th>
<th>CVAP %</th>
<th>% Total Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population (Sum)</td>
<td>9890</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population 18+</td>
<td>7509</td>
<td>75.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>2463</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Not Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>5046</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of One Race 18+</td>
<td>4943</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone 18+</td>
<td>4705</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone 18+</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone 18+</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone 18+</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone 18+</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race Alone 18+</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared by Dustin Glasgow 2019-04-27
### District 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVAP#</th>
<th>CVAP %</th>
<th>% Total Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population (Sum)</td>
<td>9648</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population 18+</td>
<td>7436</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>2579</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Not Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>4857</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of One Race 18+</td>
<td>4754</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone 18+</td>
<td>4486</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone 18+</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone 18+</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone 18+</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone 18+</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race Alone 18+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### District 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVAP#</th>
<th>CVAP %</th>
<th>% Total Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population (Sum)</td>
<td>9799</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population 18+</td>
<td>7853</td>
<td>80.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Not Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>6681</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of One Race 18+</td>
<td>6568</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone 18+</td>
<td>6106</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone 18+</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone 18+</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone 18+</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone 18+</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race Alone 18+</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### District 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVAP#</th>
<th>CVAP %</th>
<th>% Total Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population (Sum)</td>
<td>10038</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population 18+</td>
<td>8101</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Not Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>6946</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of One Race 18+</td>
<td>6817</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone 18+</td>
<td>6414</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone 18+</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone 18+</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone 18+</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone 18+</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race Alone 18+</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### District Totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVAP#</th>
<th>CVAP %</th>
<th>% Total Pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population (Sum)</td>
<td>49355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population 18+</td>
<td>37707</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>11202</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Not Hispanic or Latino 18+</td>
<td>26504</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population of One Race 18+</td>
<td>25971</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone 18+</td>
<td>24178</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American Alone 18+</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native Alone 18+</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Alone 18+</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone 18+</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race Alone 18+</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 6. IMPLEMENTATION:

6.1. The by-district election system shall be implemented, beginning at the November 2020 election of the Board of Directors, as follows:

6.1.1. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in Districts 1, 4 and 5 beginning at the November 2020 election of the Board of Directors and every four years thereafter.

6.1.2. Members of the Board of Directors shall be elected in Districts 2 and 3 beginning at the November 2022 election of the Board of Directors and every four years thereafter.
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: DEPUTY CHIEF MAROVICH AND CHIEF ABBOTT
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: DISCUSSION ON PRELIMINARY BUDGET

DISCUSSION AGENDA

BACKGROUND:

Each year the Board of Directors are required to adopt a Preliminary Budget by June 30th and a final Budget by October 1st. Staff is proposing changes in the process of the Budget and wanted to discuss the changes prior to voting on the Budget during the June meeting.

DISCUSSION:

The Budget captures the revenue and expenses for each Fiscal Year and references the past year in order for the Board of Directors to analyze how the District is performing. The Board of Directors has a vested interest in analyzing the overall District financial health, including the designated Reserve Funds. No budgetary numbers are provided, since opening charges and other significant budgetary information will not be available until early June. The budgeting concept is to have property tax, ambulance and prevention fees to fund personnel, operations and maintenance (O&M) and to designate a percentage to Reserves. The intent is for the District to become a "cash" only for capital expenditures, thereby saving on interest. The remaining revenue accounts would be the source of these monies towards capital expenditures, with the ultimate goal of fully funding the future Equipment Replacement Plan.

FISCAL ANALYSIS:

Staff is prepared to discuss anticipated revenue and expenses.

SUMMARY:

The attached sheets provide a visual aid for proposed changes. Staff welcomes all recommendations from the Board of Directors on how to enhance the Budget to meet all the needs of the District.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

1. Does the Board have any questions regarding the proposed changes to the FY 19/20 Budget format?

2. Is there any additional guidance the Board wishes to provide Staff in preparing the Preliminary Budget for adoption next month?
NORTH COUNTY FIRE
PROTECTION DISTRICT
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF REPORT

NORTH COUNTY FIRE BUDGET ALLOCATION

REVENUE:

Property Tax
Ambulance Fees
Prevention Fees
Grants (specific to Personnel/O&M)

Total: 100%

EXPENSES:

Personnel 82.0%
O&M
101 Board Administration 1.52%
102 Administration 5.12%
103 Prevention 0.39%
104 Operations 2.13%
105 Medical Services 1.20%
106 Volunteers/Explorers 0.06%
107 Communications 3.90%
108 Shop 1.70%
109 Training 0.48%
Deposit into Reserves 1.50%

Total: 100%

All other revenue offsets Capital Equipment Replacement Plan.
RESERVE FUND BALANCE
2018/19

RESTRICTED:  Externally enforceable limitations on use.

Fire Mitigation Fee Fund  Fallbrook  $553,044.96
Rainbow                   $3,926.91

This fund had a withdraw on December 2018 in the amount of $1,000,000 for monies expended for station 5.

COMMITTED:  Pursuant to constraints imposed by Board Resolution.

Workers Compensation Reserve Fund  $450,000
Capital Reserve                    $1,387,624
Facilities Acquisition             $900,000
Compensated Absences (100% funded)  $1,734,237

ASSIGNED:        Amounts that are constrained by the District’s intent to be used for specific purpose.

Operating Reserve (Dry Yield)      $2,200,000

UNASSIGNED:       Excess amounts not otherwise classified.

General Fund       $540,177
Board of Directors  
North County Fire Protection District  

Re: General Counsel Board Report for April 1, 2019

School Board’s Prayers and Religious Commentary Violated U.S. Constitution’s Establishment Clause

The Establishment Clause of the U.S. Constitution protects an individual’s freedom of religious expression by prohibiting the government from establishing any form of religion. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals found that a school board’s policy and practice of permitting religious exercise during public board meetings, including prayer and religious commentary, violated the Establishment Clause.

The Chino Valley School Board adopted a prayer policy that allowed any member of the clergy, any religious leader, or a volunteer from the audience to deliver a prayer (or invocation) to initiate the public portion of the Board meetings. School children were frequently present during Board meetings to give presentations, act in a student advisory capacity, participate in extracurricular activities, or see the adjudication of student discipline. During the public meetings, several Board members often commented on the Christian religion. Among other things, Board members invoked Christian beliefs, gave Bible readings, endorsed prayer, and commented regarding the Board’s goals that “one goal is under God, Jesus Christ.” The Ninth Circuit observed that these comments linked “the work of the Board, teachers, and the school community to Christianity.”

The Ninth Circuit applied a three-part test that the U.S. Supreme Court devised in Lemon v. Kurtzman (1971) 403 U.S. 602 to analyze the Board’s actions. In order to avoid an Establishment Clause violation, government action: 1) must have a secular legislative purpose; 2) its principal or primary effect must be one that neither advances nor inhibits religion, and 3) it must not foster an excessive “entanglement” between government action and religion.

The court decided that the Board’s prayer policy and practice did not have the secular purpose that has been found in cases in which a prayer was directed toward adult lawmakers and was historically used to open a legislative session. Instead, the court found a religious purpose in the Board’s prayer policy and practice because the prayers took place in front of large numbers of school children who were not present voluntarily and who did not have an equal relationship with the Board. The court found that the Board’s reasons for giving the invocation — to solemnize Board meetings and celebrate religious diversity — did not satisfy the first part of the Kurtzman test. The court found
that a non-religious message could have been sufficient to solemnize the proceedings. Moreover, there was no religious diversity or non-religious individuals among those on the Board's list of those eligible to lead the prayer or invocation. Unlike a session of Congress or a state legislature, or a meeting of a town board, the court decided that the Board meetings functioned as extensions of the educational experience of the district's public schools.

The Board's actions also failed the second and third parts of the *Kurtzman* test because the prayers frequently advanced the religion of Christianity, and created an excessive entanglement between the Board and religion.

The court found that the existence of secular means of achieving the Board's purposes to provide a solemn tone to the meetings, coupled with the history of Christian prayer and commentary at the Board meetings, demonstrated that the prayer policy was predominantly religious and therefore violated the Establishment Clause.

*Note:*

An important factor that distinguished this case from cases that allowed prayer invocations at the outset of government legislative meetings was that many school children were present at the Board meetings as part of their educational activities. Another factor was that only the Christian religion was involved.

ROBERT H. JAMES
Attorney at Law

Robert H. James, General Counsel for the
North County Fire Protection District

RHJ/km
cc: Chief Steve Abbott
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: STEPHEN ABOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO
DATE: MAY 28, 2019

SUBJECT: WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE

- **WRITTEN COMMUNICATION:**
  - April 17, 2019: Results of San Diego LAFCO Voting for Appointment of Representatives
  - **LETTERS OF SUPPORT FOR CSDA VOTE:**
    - May 6, 2019: Greg Mills from Serrano Water District
    - April 19, 2019: John Bosler for Kathleen Tieg from Cucamonga Valley Water District
    - February 26, 2019: Lindsey Woods from Hesperia Recreation & Park District

- **BOARD RECOGNITION PROGRAM:**
  - **04-20-19: THANK YOU RE: MEDICAL AID:**
    - E111: Captain Benoit
    - Engineer Baker
    - FF/PM Spencer
    - M115: PM Wheeler
    - EMT Stratton

  - **03-28-19: CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY WITH CHECK:**

  - **03-28-19: THANK YOU FOR MEDICAL CARE:**
    - Captain Benoit
    - FF/PM De Brauwere
    - EMT Reth
    - Captain Choi
    - FF/PM Krenz

  - **03-26-19: LETTER OF THANKS FOR MEDICAL RESCUE:**
    - E114: Captain DeCamp
    - Engineer Sahagun
    - FF/PM Rivera
    - RA114: FF/PM Alter-Reitz
    - EMT Perona
03-18-19: Thank you for Medical Aid:

M111: PM Meyers
     EMT Pena

Undated: Thank you for Medical Aid:

E112: Captain Garing
      Engineer Iglesias
      Engineer Sahagun

RA 115: FF/PM Gonzalez
        EMT McReynolds

Undated Thank you for Medical Aid:

E111: Captain Benoit
      Engineer Baker
      FF/PM Spencer

M111: PM Meyers
      EMT Quevedo

Undated Thank you for Assistance for Building/Fire Prevention Information:

FPS Lars Beeghley

Undated Thank you for Reading to Students:

Unnamed

Undated Thank you for Interaction with GANAS Group:

Unnamed
MEMORANDUM

April 17, 2019

TO: Independent Special Districts in San Diego County

FROM: Tamaron Luckett, Executive Assistant / Election Official

SUBJECT: Independent Special Districts Election Results | Appointment of Regular and Alternate Representatives

This memorandum serves as notice by the San Diego County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) of the election results for two special district seats – one regular and one alternate – involving four-year terms beginning May 6, 2019. The concurrent elections were performed by mail-ballot consistent with adopted policies and concluded on April 12, 2019. Prerequisite quorums for both elections were achieved with Barry Willis with Alpine Fire Protection District and Erin Lump with Rincon del Diablo Municipal Water District winning the regular and alternate seats, respectively. Details for both elections follow.

### Regular Special District Member Election Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominee</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Votes Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ed Sprague (incumbent)</td>
<td>Olivenhain Municipal Water District</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Haynor</td>
<td>Whispering Palms Community Services District</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Leach</td>
<td>Fallbrook Regional Healthcare</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hector Martinez</td>
<td>South Bay Irrigation District</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Willis</td>
<td>Alpine Fire Protection District</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alternate Special District Member Election Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominee</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Votes Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judy Hanson (incumbent)</td>
<td>Leucadia Wastewater District</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Ayers</td>
<td>Grossmont Healthcare District</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Castaneda</td>
<td>South Bay Irrigation District</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Schwartz-Frates</td>
<td>Fallbrook Regional Health</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin Lump</td>
<td>Rincon del Diablo Municipal Water District</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry Willis</td>
<td>Alpine Fire Protection District</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Write-In Nominee

A listing of all ballots returned for both elections is attached.
## Special Districts Ballot Returned

### Regular Special District Member Election
- Alpine FPD
- Bonita-Sunnyside FPD
- Borrego Springs FPD
- Borrego Water District
- Cuyamaca Water District
- Deer Springs FPD
- Fallbrook Regional Health District
- Fallbrook PUD
- Grossmont Healthcare District
- Helix WD
- Julian CSD
- Lake Cuyamaca Rec & Park District
- Lakeside FPD
- Leucadia Wastewater District
- Lower Sweetwater FPD
- Majestic Pines CSD
- Mission RCD
- Mootami MWD
- Morro Hills CSD
- North County CD
- North County FPD
- Olivenhain MWD
- Otay MWD
- Padre Dam MWD
- Pomerado Cemetery District
- Questhaven MWD
- Rainbow MWD
- Ramona Cemetery District
- Rancho Santa Fe CSD
- Rancho Santa Fe FPD
- RCD of Greater SD County
- Rincon del Diablo MWD
- Rincon Ranch CSD
- San Miguel Consolidated FPD
- Santa Fe Irrigation District
- South Bay Irrigation District
- Tri-City Healthcare District
- Vallecitos Water District
- Valley Center Cemetery District
- Valley Center FPD
- Valley Center MWD
- Valley Center Parks and Rec District
- Vista Irrigation District
- Whispering Palms CSD
- Wynola Water District

### Alternate Special District Member Election
- Alpine FPD
- Bonita-Sunnyside FPD
- Borrego Springs FPD
- Borrego Water District
- Fallbrook Regional Health District
- Fallbrook PUD
- Grossmont Healthcare District
- Helix WD
- Julian CSD
- Lakeside FPD
- Leucadia Wastewater District
- Lower Sweetwater FPD
- Mission RCD
- Mootami MWD
- Morro Hills CSD
- North County CD
- North County FPD
- Olivenhain MWD
- Otay MWD
- Padre Dam MWD
- Pomerado Cemetery District
- Rainbow MWD
- Rancho Santa Fe CSD
- Rancho Santa Fe FPD
- RCD of Greater SD County
- Rincon del Diablo MWD
- San Miguel Consolidated FPD
- Santa Fe Irrigation District
- South Bay Irrigation District
- Tri-City Healthcare District
- Vallecitos Water District
- Valley Center FPD
- Valley Center MWD
- Valley Center Parks and Rec District
- Vista Irrigation District
- Whispering Palms CSD
- Wynola Water District

*Lake View Water District was deemed invalid as it was received after the ballot election deadline.*
May 6, 2019

Dear President and/or General Manager:

It is my honor to request your agency’s support and vote for election to CSDA Seat B (California Special Districts Association) for the 2020-2022 term. Please disseminate this letter and attached candidate statement of experience to your agency’s governing board.

I have served on the Serrano Water District Board for over three years and am currently the Board Vice President providing solid leadership and guidance with the District’s Finance and Insurance Committees. Additionally, I have served as the District’s representative for the ACWA-JPIA Insurance Committee for the past year.

Previously, I served on the Villa Park City Council for a four-year term including one term as Mayor and another as Mayor Pro-Tem. During my tenure with the City of Villa Park, I was very involved and an active participant in many sensitive community issues including the Community Development Committee, shortening the permitting process. Additionally, I served on the Orange County Sanitation District as a Board Member for two years proactively involved in many of the improvements that residents benefit from today. I also served a three-year term on the Orange County Vector Control District.

During my tenure here at Serrano Water District, I have been instrumental in spearheading financial programs, planning and fiscal analysis including capital improvement programs and ensuring that the District is providing high-quality water to its constituents in the most cost-effective manner. My personal commitment to the Serrano Water Districts ratepayer is emblematic of the successful stewardship towards ensuring that all assets are maintained and replaced within best industry practices.

Additionally, I have been fundamentally involved with the District’s long-term planning including common sense initiatives for maximum rate payer benefit at minimum cost. I possess a solid understanding of Special Districts and believe that they provide better governance and
May 6, 2019
Page 2

improved local control. Also, I am actively involved with attending LAFCO meetings which
provides me with the knowledge and skills necessary to work collaboratively in the best interest
of Special Districts. Again, I am requesting your vote for the CSDA Seat B position. I am
committed to working with all of the voting agencies to accomplish their goals. My statement of
qualifications is attached for your perusal.

If you have any questions, kindly contact our General Manager, Jerry Vilander, at (714) 538-
0079 or through email at jerryv@serranowater.org. Thank you for considering me for the CSDA
Seat B position.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Greg Mills
Serrano Water District, Vice President

cc:  Board of Directors
   Jerry Vilander, General Manager

Attachment
Greg Mills
Seat B CSDA

EXPERIENCE

BUSINESS
• Small business owner – fifteen years
• Analytical Chemist – six years
• Process Engineer – six years
• Technical Marketing Manager – three years
• Marketing Director – three years
• Marketing Executive – five years

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT
• Board Member (Vice President) - Serrano Water District three years
• Villa Park City Councilman – four years; One term Mayor and one term Mayor Pro-Tem (one year each)
• Orange County Sanitation District - Director two years
• Orange County Vector Control District - Director three years
• ACWA-JPIA - Representative Serrano Water District
• Villa Park Family Picnic – five years
  o Chair – three years
• Eagle Scout
• Villa Park Rotary - Board member twenty-one years.
  o Club President; Paul Harris Fellow
• Indian Princess YMCA - seven years
• Orange Elks Lodge – seven years

PROFESSIONAL
• American Chemical Society – thirty years
• CS Mantech – eleven years
• PDA (Parenteral Drug Association) – five years
• ISPE (International Society of Pharmaceutical Engineering – eight years
• SEMI (Semiconductor Equipment and Manufacturer Institute – nine years
• Optical Society of America – four years
• MEPTEC
• AVS (American Vacuum Society)
  o ICMCTF

EDUCATION
• B.A., Chemistry – Illinois College
  o Phi Alpha President/Vice President
• M.S., Engineering Management – Santa Clara University

PERSONAL
• Married – 25 years. Wife: Journalist and active member of the community. One daughter currently at university.
April 19, 2019

Ms. Loren Stephen-Porter
North County Fire Protection District (San Diego County)
330 South Main Avenue
Fallbrook, CA 92028-2938

Dear Ms. Stephen-Porter,

It is with great pleasure that I forward for your consideration a candidate statement for Director Kathleen Tieg who is seeking your support for the Southern Network (Seat B) of the California Special Districts Association Board of Directors.

Director Tieg has served admirably on the Cucamonga Valley Water District Board of Directors since 2005. She is actively involved in CSDA, as well as with the Association of California Water Agencies, and has served in numerous leadership positions with both organizations.

Attached you will find a candidate’s statement summarizing some of her many accomplishments. You will also get a true sense of her integrity as a leader and her passion as an advocate for special districts throughout California. Also, Director Tieg will attend Special Districts Legislative Days being held in Sacramento May 21-22, 2019 if you would like to meet her in person and discuss her commitment to serving you and your district.

I encourage you to forward this information to your Board of Directors and consider supporting her candidacy for the 2019 elections which will take place June 17th to August 9th. Please do not hesitate to contact me, if you need additional information or if you would like her to contact your Board of Directors. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

John Bosler
General Manager/CEO
I am pleased to share with you my interest in serving as the Southern Network, Seat B, representative for the California Special Districts Association (CSDA) Board of Directors. Through my involvement with CSDA since 2011, I have served with great passion and commitment on the Board of Directors, and the Membership, Legislative, and By-laws Committees.

My experience on the Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) Board of Directors has provided me with a solid foundation to lead. Elected to the CVWD in November 2005, I have served as the Board President and currently serve on the Legislative and Outreach, and Human Resources/Risk Management Committees. From 2014 to 2017 I was honored to serve in the capacity of President and Vice President of the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA). My duties at ACWA provided me the extremely valuable opportunity to effectively dialogue with special districts across the state on the important issues they are facing and how we can solve them.

I also served on the Association of San Bernardino County Special Districts Board of Directors from 2010 – 2015 where I developed a network of colleagues in a variety of agencies with a common goal of serving the needs of our constituents. There are numerous critical issues that confront special districts today; these challenges will require strong, experienced leadership, as well as a commitment to preserving the special district's mission to make communities better by providing core local services and taking action through community collaboration.

Thank you for allowing me to share with you my experience, leadership and knowledge. I look forward to serving you and the entire CSDA organization.

With Best Regards,

Kathleen J. Tiegs
February 26, 2019

Loren Stephen-Porter  
North County Fire Protection District (San Diego County)  
330 South Main Avenue  
Fallbrook, CA  92028-2938

Dear Loren:

I want to share my interest in serving you and your District as the Southern Network (Seat B) representative on the CSDA Board of Directors. In order to accomplish this I am asking for your consideration and hopefully your District’s vote. Once the nominations close, April 17th, the ballots will be emailed to each district’s CSDA contact person. The deadline for returning the ballot to CSDA’s office is August 9th.

It has been a privilege and honor to be active with CSDA by serving at the committee level as a representative of the California Special Districts Association’s Southern Network. I have served the Hesperia Recreation and Park District (HRPD) since 1987 in a variety of capacities which has given me a well-rounded foundation in the special district governance. HRPD was established in 1957 and now serves a diverse population of over 90,000. Our District provides a wide range of recreational, educational, social and other vital community services to our residents.

With your support I will serve you, your agency, and the other member districts of CSDA with the same high level of professionalism, dedication, service, and innovation that I have provided to the residents of Hesperia and HRPD. If elected, I will work cooperatively with the other CSDA Board of Directors to advance the work we do throughout the state as well as work with other organizations to protect our districts and the vital services we provide to our communities. We are all facing many of the same challenges and I want to be an active part of the future of CSDA as we work to educate, strengthen, and defend special districts both now and in the future. Lastly, I am committed to working hard to keep our Districts intact.

I would greatly appreciate your board of director’s support in the coming election. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

HESPERIA RECREATION AND PARK DISTRICT

[Signature]

Lindsay Woods  
General Manager  
/ldw
4/20/19

Just a note to thank you for helping to get my husband back up on his feet after he fell in our bathroom on March 3rd.

Your kindness and professionalism was greatly appreciated.

Eill M. Bendit
C. Baker
C. Spencer

Mills D. Wheeler
C. Stratten
THANKS...  
THANKS FOR EVERYONE, I'M DOING OK.  
4 DAYS IN THE HOSPITAL LOTS OF TESTS  
JUST TO TELL ME I'M GENTLY WOOF.  
THANKS AGAIN.  

KYLE, DUG, MIKE, DON AND 2ND hp  
AND THANK YOU ALL FOR THE JOB YOU DO.

FFP Kyle Kreng  
FFP Doug DeBrumme  
Capt HP Mike Benson  
Capt HP Joan Choc  

BMT Cheng Ho
NORTH COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
330 S. Main Avenue, Fallbrook, CA 92028
(760) 723-2005

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY

INCIDENT #: 2019-010116

Recently you dialed 911 because you or your family required the assistance of the Fire Department. North County Fire Protection District takes pride in the service we provide to you and to the community. We are constantly seeking ways to improve service to our customers. To assist us, we ask that you take a few minutes of your time to let us know about your experience. If you would like to contact us regarding our service, please call the Operations Division at (760) 723-2033.

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the Fire Department on this incident.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please take this opportunity to discuss your experience with the fire department on this incident. Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

They on time and very nice

Thank you!
Dear Station 4, C-Shift,  

March 26, 2019

I am writing this letter to let you know how grateful I am for your selfless actions. Also for your sharp decision making, excellent training and genuine kindness. We are always told to called 911 in the case of a medical emergency. For me, this was the first time in my 65 years I ever needed to call. Feeling like you’re going to die while driving on the 15 Freeway is a terrifying experience. I couldn’t breathe and began shaking. I kept it together long enough to pull off at the 76/15 and called 911 for “someone” to help. They arrived within minutes and completely took charge of the situation. I cannot stress enough how frightened and vulnerable you are at a moment like this. You are completely dependent on strangers. Will they get here? Are they well trained? Your life is in their hands. Well, it turns out, they do get there and they are well trained. The total calmness and professionalism of the guys from Station 4, C Shift-- Eric, Austin, Rob, Justin and Danny immediately put me at ease. I already felt better knowing I was in good hands. I truly believe they saved my life last Friday, March 15th. I can not express enough my deep gratitude to these fine young professionals who immediately took control of my terrifying ordeal.

I had been battling the flu for nearly a month. On my way to a Doctor’s appointment I was driving a truck load of flowers to our buyer in Rainbow (we own a Protea Flower farm in Valley Center). About a 1 mile south of the 76 ramp I began having problems breathing and started to shake. I got off at the Pala exit and stopped on the east roadside. I called 911 and told the dispatcher of my situation. I gave her my name, truck, color, etc. It seemed almost immediately a North County EMT vehicle pulled up. As instructed by the dispatcher I had my door unlocked and window down. I was still having a hard time breathing and trembling. The EMT, I think it was Eric, opened my door and began asking me questions. Another EMT was attaching a blood pressure sleeve and some EKG Electrodes. The EMT asked if he could use my phone to call my wife to let her know what was happening. Of course said yes. (I had called her before calling 911 to let her know what was happening, she was the one who told me to call 911.) After speaking with my wife, they secured my truck and worked out the details with her and took me to Temecula Valley Hospital. Upon arrival at the hospital I was immediacy taken into one of the emergency rooms. I heard one of the guys brief the hospital staff on my condition. Shortly after arriving the EMT’s said goodbye and left. The hospital took a chest x-ray and I was told that I had pneumonia.

One of the guys drove my truck back to the station to get it off the busy side road. On Sunday my wife and I returned and met the Captain on duty. He told us that the group was from the C Shift and gave me their names; Eric, Austin, Rob, Justin and Danny. I hope I got your names right. You are my lifesavers.

Thank you, guys from the bottom of my heart. Your calm professionalism -- taking charge when I was at me lowest point is something I will never forget. You went over and above on every level. I am eternally grateful.
3/18/19

Dear Mr. Woman,

A heartfelt "THANK YOU" to all for being there when we needed help.

My husband was a very scary + scary event which you all handled calmly. I did you best to alleviate.

Letting us leave early at the hospital was such a relief! We knew he was in good hands.

I appreciate the ambulance driver + paramedic for doing all they could to negotiate flooded roads + perform medical procedures on bumpy terrain.

We also thank God for giving us a good ending.

The hospital had a special hot patient type item that could be inserted high in the sinuses then inflated. That stopped the bleeding!

I was so glad it worked!

THANK YOU—THANK YOU—

for your part in the solution!

We are grateful that you were there for us on Feb 4th.

Sincerely,
Dear Engine 2, Ambulance 5,

Thank you so much for the excellent care you provided for my husband.

You do the nicest things for people!
And you're appreciated more than you know!

It was a stressful situation for us and your confident care gave us much needed comfort.

Thanks again,
My deepest gratitude for your speed and efficient action in getting me to the hospital. Your caring attitude was much appreciated as I was in severe pain.
Thank you, Thank you... Thank you.

EIII: M. Benoit
C. Baker
C. Spencer

MIII: E. Meyers
R. Quevedo
Hello Craig,

I wanted to thank you for all the information and support. From this morning, we have our work cut out for us.

Have a great weekend,

[Signature]
Hey Delles,

thank you so much for your time and consideration when you came to Live Oak School and read to the 5th grade students! With warmest thanks for your thoughtfulness.

You guys were awesome and the special ed class thoroughly enjoyed your demonstration. We really appreciate it!!!

thanks again,

Stacey
Dear "C" Crew,

All of us associated with the GANAS mentor group want to thank you for visiting and speaking to our youth. The positive impression that you make on these young people is far greater than you can ever know. I know that you consider community involvement as part of your responsibility, but it was the time you took to allow for the first engine displays and procedures, questions and answers, these magical moments for young people — they made your

But anyone can see that what you do makes a wonderful difference.

THANK YOU

From all of us at GANAS
Pedestrian Killed By Hit-And-Run Driver In North County

Multiple calls were logged Wednesday morning reporting a person was down in a Fallbrook intersection, CHP said.

By California News Wire Services | May 15, 2019 12:30 pm ET | Updated May 15, 2019 5:40 pm ET

A 71-year-old pedestrian was struck and killed this morning by a hit-and-run motorist in Fallbrook. (Renee Schiavone, Patch)

FALLBROOK, CA – A 71-year-old pedestrian was struck and killed this morning by a hit-and-run motorist in Fallbrook.

The man was attempting to cross East Mission Road near Iowa Street around 5:05 a.m. when he was struck, California Highway Patrol Officer
Kevin Smale said.

The victim, whose name was withheld pending family notification, was pronounced dead at the scene, Smale said.

No vehicle description was immediately available.

Anyone with information regarding the crash was asked to call the CHP at 858-637-3800..

- ALSO SEE: Woman Stabbed In Head By Sister: Police

--City News Service

More from Oceanside, CA Patch

Big Rig Catches Fire On I-15 In North SD County; Driver Injured

Most Of San Diego County To Be Hit By Rain, High Winds Thursday

This iPhone Printer Takes Instant Photos To The Next Level
CalPERS projecting health insurance increases. One plan could rise 24 percent

By David Caraccio

What is CalPERS? We explain in one minute

CalPERS, California Public Employees Retirement System, aims to build retirement and health security for state workers. Here's a quick look at the retirement system.

CalPERS, California Public Employees Retirement System, aims to build retirement and health security for state workers. Here's a quick look at the retirement system. By David Caraccio

The premiums state workers and retired public employees pay for CalPERS health insurance are projected to go up 7.2 percent on average next year, with premiums for specific plans increasing as much as 24 percent, according to preliminary estimates published Tuesday.

The estimated increases, presented at a CalPERS board meeting, were the first public glimpse of the 2020 premiums, which take effect Jan. 1. The board approves final 2020 rates in June.

"This is the first time the health plans see each others’ rates, which leads to some healthy negotiations," CalPERS division chief Kim Malm told the board, suggesting companies might lower rates for competitive reasons after seeing the figures presented in public this week.

The California Public Employees’ Retirement System provides health insurance to about 1.5 million people, including current and retired state workers and their dependent family members. The fund also provides health insurance to workers and families of some other public agencies and schools around the state.

The most popular CalPERS plan, an HMO offered by Kaiser Permanente, would go up about 6 percent, according to the estimates. HMO plans typically have smaller networks of doctors and specialists than the more traditional paid provider organization plans, or PPOs, and are cheaper. HMOs often also require more approvals to visit specialists.

That plan’s premium would reach $751 per month in 2020 for an individual, according to the estimates. The premium for a family with two children would be more than twice that. The second most popular plan, a PPO called PERS Choice, would increase about 5 percent, to $801 for an individual, according to the estimates.

State employees pay a share of that monthly premium based on their bargaining unit’s contract. For individual plans in 2019, the state contributed $583 to $668, depending on the bargaining unit, according to CalPERS figures. The state contributed $1,518 to $1,673 for family plans.
The state's contributions increase when premiums go up based on contract language.

Medicare plans for retirees generally are projected to see lower rate increases.

In general, the increases stem from the costs of medical care exceeding premium revenue from year to year, health staffers told the CalPERS board Tuesday.

Radiology tests, walk-in surgeries and office visits all increased among policyholders in 2018, the last full year of data, according to a CalPERS analysis. Meanwhile prices increased for prescription drugs, lab tests and emergency room visits.

The largest projected increase, of 24 percent, is for an HMO called Health Net Smart Care. That jump resulted from the plan taking on about 10,000 new Bay Area policyholders after Blue Shield left the region, where medical care is expensive, Malm told the board.

Board members expressed frustration at the rising premiums after agreeing to spend $44 million in reserves to limit premium increases for a popular PPO plan with rich benefits called PERS Care. The infusion limits the estimated increase to about 9 percent, from a projected 24 percent.

"We need to go back and continue to negotiate ... Even the single-digit increases are relatively high compared to what we've seen before," board member Theresa Taylor said.

Board member Rob Feckner, who represents schools on the CalPERS board, noted that some non-state employers who offer CalPERS insurance have caps on how much they will contribute toward premiums, leaving employees to bear the full brunt of increases.

“Our members will at some point start shifting to the lowest-cost plan they can find,” Feckner said. “At the end of the day it comes down to their pocketbook.”

Policyholders may switch plans during an open enrollment period from Sept. 9 through Oct. 4.
Camp Pendleton Fire Department prepares for fire season

MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON, California – Preserving and maintaining Marine Corps Base (MCB) Camp Pendleton’s more than 125,000 acres of land is a year-round effort for the Marines and firefighters of the installation. Annual exercises and training programs take place at MCB Camp Pendleton in preparation for the 2019 fire season.

The higher-than-average levels of rainfall in Southern California resulted in increased vegetation growth across the base. When the vegetation dries it becomes highly flammable, raising the threat of wildfire. Firefighters aboard MCB Camp Pendleton take extra measures to ensure they are ready for the 2019 fire season.

“We have found our greatest success early on in the season with controlled-burns on bigger brush. It works really well when things start to get more intense,” said Captain Ryan Rushing, station captain, Station 10, Camp Pendleton Fire Department, “We’re setting a consumable fire so that when the main body of fire hits it, there’s no more fuel because we already burned it.”

The controlled-burn method is an effective way to safely clear out overgrown grasslands, eliminating fuels from training areas to reduce the risk of a wildfire, and preventing large-scale wildfires in the future.

Firefighters practice many different extinguishing techniques such as progressive hose lays, mobile pumping and controlled-burns to ensure that they are adequately prepared for any fire they face.

The annual requirement for firefighters is a course called RT-130, also known as the Wildland Fire Safety Training Annual Refresher. It focuses personnel on operations and decision-making issues related to fire lines and all-hazard incident safety in order to recognize and mitigate risk, maintain safe and effective practices and reduce accidents.

“We use the Geographical Area Coordination Center, or GAAC, website in combination with our yearly RT-130 training to stay up to date on potential threats in our area,” said Rushing.

Every year MCB Camp Pendleton hosts dozer school for firefighters and equipment operators to get hands-on training with equipment. This serves a dual purpose because the operators practice cutting fire breaks for the upcoming fire season and take another step toward the safety of the installation.

“This year, we have people from as far up as Alameda County, near San Francisco, coming for dozer school and I think that’s great for them as well as us,” said Rushing.

This year’s increased participation in cutting out fire breaks on the base helps with potential large-scale wildfires.

Whether it is disposing of charcoal properly or putting cigarette butts in their designated areas, everyone on MCB Camp Pendleton can do their part to ensure that the Camp Pendleton Fire Department can focus on our safety in a bigger scale.

For more on Wildland Fire Information and Prevention please visit, https://pendleton.marines.mil/Wildland-Fire-Information-Prevention/
Insurance Claims from Deadly California Wildfires Top $12B

A fire truck drives by a business that was destroyed by the Camp Fire on November 21, 2018 in Paradise. (Photo by Justin Sullivan/Getty Images)

SACRAMENTO (AP) — Insurance claims have topped $12 billion for the November wildfires in California, making them the most expensive in state history.

The figure released Wednesday by Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara covers the fire that largely destroyed the town of Paradise and two Southern California blazes. It’s up about $600 million from data released in January.

Most of the damages relate to the Paradise fire, which killed 85 people and destroyed nearly 19,000 buildings.

"While last year's tragic wildfires turned thousands of people's lives upside down, insurance is helping to rebuild and recover," Lara said in a news release during Wildfire Preparedness Week.

California experienced some of its deadliest and most destructive wildfires in its history in 2017 and 2018. A series of sweeping fires in late 2017 had been the most expensive, with claims topping $11.8 billion.

The increasing destruction is making it harder and more costly for people to obtain homeowners insurance.

The insurance department has started collecting data on policy non-renewals to better assess patterns and locations where coverage is being dropped, Lara said earlier this year.

"Wildfire has long been part of California's landscape and insurers understand that California faces major wildfire risk," said Nicole Mahrt Ganley of the American Property Casualty Insurance Association, which represents about 60 percent of the nation's property casualty insurance market. "Insurers are still operating in this competitive and stable insurance market."

She said in her emailed statement that California homeowners should take steps to mitigate fire damage such as updating their coverage and creating defensible space around their homes.

When insurers decline to renew policies, state law requires them to notify customers about other options. The state has a pooled insurance plan of last result known as the "FAIR plan."

California lawmakers are grappling this year with ways to address the cost and destruction of wildfires.

Pacific Gas & Electric Corp., the state’s largest utility, filed for bankruptcy in January, saying it could not afford potentially tens of billions of dollars in liability costs related to fires.

State law makes utilities financially liable for damages from wildfires caused by their equipment, even if they aren’t found to be negligent.
New Cal Fire Director Thom Porter On How To Prepare For Wildfires

Wednesday, May 8, 2019 | Sacramento, CA | Permalink

Rich Pedroncelli / AP Photo

Thom Porter, director of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, at a news conference Tuesday, Jan. 29, 2019, in Sacramento.

Rich Pedroncelli / AP Photo

Last year was the worst on record for wildfires in California, with the deadliest fire in the state’s recorded history striking the community of Paradise six months ago. On Monday, Cal Fire announced the start of Wildfire Awareness Week, which includes public information initiatives aimed at helping Californians prepare for and prevent wildfires in their communities.

Cal Fire’s new Director Chief Thom Porter joins Insight to discuss what his agency is doing to reduce the risk of wildfire throughout the state, even as the first fires of the year begin to burn. He’ll also talk about what Cal Fire predicts for the coming fire season, what some prominent risk factors are and what people can do to protect themselves.

Cal Fire officials announced on Monday that they had a list of 35 projects across the state that are crucial to preventing the kind of destructive wildfires that raged in 2018. The projects, which include clearing brush and grass for firebreaks, will help protect 200 communities when they’re finished. Meanwhile crews are racing to complete the job before vegetation completely dries out as weather gets warmer and summer approaches.

Read highlights from the interview with Porter below.

Interview Highlights

On what people should know about fire season

Number one is to actually realize that no matter where you are in California wildfires can and will affect your life. Whether it’s evacuation, whether it’s people coming to your community that have been evacuated, all of these things will have that effect to you.

But Ready, Set Go: utilizing our app, which you can get at any app store for idevices or Android. Go there, look up Cal Fire app, and you’ll find that there’s evacuation planning tools, there’s emergency kit planning tools, there’s structure hardening checklists that you can do. All interactive. And defensible space as well. These tools also allow you to click on and watch videos of what we mean by these different things. It’s a very handy tool. Just anybody can get it. It’s a free app.
On what the 2019 fire season is looking like

2019 is shaping up to be a very difficult fire season. Just seeing that the change in fires now that the grass is starting to cure in areas of the state, growing quickly to over a thousand acres. Grass makes fires very easy to start and and so they carry quickly quickly into the chaparral covered landscape and then into the forest.

And so you've heard us for years saying oh, lots of rain, lots of grass, terrible fire season. Drought, no grass, but still terrible fire season. What we need to realize is that every fire year in California is going to be a difficult one for us, and climate change has made that even more extreme. So we are preparing. We do have new tools that we're utilizing, remote sensing and these crews and additional arrangements with the National Guard and our partners.

But every Californian needs to do their part. Ninety-five percent of fires are started by human activity. Most of those are by accident. So be careful.

On what we can do to stop these fires

It's a really hard question to field, because there is no one answer. The answer to that question is multifaceted. It has to do with the structural environment, where our homes and subdivisions and communities are. It has to do with the interface lands that are between those and the wildlands, I'll call those further out, and the forests and really developing a resilient system of all of these things that can withstand wildfire.

We've really emphasized fire prevention in the recent years, and we're still having big fires. I think what everybody needs to understand is that California is a fire-prone geography, end to end, top to bottom. It really is. Every single acre in California that can burn, will burn someday. It just depends on the conditions.

This interview has been edited for brevity and clarity. Click the "play" button to listen to the entire interview.
American Canyon wants to charge patients $500 for paramedic care provided by its American Canyon Fire Protection District, but only if the money comes from insurance.

City Council members sitting as the Fire District Board discussed the issue last week. The four present – chairman Leon Garcia, vice chairwoman Mariam Aboudamous, Mark Joseph and Kenneth Leary – told Chief Glen Weeks to come back with an ordinance at a future meeting.

- American Canyon reups with Napa Sheriff’s Office

The district since 2014 has had firefighters provide basic or advanced life support care, with the service paid for by
property taxes. Firefighters often arrive at a medical emergency faster than an ambulance and begin treating the patient.

The district responded to 800 medical calls in 2017 and was the first responder in most cases, a district report stated.

Charging $500 for first responder medical calls would raise $70,000 to $90,000 annually for a paramedic program that costs taxpayers more than $100,000 annually. That could fund such things as more medical equipment and a battalion chief for training, fire district officials said.

But this would be what the district called “compassionate” billing, meaning only the patient’s insurance company would receive a bill and have to pay. A patient who has no insurance wouldn’t be charged.

“The insurance companies would have to
pay out more,” Garcia said. “How are they recovering that?”

District officials said these types of fees have no impact on insurance policy rates. A higher level of emergency service care provided at the outset lowers the cost of medical care in the long run.

“Do property taxpayers necessarily want to help subsidize for insurance companies that are budgeting for and expecting to pay, but they’re not paying?” Weeks said.

Similar fees are charged by more than 130 fire departments in California without changing insurance policies, a district report said. For example, the Moraga Orinda Fire District charges $600, Fairfield Fire Department $402 and Salinas Fire Department $363.

The city of Napa Fire Department charges no fee for paramedic service, but Weeks said it has a paramedic tax.

On average, a medical response by American Canyon Fire Protection District costs $517.49. That includes the salaries of the personnel, the dispatch service cost, supplies and an average round trip of four miles, a district report said.
"I think if we can deflect some of the cost we incur for that level of service to an insurance company, it seems like the right course of action," Joseph said.

The decision isn’t up to the Fire District Board alone. Weeks said Napa County Emergency Medical Services Agency must also approve the proposed fee and has expressed support in principle.

Barry Eberling
Napa County Reporter

Barry Eberling covers Napa County government, transportation, the environment and general assignments. He has worked for the Napa Valley Register since fall 2014 and previously worked 27 years for the Daily Republic of Fairfield.
Years of deadly wildfires forces California officials to revamp evacuation planning as new fire season arrives

Author: Brandon Rittiman

California officials revamp evacuation planning as new fire season arrives

WILDFIRE

The vast majority of those killed were found dead in their homes. Some couldn't evacuate on their own due to health reasons, but others were simply unaware of the danger.

SACRAMENTO, Calif. — The deadliest wildfire in California history did not prompt local authorities to blast out alerts via cell phones, TV, and radio stations. Neither did the wine country fires the year before.

In 2019, California state leaders are pushing local leaders in the counties of the state to plan in advance to use these wide-reaching alerts, hoping to prevent future fires from growing even deadlier.

"I'm relatively confident that by this fire season all the counties will have those protocols implemented in new procedures and be ready to go," State Emergency Chief Mark Ghilarducci told ABC10 Monday.

Ghilarducci heads CalOES, the state's emergency services agency, which published a set of guidelines this year aimed at helping counties to do better.

"Do not wait to evacuate," Ghilarducci said in a press conference about the oncoming fire season. "If told to evacuate, do so."

The 2018 Camp Fire killed a record 85 people when high winds dropped embers onto the town of Paradise and surrounding neighborhoods. The fire destroyed nearly every building in the community of some 40,000 people.

The vast majority of those killed were found dead in their homes. Some couldn't evacuate on their own due to health reasons, but others were simply unaware of the danger.

In the years before the Camp Fire, local leaders had instructed people to wait for evacuation orders to be called. Some survivors of the Camp Fire debated with loved ones about whether to leave that day, oblivious to evacuation orders issued over emergency radios and on Twitter.

Even if local leaders make better use of mobile and broadcast alerts, people shouldn't rely on them to work every time. In the wake of the staggering damage blamed on power utility PG&E, electric companies are preparing to shut off service more frequently to prevent fire danger.
Ghilarducci said many cellular towers lack backup batteries or generators to keep functioning during power outages, which can last for days during safety shutoffs because power companies have to inspect lines for damage before turning the power back on.

Firefighters tend to offer grim predictions for oncoming fire season no matter how the winter before went: Drier winters increase the danger of high-altitude forest fires because trees haven't been able to soak up moisture, while wetter winters cause grass to grow taller before it dries out at lower elevations.

But the news is bad high and low after the wet winter between 2018 and 2019. Not only do we have a larger crop of grass at low elevation already burning in some parts of the state, but we also have a massive tree die-off in the mountains from the stress put on trees by years of drought and bark beetles.

"[We have] 147 million dead trees in the Sierra. There isn't any amount of rain that is gonna bring back those dead trees," said CAL FIRE Chief Thom Porter. "They're gonna be dead. And they are going to stand, fall, decompose, over the next several decades if they don't burn up in the meantime."

While some politicians try to paint California's wildfire crisis as a manifestation of climate change or one of poor forest management, fire scientists say it's really both. A warmer climate leads to more hot, dry days when fires can grow huge. And the forests are also overgrown due to more than a century of total fire suppression.

It will take decades to thin out the tens of millions of acres of forest that need it in California.

In the meantime, firefighters say the spring is a great time to do some work on your property to make it safer from fire. You can call your local fire agency for an inspection or use CAL FIRE's guide to help you choose how best to improve the fire safety of your home.

Continue the conversation with Brandon on Facebook.

WATCH MORE: Escaping Paradise | California Wildfires: The New Normal
Federal judge tells PG&E board to tour wildfire-ravaged Paradise

By Heather Knight

PG&E Corp.'s board must tour the Butte County community where the company's equipment is suspected of starting a historically devastating wildfire last year, a federal judge said Tuesday.

U.S. District Judge William Alsup made the decision at a sentencing hearing he held for the utility regarding a violation of its probation arising from the 2010 San Bruno pipeline explosion. Alsup previously found the utility did not properly report a settlement it reached with Butte County regarding its involvement in a 2017 fire.

Alsup directed parties in the case to propose specific language regarding the new probation conditions by May 14.

- Unlimited Digital Access for 95¢
- Read more articles like this by subscribing to the San Francisco Chronicle

SUBSCRIBE

Alsup wants PG&E directors by mid-July to tour the community of Paradise, which was almost completely destroyed by the 2018 Camp Fire, the deadliest and most destructive wildfire in state history. The inferno killed 85 people and destroyed some 14,000 homes, and PG&E admitted the state will likely find it responsible. The company filed for bankruptcy protection less than three months after the fire.

At a hearing in San Francisco, Alsup said it was important for PG&E leadership to "see the gravity of what happened up there" and indicated he will likely join the tour too.

"The board of directors ought to see first hand the devastation" in Butte County, Alsup said.

The judge also wants PG&E to have a board committee dedicated to focusing on the company's compliance with its state-mandated wildfire-prevention plan, which Alsup made a new probation condition at an earlier hearing. That committee should track PG&E's progress with its other probation conditions, Alsup said.

The company's new CEO, Bill Johnson, in his fourth day on the job, appeared before Alsup at the hearing. Johnson, the former CEO of the Tennessee Valley Authority, said he came to lead PG&E in California "to see if I can make outcomes better."

After the hearing, Johnson told reporters he planned to go to Paradise himself sometime "over the next couple of days." He said he was satisfied with the new
Federal judge tells PG&E board to tour wildfire-ravaged P... terms outlined by Alsup.

“I thought it was really a wise sentence,” Johnson said. “Go see these people you’ve affected, go talk to them, go feel their pain and their issues.”

J.D. Morris is a San Francisco Chronicle staff writer. Email: jd.morris@sfchronicle.com Twitter: @thejdmorris
City announces expanded behavioral wellness services for first responders - As part of an effort to expand behavioral wellness services for first responders the City of San Diego Risk Management Fire-Rescue and Police departments recently announce...

San Diego Community News Group

As part of an effort to expand behavioral wellness services for first responders, the City of San Diego Risk Management, Fire-Rescue and Police departments recently announced a new program with Cigna. This program allows
firefighters, police officers, lifeguards, dispatchers and other civilian employees to easily access treatment options.

“Cigna has contracted with several facilities to offer residential and out-patient treatment for our first responders,” said Julio Canizal, director of the City’s Risk Management Department. “The care providers have received training from firefighters, police officers and clinicians who have extensive experience treating first responders.”

First responders throughout the nation experience repeated exposure to traumatic events and have been known to suffer from related insomnia, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder. Having a variety of treatment options relevant to the unique job experiences of first responders is important for a full and healthy recovery. This unprecedented collaboration allows any first responder in the nation to access care providers who offer a rapid intake and diagnosis process. Each facility has developed internal policies that ensure confidentiality.

“So often, police officers feel their careers may be in jeopardy if they seek help. This partnership provides confidentiality and the ability for our employees to seek help without fear of any negative impact on their job,” said Police Chief David Nisleit. “We want first responders to know that when they need assistance, we will support them.”

The concept of this program began nearly two years ago when employees from Risk Management and the wellness teams at San Diego Police and Fire-Rescue departments teamed up to discuss current care limitations and the struggles in navigating the healthcare system. The solution was to ask the City’s insurance providers to expand the options for first responders and civilian public safety employees.

About a year ago, Cigna researched and contracted with four treatment facilities – Aurora Behavioral Health Care San Diego, True North Recovery Services, Alvarado Parkway Institute and First Responders First. These facilities provide residential treatment for a variety of health challenges.

“The health and wellness of our employees is one of our top goals,” said Fire-Rescue chief Colin Stowell. “Public safety employees spend their careers helping others, but often are reluctant to ask for help. Our health and safety team along with the Police Department’s wellness team is well aware of the unique challenges first responders face. Once they got together with Risk Management, this great solution was born. We are so fortunate to be the first organization in the nation to offer these first responder treatment options.”

First responders from anywhere in the United States can take advantage of this collaboration once the employee receives approval from their insurance provider.
Forecast calls for busy wildfire season along West Coast

By NICHOLAS K. GERANIOS | Associated Press

File - In this Aug. 9, 2018, file photo, a family sits along the shore of Lake Elsinore as they watch the Holy Fire burn in the distance in Lake Elsinore, Calif. The National Interagency Fire Center is predicting a heavy wildfire season for areas along the west coast of the United States this summer. The Boise, Idaho-based center said Wednesday, May 1, 2019, that most of the country can expect a normal wildfire season in the period from May through August. (AP Photo/Patrick Record, File)

File - In this Aug. 9, 2018, file photo, a family sits along the shore of Lake Elsinore as they watch the Holy Fire burn in the distance in Lake Elsinore, Calif. The National Interagency Fire Center is predicting a heavy wildfire season for areas along the west coast of the United States this summer. The Boise, Idaho-based center said Wednesday, May 1, 2019, that most of the country can expect a normal wildfire season in the period from May through August. (AP Photo/Patrick Record, File)

SPOKANE, Wash. – Most of the country can expect a normal wildfire season but residents along the West Coast of the United States should be ready for another busy season, the National Interagency Fire Center said Wednesday.

California experienced its deadliest and largest wildfires in the past two years, including a fire in the northern part of the state last year that destroyed the town of Paradise, killing more than 80 people. It was the nation's worst death toll from a wildfire in a century.

The Boise, Idaho-based center said a heavy crop of grasses and fine fuels has developed across California and should elevate fire potential as it dries through the summer

The terms "normal" or "above normal" refer to a formula that involves drought, precipitation and fuel conditions in each region, projected on a 10-year average, said Jennifer Smith of the fire center.

The Pacific Northwest has entered a period of moderate drought, which could mean an early fire season in the Cascade Range and the Okanagan region. The potential for significant wildfires is above normal west of the Cascade crest in Washington and Oregon through August, the report said.

Some high-elevation portions of the Great Basin and the central Rocky Mountains could experience below-normal wildfire potential, the agency said. It also said that below average fire activity continued in April across the nation, thanks to moist conditions from the winter.

"Precipitation received was above average across the northwestern quarter of the nation and across a majority of the east," the agency said.
While the wildfire season might be delayed in higher, timbered elevations of the Northwest because of a slower melt of the snowpack, "an exception to this could be along the Canadian border in Washington, Idaho and western Montana," the agency said. That's because those areas have a below-average snowpack and are suffering from moderate drought.

"These areas can expect an average start to the season with a potential for above normal activity," the agency said.

In the southwest, below normal fire potential was expected across northern Arizona, northern New Mexico and west Texas in May and June, the report said. Above normal fire potential was expected in southern Arizona in those months.
Forecast calls for busy wildfire season along West Coast

By NICHOLAS K. GERANIOS | Associated Press

File - In this Aug. 9, 2018, file photo, a family sits along the shore of Lake Elsinore as they watch the Holy Fire burn in the distance in Lake Elsinore, Calif. The National Interagency Fire Center is predicting a heavy wildfire season for areas along the west coast of the United States this summer. The Boise, Idaho-based center said Wednesday, May 1, 2019, that most of the country can expect a normal wildfire season in the period from May through August. (AP Photo/Patrick Record, File)

File - In this Aug. 9, 2018, file photo, a family sits along the shore of Lake Elsinore as they watch the Holy Fire burn in the distance in Lake Elsinore, Calif. The National Interagency Fire Center is predicting a heavy wildfire season for areas along the west coast of the United States this summer. The Boise, Idaho-based center said Wednesday, May 1, 2019, that most of the country can expect a normal wildfire season in the period from May through August. (AP Photo/Patrick Record, File)

SPOKANE, Wash. – Most of the country can expect a normal wildfire season but residents along the West Coast of the United States should be ready for another busy season, the National Interagency Fire Center said Wednesday.

California experienced its deadliest and largest wildfires in the past two years, including a fire in the northern part of the state last year that destroyed the town of Paradise, killing more than 80 people. It was the nation's worst death toll from a wildfire in a century.

The Boise, Idaho-based center said a heavy crop of grasses and fine fuels has developed across California and should elevate fire potential as it dries through the summer.

The terms "normal" or "above normal" refer to a formula that involves drought, precipitation and fuel conditions in each region, projected on a 10-year average, said Jennifer Smith of the fire center.

The Pacific Northwest has entered a period of moderate drought, which could mean an early fire season in the Cascade Range and the Okanogan region. The potential for significant wildfires is above normal west of the Cascade crest in Washington and Oregon through August, the report said.

Some high-elevation portions of the Great Basin and the central Rocky Mountains could experience below-normal wildfire potential, the agency said. It also said that below average fire activity continued in April across the nation, thanks to moist conditions from the winter.

"Precipitation received was above average across the northwestern quarter of the nation and across a majority of the east," the agency said.
While the wildfire season might be delayed in higher, timbered elevations of the Northwest because of a slower melt of the snowpack, "an exception to this could be along the Canadian border in Washington, Idaho and western Montana," the agency said. That's because those areas have a below-average snowpack and are suffering from moderate drought.

"These areas can expect an average start to the season with a potential for above normal activity," the agency said.

In the southwest, below normal fire potential was expected across northern Arizona, northern New Mexico and west Texas in May and June, the report said. Above normal fire potential was expected in southern Arizona in those months.
Elderly driver killed as car rolls down embankment

SAN DIEGO — A driver was killed Saturday in a single-car crash off Interstate 15 in rural North County, a fire official said.

The driver of a Chevrolet Aveo was heading north on I-15 when the car veered off the right-hand side of the roadway, according to North County Fire Protection District spokesman John Choi.

The vehicle rolled several times down an embankment and landed on Rainbow Valley Boulevard and Old Highway 395 — which runs parallel to the freeway. The area is a little more than a mile south of the Rainbow Valley Boulevard exit farther down the interstate.

The victim — who Choi described as an elderly driver — was the only person in the car.

Witnesses told California Highway Patrol dispatchers the driver may have been using the shoulder to pass a slower vehicle when the crash happened just before 3:50 p.m.

Choi said traffic control was in place, but nearby roads remained open.
Calif. fire department dissolved; volunteers refuse to leave

The LAFCO vote to dissolve the district signaled the end of the last volunteer fire department in the region

J. Harry Jones
The San Diego Union-Tribune

JULIAN, Calif. — Lawsuits, locked doors and a police presence disrupted what was hoped to be a smooth transition in Julian as the county’s last volunteer fire department officially became a professional force.

On Monday morning, the county legally took control of the 87-square-mile Julian-Cuyamaca Fire Protection District even as legal challenges mount and ill will simmers in the tourist-friendly mountain community in northeast San Diego County.

The LAFCO vote to dissolve the district signaled the end of the last volunteer fire department in the region. (Photo/Julian Cuyamaca Volunteer FireFighter Association)

By Monday afternoon, a county transition team comprising a locksmith, a facilities manager and an information technologist were denied access to the main Julian volunteer fire station off state Route 79 by a group of volunteers and supporters who locked themselves inside the building.

At day’s end, the county was waiting for a court order allowing the transition team in to assess the condition of the building, change the locks and possibly force the volunteers out.

“This may be a multi-day thing,” county Spokeswoman Alex Bell said.

That all came after a Monday morning unanimous 8-0 vote of the Local Agency Formation Commission to honor the results of a recent special election in the Julian fire district in which 54 percent of the registered voters opted to go with the county.
The LAFCO vote to dissolve the district signaled the end of the last volunteer fire department in the region. Within an hour of the decision, County Fire Authority Chief Tony Mecham ordered the transition team, accompanied by sheriff's deputies, to take control of the volunteer's station and equipment off state Route 79, but the volunteers inside refused to leave.

The team, once inside, will determine the condition of the station and its equipment in anticipation of upgrades being made before county firefighters move in within the next two weeks. Meanwhile, Cal Fire firefighters and paramedics, under contract with the county, will continue to respond to emergencies in the area from their two existing nearby local stations.

During the LAFCO meeting, attorney Cory Briggs, hired recently by the volunteer department's board of directors to fight the county takeover, said a court decision that came out Friday nullifies the reorganization.

Briggs said allegations made in a lawsuit last year were upheld by a Superior Court judge last week. The lawsuit claimed the original decision made by the fire board's directors in May to seek dissolution was done in violation of the Brown Act, the state's open meeting law.

Because the original action was illegal, Briggs said, everything that has happened since, including a September vote of LAFCO to dissolve the department and the results of the mail-in ballot special election that were certified last week, are null and void.

"You have no legal authority to do what you are proposing to do today," Briggs told the commission. LAFCO is made up of various elected officials, including Supervisors Jim Desmond and Dianne Jacob, and is charged with overseeing special districts (fire, water, sanitation, etc.) in the county.

"A lawsuit is being filed this morning. I suspect we'll end up in court in the next couple days on some sort of (temporary restraining order) or injunction," Briggs said.

Oddly, Briggs found himself on the losing end of the Friday court decision, having been hired by the volunteer board just a few weeks ago to represent them. The current volunteer board opposes the takeover; however, the previous board wanted it to happen.

The lawsuit over the Brown Act was filed on behalf of the Julian Volunteer Fire Company Association against the volunteer district's former board.

Briggs represented the losing side last week, then used the judgment stemming from the loss as his main argument as to why LAFCO couldn't order the dissolution.

A spokeswoman for the county said county lawyers are aware of the legal oddity and are preparing their response.

Neither Briggs nor fire company association attorney Craig Sherman responded to calls Monday afternoon.

During the meeting, several people from Julian told the commission that the county fire authority and its Cal Fire employees don't know the backcountry area well enough and that there have been several incidents recently when
they got lost responding to medical calls. They said the commission’s decision will put lives at risk.

On Monday, Mecham said a Sheriff’s Department lieutenant went to the volunteer station Monday morning and told them there had been reports of false alarms with fictitious addresses being called into Cal Fire and that they needed to cease.

Mecham was asked at the meeting by Supervisor Desmond if he was sure the Julian area would receive the same or better emergency response services under county control.

“Unequivocally, yes,” Mecham responded. One woman in the audience of about 75 people then yelled out, “people will die.”

It was last May when the volunteer board of directors at the time voted to seek dissolution after years of financial struggles.

In September, the commission voted to cede control to the Fire Authority — which in the past decade has consolidated all of the volunteer departments under one umbrella in reaction to the 2003 and 2007 wildfires that began in the backcountry and burned into urban areas causing great devastation and death.

But 26 percent of the area’s voters filed official protest petitions forcing a first-of-its-kind special election last month with the county prevailing. Dianne Jacob, who has been championing the Fire Authority for a decade, made the motion to dissolve the department once and for all at Monday’s meeting.

“As we sit here today, the LAFCO process has been followed,” she said. “The legal issues are separate and will be fought out in court. (At the last LAFCO meeting) those that were in opposition came down. You asked for a vote and you got a vote. And the vote may not have turned out the way you wanted it to turn out, but all those who were registered voters in Julian had the opportunity to vote and we have the results today.”

Yet another legal challenge concerns the land that the volunteer station sits on.

If the county takes over, it will assume control of the station, built in 2016, and pay off the million-dollar-plus debt still owed for its construction.

But the deed to the property states that should the Julian department no longer operate a station on the land, the property will revert to a Native American land trust. The county believes that as long as a fire station, no matter what agency controls it, is on the property, the conditions of the deed will apply. An attorney working for LAFCO said Monday the county believes the deed should transfer without problem.

After the results of the vote were known, but not yet certified, the county issued a press release listing the advantages the community will receive being part of the Fire Authority.

“The Julian and Cuyamaca communities will receive a three-person Advanced Life Support paramedic fire engine, staffed by CAL FIRE firefighters, allowing for life-saving medical response when the Julian ambulance is unavailable. The County will also pay for year-round staffing at Station #50 in Julian and
CAL FIRE Station #51 in Cuyamaca at no cost to the community. The ballot measure also eliminates a $50 annual fee currently charged to area residents to pay off a loan incurred by the district to build the Julian fire station mortgage."

The news release also stated that a significant benefit of the merger is a potential decrease in homeowner's insurance premiums. "Communities are assigned an 'ISO (Insurance Services Office) fire score' that calculates how well the local fire department is prepared and able to respond during an emergency. A lower score typically means lower insurance costs. The current ISO score for the Julian and Cuyamaca communities is 5/9, and is expected to reduce to 3/3X under the Fire Authority, after approval by ISO."

The county is taking steps to allow current Julian volunteer firefighter reserves to continue volunteering exclusively in Julian and Cuyamaca alongside the career firefighters.

Mecham on Monday said 11 applications have so far been received. The volunteers will have to pass a background check and complete various training requirements.

——
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Fire mitigation fee rates remain unchanged for 2019-20, approved by county supervisors

Joe Naiman

The fire mitigation fee rates paid by developers to fund the cost of fire department facilities serving the new development will remain unchanged for the next year.

The San Diego County Board of Supervisors voted 4-0 March 26, with Greg Cox at a National Association of Counties meeting, to accept the Fire Mitigation Fee Review Committee’s annual report and find that the 19 participating fire agencies were in conformance with the County Fire Mitigation Fee Ordinance for Fiscal Year 2017-18.

"Glad the board approved everything," said San Diego County Regional Fire Authority director Herman Reddick.
The county established the Fire Mitigation Fee Program in 1986 to provide funding for fire protection and emergency medical services in the unincorporated communities. Although local fire agencies lack the legal authority to impose mitigation fees on new development, the county collects a fee from building permit applicants on behalf of 17 independent fire protection districts and one county service area with fire protection responsibility (not including County Service Area No. 115, which served Pepper Grove and had 2017-18 revenue Grove but was dissolved in November 2018 with the San Miguel Consolidated Fire Protection District and the Lakeside Fire Protection District taking over responsibility).

The mitigation fees are distributed quarterly to agency accounts and must be used for capital projects or to purchase firefighting equipment or supplies which will serve new developments.

The Fire Mitigation Fee Review Committee reviews the annual reports of the participating agencies to confirm that the improvements are necessary to serve new development. The committee members consist of two fire chiefs (currently Bill Paskle of the Alpine Fire Protection District and Fred Cox of the Rancho Santa Fe Fire Protection District), one elected director of a fire protection district (currently Ken Munson of the North County Fire Protection District), one County Service Area staff member (currently Susan Quasarano), one San Diego County Fire Authority staff member (currently Kelsey Chesnut), and one representative apiece from the Building Industry Association (currently Matt Adams), the San Diego County Farm Bureau (currently executive director Eric Larson), and the county's Planning Commission (currently David Pallinger).

The County Fire Mitigation Fee Ordinance allows fee ceilings to be increased or decreased in proportion to changes in the Cost of Construction Index.

The ordinance also requires an evaluation of the base fee every five years based upon dividing the average cost in current dollars to construct a fully-equipped fire station within the county's unincorporated area by the average square footage of structures served by that average fire station. That result becomes the new base fee and was last adjusted in October 2014.

"There's no change in fees," Reddick said.

During Fiscal Year 2017-18 the San Miguel Consolidated Fire Protection District received $106,790.80 of Fire Mitigation Fee Program revenue, the Lakeside Fire Protection District obtained $84,621.72, and CSA No. 115 developers paid $4,167.00.

Because the funding is used for capital improvements, it is not required to be spent in a particular fiscal year.

The Fiscal Year 2019-20 planned capital expenditures approved by the Fire Mitigation Fee Review Committee include debt service payback and relocation of the Bostonia fire station for the San Miguel district and debt service payback for the Lakeside district.
Sacramento, Calif. (AP) —

A desire to live near nature is embedded in California’s ethos, Gov. Gavin Newsom said Monday as he explained why he doesn’t want to block home building near forested areas at high risk for wildfires.

"There’s something that is truly Californian about the wilderness and the wild and pioneering spirit," Newsom said in an interview with The Associated Press. "I’m not advocating for no (building)."

Newsom on Friday released a report outlining the challenges of California’s growing wildfire threat that suggested local government "de-emphasize" building in high-risk areas around forests. But he told the AP it was a loose suggestion aimed at starting a debate about how Californians can build smarter and closer to urban centers and economic hubs.

More than 2.7 million Californians live in areas state fire officials say are at a very high hazard for wildfires, according to an AP analysis of census data and state fire maps. Nearly 180 cities and towns are in those very high hazard areas.

AP Photo/Rich Pedroncelli

The recently retired head of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Ken Pimlott, said last year that government should consider blocking construction in high-risk areas, given the devastating loss of property and lives.

But Newsom said he’s never seen a realistic proposal for how to do it.

"I’ve never seen a deep analysis," he said. "And I think one has to be cautious about that."

A wildfire that ripped through the Northern California town of Paradise last...
November, destroying 19,000 buildings and killing 85 people, served as a wake-up call on the need for immediate action as the climate warms and fires worsen. The town was considered at severe risk for wildfire.

Newsom suggested the town's history dating to the 1800s is too deep to simply walk away.

Instead he said Paradise should be rebuilt under more modern building codes and with a greater focus on sufficient evacuation routes and shelter-in-place plans. California has the nation's most robust building requirement programs for new homes in fire-prone areas, and a recent analysis by McClatchy showed that a higher percentage of homes built after new building codes took effect in 2008 survived the fire than those built before.

Newsom's father, a former state appeals court judge, lived in Dutch Flat, a community on the edge of the Sierra Nevada range.

"There's a frontier aspect, a historic component," Newsom said, referencing the phrase "Go West, young man" that is often attributed to author Horace Greeley, an advocate of westward expansion.

The concept of local control is also deeply embedded in California's ethos and Newsom, a former mayor of San Francisco, said he doesn't want to take away land-use planning power from local governments.

He also suggests expanding housing in urban areas that have better access to transportation and jobs. California faces a crisis over housing affordability that has pushed more people beyond urban areas.

"When I say de-emphasizing, it's loose," he said. "It's about considering your environment literally and not just figuratively, and beginning to be more strategic about the planning."

Whether any of Newsom's ideas, such as encouraging local planners to include fire safety concerns in their general plans, will become law remains up to the Legislature.

Follow this story to get instant e-mail alerts from KCRA on the latest developments and related topics.
California eyes risk pool as it struggles with costly fires

DON THOMPSON Associated Press

SACRAMENTO — Officials were struggling Wednesday to find ways for homeowners to afford insurance in fire-prone areas of California and for utilities to survive liability from devastating wildfires that threaten to worsen with climate change.

One option on the table is the creation of a new state catastrophe fund backed by tens of billions of dollars.

Massively expensive wildfires in 2017 and 2018 partly prompted Pacific Gas & Electric Corp. to file for bankruptcy protection and led to the creation of a five-member board that is considering who should pay for increasingly destructive wildfires.

Board members have until July 1 to make their recommendations. Gov. Gavin Newsom plans to outline his plan to address the problem by mid-April.

California’s recent past “is fraught with wildfires,” Chief Deputy Insurance Commissioner Joel Laucher told board members during a meeting in Santa Rosa, where a working class neighborhood was destroyed by wildfires in 2017. “This is the world that insurers are looking at.”

The danger is making it harder for people to get or afford home insurance, frustrating residents who may have moved decades ago to rural areas in search of cheaper housing in a natural setting.

Courts and regulators have piled the financial burden of the fires on utilities, leading Moody’s Investors Service to downgrade credit ratings for California’s three big investor-owned utilities.

Courts have ruled that utilities are entirely liable for damage caused by their equipment, even if they followed all safety precautions. Regulators, meanwhile, have saddled utility investors with the legal burden for fires caused by mismanagement of electrical equipment.

Moody’s on Wednesday issued a report analyzing several possible remedies, including the creation of a state catastrophe fund that it says seems to be the most likely outcome.

Creation of a fund “appears to be the reform that is most likely to happen,” Moody’s said in its analysis. Republican Assemblyman Chad Mayes of Yucca Valley has proposed a wildfire liability insurance fund.

A fund could help stabilize utilities, but the extent of the help depends on “unresolved questions” including the size of the fund and how it addresses wildfire costs, Moody’s said.

Negotiators currently appear to be discussing a fund in the range of $15 billion to $30 billion, Moody’s said, adding a larger fund could protect against more fires but could push higher costs onto customers.

Under Mayes’ bill, public electric utilities as well as investor-owned utilities such as PG&E
California eyes risk pool as it struggles with costly fires

Could participate by paying in money from customers and shareholders. They would be reimbursed for wildfire costs at levels set by state regulators who could consider the extent to which utilities acted properly.

Making utility customers pay the cost, Moody’s said, also raises the question of balancing the interests of customers who live in fire-prone areas with those in lower-risk areas.

“We must map out longer-term strategies, not just for the utilities’ future, but for California’s energy future, to ensure that the cost of climate change doesn’t fall on those least able to afford it,” Newsom warned in his State of the State speech in January.

He convened a “strike team” of financial, bankruptcy and energy experts and hired Guggenheim Partners and the Los Angeles-based O’Melveny law firm to advise him on the proposals he is set to announce in mid-April.

California’s wildfire risk is so great now that even risk pools or catastrophe bonds aren’t attractive to investors, warned Carolyn Kousky, executive director of the Wharton Risk Management and Decision Processes Center at the University of Pennsylvania.

“It’s not like there’s one silver bullet here that’s going to solve the problem,” she told board members.
Technology Wildfire Summit Highlights California’s Progress

More than 100 people died in wildfires in California in 2017 and 2018, and more than 875,000 acres burned, but mountain-top cameras and high-resolution mapping systems are beginning to make a difference.

BY JIM MCKAY / MARCH 21, 2019
Fighting wildfires has traditionally been a response driven by experience, but as conditions change, as they have in California, that response becomes more difficult.

Climate change has made the wildfire seasons in California longer and more severe, and that makes response that is based on history and experience difficult. But that’s beginning to change because of technology as more than 700 stakeholders learned this week at the Wildfire Technology Innovation Summit held at California State University, Sacramento.

A recent drought in California and global warming, in part, has led to increasingly intense fires that in 2017 and 2018 killed more than 100 people in 2017 and 2018, burning more than 875,000 acres. The changing conditions have resulted in a fire season that is nearly year-round.

One of the many keys to fighting wildfires in the future as conditions continue to change, is to create wildfires’ potential indexes, traditionally developed at weather stations, via technology, such as a gridded forecast system, that not only provides relevant data but also changes the behavior of stakeholders.

That is happening for first responders as evidenced by the response to the Lilac Fire in San Diego in December 2017 as stakeholders used cameras and modeling and staged a collaborative battle against the fires. The effort was described as a ballet with myriad participants working collectively to fight the fires.

California’s wildfire experts have been working on developing models that predict the potential of wildfires. The models examine dead fuel (twigs, dead branches, etc.) and live fuel (live plants with roots) to get very high-resolution gridded fuel indexes that inform officials of wildfire potential.

San Diego County, after intense wildfires in 2003 and 2007, began developing more viable ways to assess and reduce fire risk and damage, including a weather monitoring network that encompasses mountain-top cameras and provide daily intelligence to first responders and others on fire risk.

Models are also being developed for understanding the adverse effects of the spread of wildfires and their behaviors and smoke dispersion forecasting. “It’s a brave new world of modeling,” said Dave Sapsis, wildland fire scientist with Cal Fire.

Steve Vanderburg, principal meteorologist for San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), said newer modeling tools helped get a jump on the West Fire in July 2018, which ended up burning 504 acres and destroying 56 structures. The focus had been on heat in days prior to the fire as forecasters warned of the dangers of hot temps.
But models at SDG&E suggested more than just heat, but a potentially dangerous fire event. “We activated the EOC the day before,” Vanderburg said.

The models include a lot of variables, including fuel components like grasses, dead fuel, live fuel, etc., and for officials, the key to making them work is to be able to communicate the information to the decision-makers revolving around fighting wildfires, summit panelists said.

One frustration that fire officials have as they work toward developing more efficient means for mitigating the increasingly dangerous conditions is trying to educate the public about wildfires. “It’s hard to capture the audience until a time of emergency,” said Tony Mecham, San Diego unit chief at Cal Fire. “We have to continue to articulate that we live in an area with this problem and we need everyone to do their part.”

MORE FROM PREPAREDNESS (HTTPS://WWW.GOVTECH.COM/EM/PREPAREDNESS)
Fire Rips Through Fallbrook Home, 2 People Displaced - Times of San Diego

Toni McAllister

Share This Article:
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Flames tore through a home Thursday in a rural North County neighborhood just east of Camp Pendleton, displacing two retirement-age residents and causing an estimated $500,000 worth of damage.

Support Times of San Diego's growth with a small monthly contribution

The non-injury blaze in the 3000 block of Green Heather Lane in Fallbrook was reported about 11:45 a.m., North County Fire Protection District Capt. John Choi said.

It took crews roughly an hour to fully extinguish the fire, which was a particularly challenging one due to the unusual "open" interior layout of the two-story home and the intensity of the flames, Choi said.

The husband and wife who live in the residence — which sustained extensive damage but apparently was not a complete loss — declined to meet with the American Red Cross to get assistance with emergency lodging, telling firefighters they had family or friends who could accommodate them.

The cause of the fire remained under investigation in the late afternoon, Choi said.
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Novato creates trust fund to ease pension jam

By WILL HOUSTON | whouston@marinj.com |
PUBLISHED: March 31, 2019 at 2:45 pm | UPDATED: April 1, 2019 at 6:24 am

Facing $47 million in unfunded pension liabilities to the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, the Novato City Council has created a trust fund to pay down the mounting costs.

The council this month chose the Newport Beach-based Public Agency Retirement Services, or PARS, to administer the new Section 115 trust fund. Tuesday's unanimous vote established the trust fund. The next step for the council is figuring out how to fund it.

The city's Citizen Finance Advisory and Oversight Committee is expected to begin discussing various funding options in April, according to Assistant City Manager Michael Antwine. The council would then start discussing recommendations from the committee in early May as it moves through the 2019-20 budgeting process.

The new trust fund will be consolidated with another city trust fund already administrated by PARS for the city's other post-employment benefits, which are non-pension. The two accounts would still be managed separately. The idea behind putting both accounts under one trust is to reduce the amount of fees the city would have to pay on the trust to PARS, U.S. Bank and High Mark Capital Management Inc. for managing and acting as trustees of the trust fund.

Total fees are expected to be at a rate of 1 percent annually, according to city staff.

Mayor Eric Lucan questioned what funding strategies the city can consider. Some suggestions he had were to look at whether to sit on the trust for longer so as to get a better return on investments or to intermitently use the trust fund to pay down the city's unfunded liability, thereby reducing interest payments on the liabilities through time.

“It might make sense to pay down certain debts we already have and we know exactly the return we’re going to get on it by paying those down as opposed to funding a pot and potentially getting a higher rate of return,” Lucan said during the meeting.

Another option that Lucan said he hopes will be looked at is leveraging the city's nearly $33 million Hamilton Trust Fund to pay off the city's 30-year pension obligation bonds. The bonds, created in 2006, have a lower interest rate than the rate CalPERS charges.

In an interview last week, Lucan said the idea behind this proposal would be to reduce interest payments by paying down the bonds using money borrowed from the Hamilton Trust. The borrowed money would have to be returned to the Hamilton Trust, but he said the interest payments on that borrowed money would all go back to the city based on how the Hamilton Trust is set up.

CalPERS has also been lowering its discount rate since 2017. The discount rate essentially indicates how much CalPERS investments of employer and employee pension payments are expected to earn in the future. The rate is being lowered from about 7.4 percent in 2017 to 7 percent by the 2019-20 fiscal year, which begins in July. This results in employers, like the city, and employees having to contribute more to the pension system. Unfunded liabilities for employers like Novato are expected to increase by between 30 to 40 percent as a result.

Novato's annual payments to the pension system are expected to increase by about 64 percent from the current fiscal year to the 2023-24 fiscal year, from about $4.5 million to about $7.3 million respectively, according to the city’s five-year forecast.
Calif. governor declares state wildfire emergency

Citing “extreme peril” to life and property, Newsom’s executive order will fast-track the state’s tree clearing and other forest management work.

Sophia Bollag
The Sacramento Bee

Four months after the deadliest wildfire in California history, Gov. Gavin Newsom is declaring a statewide emergency to speed up fire prevention efforts.

Citing “extreme peril” to life and property, Newsom’s Friday morning executive order will fast-track the state’s tree clearing and other forest management work.

His action comes just four months after Camp Fire devastated the Northern California town of Paradise in November, killing 85 people and razing nearly 14,000 homes.

Newsom announced his action in Lake County, where the deadly Mendocino Complex fires burned last summer.

“We’re here to do more to support this community,” Newsom said. “Today, I will be signing a proclamation that declares an emergency in advance of an emergency. Rather than reacting, we want to get ahead of this.”

He spoke flanked by Lake County residents and officials, including two Democratic state lawmakers. His move also garnered Republican praise.

“This is a recognition that excessive environmental laws are preventing proper forestry work,” U.S. Rep. Doug LaMalfa, R-Oroville, said in a statement. “The proposal made today is in line with the work I am doing with the Trump Administration to speed up forestry projects in order to reduce the risks of major forest fires.”

Newsom’s order, which exempts the forest management projects from some environmental regulations, drew criticism from conservation groups. The state’s tree-clearing program harms the environment and doesn’t adequately prevent fires, said Shaye Wolf, the Center for Biological Diversity’s climate science director.

“We share Gov. Newsom’s desire for urgent action on wildfires, but for decades now, harmful logging-based strategies have failed to keep Californians safe,” Wolf said in a statement. “The governor should reject this doomed, destructive approach and direct funding toward proven fire-safety strategies like retrofitting homes and improving defensible space around them.”

Newsom also announced Friday how he’ll spend money set aside in this year’s budget to teach Californians to prepare for wildfires and raise awareness among vulnerable communities.
About $24 million will be focused in six fire-prone counties, including Lake and Fresno, and on helping community-based organizations spread the word. It will also include grants for groups that help pets and farm animals during disasters. Another $12 million will fund local and regional response teams and $13 million will finance a public awareness campaign.

Newsom's executive order points to more than 2 million California homes in rural areas, most of which are in high fire hazard zones.

The Democratic governor has proposed additional money for fire-fighting and prevention efforts in the next state budget, which he and lawmakers are still negotiating. His plans include more money for clearing trees, better alert systems, and remote infrared cameras to help detect fires early.

He wants to spend $40 million hiring 131 more firefighters and buy 13 new engines, although some lawmakers are pushing him to double that proposed expenditure.

---
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TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO
DATE: MAY 28, 2019
SUBJECT: COMMENTS, REPORTS AND UPDATES

- STAFF COMMENTS/REPORTS/UPDATES:

- STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO:

- CHIEF OFFICERS & STAFF:

- BOARD:

- BARGAINING GROUPS:

- PUBLIC COMMENT:
TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS
FROM: STEPHEN ABBOTT, FIRE CHIEF/CEO
DATE: MAY 28, 2019

SUBJECT: CLOSED SESSION

CS-1. ANNOUNCEMENT — PRESIDENT LUEVANO:
   > An announcement regarding the items to be discussed in Closed Session will be made prior to the commencement of Closed Session.

CS-2. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR — GOVERNMENT CODE § 54957.6 — NON-SAFETY GROUP NEGOTIATIONS — CHIEF ABBOTT:
   > FFA NON-SAFETY GROUP NEGOTIATORS
   
   DISTRICT NEGOTIATORS:
   CHIEF ABBOTT, DISTRICT COUNSEL JAMES

CS-4. REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION — PRESIDENT LUEVANO